

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *January 9, 2012 through January 13, 2012*. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site at tradeact/taa/taa-search-form.cfm under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll-free at 888-365-6822.

Dated: January 23, 2012.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-3323 Filed 2-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA-W) number issued during the period of January 16, 2012 through January 20, 2012.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the Following Must Be Satisfied

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and

(3) One of the following must be satisfied:

(A) Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;

(B) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;

(C) Imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United

States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased;

(D) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and

(4) The increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; or

II. Section 222(a)(2)(B) All of the Following Must Be Satisfied

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) One of the following must be satisfied:

(A) There has been a shift by the workers' firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers' firm;

(B) There has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers' firm; and

(3) The shift/acquisition contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in public agencies and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in the public agency have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The public agency has acquired from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with services which are supplied by such agency; and

(3) The acquisition of services contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected secondary workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(c) of the Act must be met.

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm is a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, and such supply or production is related to the article or service that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied to the firm described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) a loss of business by the workers' firm with the firm described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in firms identified by the International Trade Commission and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(f) of the Act must be met.

(1) The workers' firm is publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in—

(A) An affirmative determination of serious injury or threat thereof under section 202(b)(1);

(B) An affirmative determination of market disruption or threat thereof under section 421(b)(1); or

(C) An affirmative final determination of material injury or threat thereof under section 705(b)(1)(A) or 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(1)(A) and 1673d(b)(1)(A));

(2) The petition is filed during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which—

(A) A summary of the report submitted to the President by the International Trade Commission under section 202(f)(1) with respect to the affirmative determination described in paragraph (1)(A) is published in the **Federal Register** under section 202(f)(3); or

(B) Notice of an affirmative determination described in subparagraph (1) is published in the **Federal Register**; and

(3) The workers have become totally or partially separated from the workers' firm within—

(A) the 1-year period described in paragraph (2); or

(B) notwithstanding section 223(b)(1), the 1-year period preceding the 1-year period described in paragraph (2).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact

date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
80,443	Olympic Panel Products LLC	Shelton, WA	December 13, 2010.
80,487	Stimson Lumber Company, Arden Division	Colville, WA	September 27, 2010.
81,039	HDM Furniture Industries, Inc., Henredon Plant 10, Furniture Brands International, Furniture Brands Resource.	Mt. Airy, NC	April 16, 2011.
81,039A	HDM Furniture Industries, Inc., Henredon/Maitland, Furniture Brands International, Furniture Brands Resource.	High Point, NC	March 31, 2011.
81,039B	Workforce Carolina Working On-Site, at HDM Furniture Industries, Inc.	Mt. Airy, NC	February 13, 2010.
81,039C	The Personnel Center, Inc. and Onin Staffing, Working On-Site at HDM Furniture Industries, Inc.	High Point, NC	February 13, 2010.
81,054	High Cotton Enterprises, Inc.	Fort Payne, AL	February 13, 2010.
81,118	Matrix IV Inc., The Agency Staffing	Woodstock, IL	February 13, 2010.
81,125	1SolTech, Inc.	Farmers Branch, TX	February 13, 2010.
81,207	American Axle & Mfg. (AAM), Detroit Manufacturing Complex (DMC)	Detroit, MI	November 25, 2010.
81,207A	MSX International, American Axle & Mfg., Detroit Manufacturing Complex, Detroit Manufacturing.	Detroit, MI	February 13, 2010.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
80,448	Hampton Lumber Mills, Randle Division	Randle, WA	September 14, 2010.
81,024	Atmel Corporation, Colorado Springs, Colorado Division, Planning and Assembly Groups.	Colorado Springs, CO	February 13, 2010.
81,040	HDM Furniture Industries, Inc., Drexel Heritage Plant 75, Furniture Brands International.	Morganton, NC	January 24, 2011.
81,040A	HDM Furniture Industries, Inc., Drexel Heritage Plant 60, Furniture Brands International.	Morganton, NC	January 24, 2011.
81,040B	Friday Staffing Services Working On-Site at Drexel Heritage, Plant 60 and Drexel Heritage Plant 75, HDM Furniture Industries, Inc.	Lenoir, NC	February 13, 2010.
81,137	Wellpoint, Inc., Credentialing: CDO and CPC Division, Aerotek, Kelly Services, etc.	Andover, MA	February 13, 2010.
81,140	Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services, Inc., Global Quality Assurance Department.	Amherst, NY	February 13, 2010.
81,149	CQMS Razer, Jean Simpson Personnel Services, Inc.	Mansfield, LA	February 13, 2010.
81,171	The Seydel Companies, Seydel-Woolley & Co., Inc. Division, Spherion Staffing, LLC.	Pendergrass, GA	February 13, 2010.
81,177	Heartland Bakery Company, LLC, Maplehurst Bakeries, LLC, Selectremedy.	Du Quoin, IL	February 13, 2010.
81,178	Sunpower Corporation, Systems, Pluto Acquisition Co., LLC., Aerotek, Bayside Solutions, Robert Half, etc.	Richmond, CA	February 13, 2010.
81,191	Bristol, Inc., A Business Unit of Emerson Electric Co, dba Emerson Process, etc.	Watertown, CT	August 19, 2011.
81,208	American Axle & Manufacturing (AAM), Metal Forming Division	Cheektowaga, NY	July 18, 2010.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of January 16, 2012 through January 20, 2012. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site *tradeact/taa/taa search form.cfm* under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll-free at 888-365-6822.

Dated: January 25, 2012.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-3322 Filed 2-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,949]

Western Digital Technologies, Inc., Hard Drive Development Engineering Group Irvine (Formerly at Lake Forest), CA; Notice of Negative Determination on Remand

On November 22, 2011, the U. S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department of Labor's second request for voluntary remand to conduct further investigation in *Former Employees of Western Digital Technologies, Inc. v. United States Secretary of Labor* (Court No. 11-00085).

On November 25, 2009, former workers of Western Digital Technologies, Inc., Hard Drive Development Engineering Group, Lake Forest, California (subject firm) filed a petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) on behalf of workers at the subject firm. AR 1. The worker group covered under this petition (subject worker group) consists of workers engaged in the supply of engineering functions for the development of hard disk drives.

The initial investigation revealed that the subject firm had not shifted abroad the supply of services like or directly competitive with those provided by the subject worker group, that the subject firm had not acquired such services from abroad, and there had not been an increase in imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with those produced or supplied by the subject firm. AR 72-77. Further, the initial investigation revealed that the subject firm could not be considered a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA. AR 72-77. On

August 5, 2010, the Department of Labor (Department) issued a Negative Determination regarding eligibility to apply for TAA applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The Department's Notice of Negative Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 23, 2010 (75 FR 51849). AR 82.

The group eligibility requirements for workers of a Firm under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a), can be satisfied if the following criteria are met:

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated; and

(2)(A)(i) The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely;

(ii)(I) Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;

(II) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles—

(aa) Into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, or

(bb) Which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; or

(III) Imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased; and

(iii) The increase in imports described in clause (ii) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; or

(B)(i)(I) There has been a shift by such workers' firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or the supply of services like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services which are supplied by such firm; or

(II) Such workers' firm has acquired from a foreign country articles or services that are like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services which are supplied by such firm; and

(ii) The shift described in clause (i)(I) or the acquisition of articles or services described in clause (i)(II) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.

By application dated September 14, 2010, the petitioning workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination. AR 83. In the request, the petitioners alleged that increased imports of articles that were produced using the services supplied by the subject worker group contributed importantly to worker separations at the subject firm. AR 83.

To investigate the petitioners' claim, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding

Application for Reconsideration on October 7, 2010. AR 84. The Department's Notice of Affirmative Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on October 25, 2010 (75 FR 65517). AR 286.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department obtained information from the subject firm regarding the petitioners' claims and collected data from the U.S. International Trade Commission regarding imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced using the services supplied by the subject worker group. AR 89-125, 126, 127.

Based on the findings of the reconsideration investigation, the Department concluded that worker separations at the subject firm were not caused by a shift in services abroad or increased imports of services like or directly competitive with those provided by the subject worker group. AR 89-125. Further, the reconsideration investigation revealed that the subject firm did not import articles like or directly competitive with those produced directly using services supplied by the subject worker group, AR 89-125, and U.S. aggregate imports of articles like or directly competitive with hard disk drives declined in the relevant time period. AR 126, 134-136, 137, 141-142, 143-145. Consequently, the Department issued a Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration on February 4, 2011. AR 129-130. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register**, on February 24, 2011 (75 FR 10403). AR 287.

First Remand Investigation

On April 11, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a complaint with the USCIT in which they claimed that their separations were directly caused by the subject firm's foreign operations and increased imports of hard disk drives, and provided information in support of these claims. The Plaintiffs stated that the subject firm trained foreign engineers at the Lake Forest, California facility, who then returned to their respective countries to perform the same services as the Plaintiffs, and provided a list of job announcements for engineers posted by the subject firm in Malaysia at the same time as the domestic layoffs. Further, the Plaintiffs provided import statistics pertaining to hard disk drives, specifically pointing to increased imports of these articles from Malaysia.

In a letter submitted to the Department on June 13, 2011, Plaintiffs provided additional information