	Period
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Canada: New Steel Rail, Except Light Rail, C–122–805	1/1/99–12/31/99 1/1/99–12/31/99
Suspension Agreements	
Argentina: Carbon Steel Wire Rod, C-357-004	9/1/99–8/31/00 9/1/99–12/31/99

*Order revoked effective 01/01/2000, as a result of sunset review.

**This order is currently undergoing a "sunset" review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. If subsequent to publication of this opportunity notice the order should be revoked pursuant to "sunset," any review (if requested) or automatic liquidation instruction (if no review is requested) will only cover through the last day prior to the effective date of revocation.

In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/ Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(l)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of September 2000. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of September 2000, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period

identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: September 14, 2000.

Thomas F. Futtner,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–24186 Filed 9–19–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

National Institute of Standards and Technology; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 00–018. Applicant: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899– 8371. Instrument: Auger Microprobe, Model JAMP–7830F. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 65 FR 47404, August 2, 2000.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument

provides: (1) a maximum energy resolution of 0.05%, (2) a hemispherical analyzer which permits introduction and optimal placement of both a wavelength and an energy dispersive x-ray detector and (3) a 2-stage introduction pot capable to 8x10 ¹¹ Torr. A domestic manufacturer of similar equipment advised September 11, 2000 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 00–24187 Filed 9–19–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Title: Advanced Technology Program (ATP).

Agency Form Number: NIST–1262 and NIST–1263.

OMB Approval Number: 0693–0009. Type of Request: Revision to an existing collection of information.

Burden Hours: 14,875.
Number of Respondents: 1,000.
Average Hours Per Response: 30
hours for full proposals; 1 ½ hours for
pre-proposals; and, 5 hours for
monitoring reports.