

Assistant Archivist for Presidential Libraries, National Archives and Records Administration (NL), 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001 or by fax to 301-837-3199; or by e-mail to sharon.fawcett@nara.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon Fawcett at 301-837-3250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following materials are proposed for disposal because NARA has determined that they lack continuing administrative, historical, informational, or evidentiary value.

NARA is proposing the disposition of 27,866 class 3480 magnetic tape cartridges, consisting of an incomplete set of e-mail records created from July 15, 1994 through December 1999, and originally captured on the Automated Records Management System (ARMS) as created by staff in the Executive Office of the President (EOP) during the Clinton Administration. ARMS consisted of an electronic recordkeeping system for the preservation of e-mail records and any attachments thereto, plus pager and calendar records. These cartridges were transferred to NARA at the end of the Clinton Administration.

ARMS records on the 27,866 cartridges were in turn subject to reformatting pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding entered into between NARA and the EOP, signed on October 6 and 8, 1999, respectively (1999 MOU). Under the 1999 MOU, NARA requested that the EOP Office of Administration (OA) convert attachments from hexadecimal to native format. NARA also asked OA to format the files to contain as many records as would fit in a single file. This reformatting resulted in reducing the number of tape cartridges necessary to store the records from approximately 28,000 down to 4,000. Accordingly, OA produced and transferred to NARA a second set of approximately 4,000 tape cartridges in a NARA-preferred format.

The latter reformatted set of cartridges also reflects the results of OA conducting an extensive Tape Restoration Project (TRP), pursuant to a second MOU between NARA and OA entered into on January 11, 2001, aimed at ensuring that a comprehensive set of e-mail records from the Clinton Administration be transferred to NARA. This restoration work has been completed, and as a result a separate and comprehensive set of Clinton Administration Presidential record e-mail records, as well as pager and calendar entries, currently resides on the 4,000 cartridges, in a preservation master copy set, and in an automated

database operated and maintained by NARA staff. These latter collections, which incorporate the work of the TRP, contain approximately 1 million additional e-mail records that were not originally captured by ARMS and which are not otherwise preserved on the 27,866 cartridges at issue. (E-mail records on these cartridges separately covered under the Federal Records Act have also been captured on the 4,000 cartridges and will be included in the automated database, and thus may be disposed of under existing Federal Records Act disposition authority.)

The copies of Presidential e-mail records contained on the 27,866 cartridges constitute an incomplete and superseded subset of the Presidential e-mail record series from the Clinton Administration EOP that NARA has otherwise obtained in electronic form. NARA will be fully able to respond to future access requests for Clinton Administration e-mail records from the EOP through the above-described database, and has no need or use for the additional set of these records contained on these cartridges.

Dated: December 19, 2003.

Richard L. Claypoole,

Assistant Archivist for Presidential Libraries.

[FR Doc. 03-31926 Filed 12-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318]

Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Inc., Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Exemption

1.0 Background

Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Inc. (CCNPP) or the licensee) is the holder of Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-53 and DPR-69, which authorizes operation of Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (CCNPP1-2). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of two pressurized-water reactors located in Calvert County, Maryland.

2.0 Purpose

Section IV.F.2.b of Appendix E, to 10 CFR part 50 requires each licensee at each site to conduct an exercise of its onsite emergency plan every 2 years and

indicates the exercise may be included in the full participation biennial exercise required by paragraph 2.c of the same section. In addition, licensees are to take actions necessary to ensure that adequate emergency response capabilities are maintained during the interval between biennial exercises by conducting drills. Paragraph 2.c requires offsite plans for each site to be exercised biennially with full participation by each offsite authority having a role under the plan. Normally during such biennial full participation exercises, the NRC evaluates onsite and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) evaluates offsite emergency preparedness activities. The licensee must coordinate and schedule an exercise that involves multiple governmental agencies at the Federal, State, and local level. Many local response organizations depend on volunteers. In order to accommodate this task, the NRC has allowed licensees to schedule full participation exercises at any time during the calendar biennium. This gives the licensee the flexibility to schedule the exercise within a 12- to 36-month window and still meet the biennial requirement specified in the regulations.

The licensee was scheduled to conduct a biennial full participation exercise on October 21, 2003. The licensee has requested a temporary exemption to 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.c that would reschedule the planned offsite full-participation emergency exercise from 2003 to 2004 and subsequent exercises would be scheduled biennially from the year 2003. The most recently evaluated biennial full-participation exercise at CCNPP was conducted on September 9, 2002.

3.0 Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) when special circumstances are present. Under § 50.12(a)(2), special circumstances include, among other things, when application of the regulation in the particular circumstance would not serve, or is not necessary to achieve, the underlying purpose of the rule.

The underlying purpose of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.c is to establish requirements for the biennial exercise of offsite emergency

plans for the purpose of exercising employees and offsite authorities having a role under the plan. Where the offsite authority has a role under a radiological response plan for more than one site, it shall fully participate in one exercise every 2 years and shall, at least, partially participate in the other offsite plan exercised in this period.

CCNPPI has previously scheduled a full-participation emergency preparedness exercise to be conducted on October 21, 2003, to meet the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.c. However, preparation for Hurricane Isabel and subsequent recovery efforts have consumed the resources of the Maryland Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) and other local and State agencies having a role under the Emergency Response Plan. The drill occurred, as scheduled, without the State of Maryland and local agency participation.

Calvert Cliffs has previously conducted one full-participation emergency preparedness drill on September 9, 2002. Additionally, site-wide non-state participation drills were conducted on June 24, September 9, and October 21, 2003. Although not evaluated by NRC and FEMA, the June, September, and October 2003 drill results have been critiqued by the CCNPPI emergency response organization and independently by their Nuclear Plant Assessment Department. Issues identified during these drills and critiques are being resolved under the licensee's corrective action program.

Calvert Cliffs has maintained emergency preparedness in accordance with the Emergency Response Plan. Requirements for semi-annual health physics exercises were met by the conduct of the June, September, and October 2003 drills. The requirement for a post-accident sampling exercise was met on October 9, 2003. The annual requirement for an environmental sampling exercise was met on July 28, 2003. A dose assessment office exercise was conducted on October 17, 2003. The annual requirement for a severe accident management exercise was met on October 21, 2003. State and county agencies conducted a FEMA evaluated ingestion pathway exercise on October 22–24, 2003.

The State of Maryland and local governments have maintained radiological emergency preparedness by fully participating in the ingestion pathway exercise on October 22–24, 2003. Additionally, the State agencies participated in the federally evaluated Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station exercise on November 19, 2002. Calvert

County Public Safety, Calvert Memorial Hospital, and local rescue squads participated in a simulated contaminated injury drill at CCNPPI on August 15, 2002. Two FEMA areas requiring corrective action and one planning issue await final disposition pending completion of the next full participation exercise.

CCNPPI has discussed the proposed deferral of the full-participation exercise with FEMA, MEMA, and other local and State agencies having a role under the Emergency Response Plan. All of these agencies have indicated support for the proposed change in light of present circumstances that are beyond their control. Preparation for Hurricane Isabel and subsequent recovery efforts have consumed MEMA and local county resources that would have otherwise been used to support the evaluation scheduled for October 21, 2003.

The NRC has provided flexibility in scheduling full-participation emergency preparedness exercises by allowing licensees to schedule them at any time during the biennial calendar year. This provides a 12- to 36-month window to schedule full-participation exercises while still meeting the biennial requirement specified in the regulations. Conducting the Calvert Cliffs full-participation emergency preparedness exercise in calendar year 2004 places the exercise past the previously scheduled 2003 biennial exercise. However, the interval between biennial exercises would, at the most, be about 25 months, which is within the parameters of the existing general policy and practice.

The licensee states that between October 2003 and September 2004, measures will be taken to maintain emergency preparedness at CCNPPI. The existing training and drill schedule currently in place for emergency response activities will remain in place and be adjusted as necessary to ensure the readiness of both onsite and offsite emergency response personnel. For onsite emergency responders, this includes annual training and participation in drills. Calvert Cliffs will conduct quarterly combined functional and/or activation drills and a self-evaluated annual exercise. These drills and the self-evaluated annual exercise satisfy the drill requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, IV.F.2.b. Offsite agencies in Maryland are routinely invited to, and actively participate in, these drills and exercises as a training activity for offsite response personnel. Local response groups conduct annual training and participate in emergency operations center drills. Representatives of the Calvert Cliffs plant staff meet

routinely with State and local emergency management and support groups. The rescheduling of the biennial exercise has been discussed with these parties and is supported by both State and local representatives. These measures will maintain an acceptable level of emergency preparedness during this period.

The licensee has met the special circumstances criteria of § 50.12(a)(2)(ii), (iv) and (v) of 10 CFR. The circumstances dictating the request for exemption are beyond the licensee's control and the licensee has made a good faith effort to conduct the exercise and comply with the regulations. The activities centered around Hurricane Isabel rendered the conduct of a full-participation exercise impossible. Application of the regulation would not have served the underlying purpose of the rule, which is to train employees and offsite authorities, in that State and local officials were not available to participate in the exercise. Postponement of exercise conduct was a benefit to public health and safety by allowing State and local resources to be applied to hurricane recovery. There is no decrease in safety as the evaluated exercise will be rescheduled in 2004, at a time when full-participation of State and local agencies will be possible and the licensee's drill program will include offsite agency participation as a compensating measure, thus contributing to the justification of the exemption. The exemption only provides temporary relief from the applicable regulation, in that the licensee is planning to conduct the exercise in the next calendar year and has not requested any permanent changes in future exercise scheduling. The regulations of this part do allow for the postponement of exercises and the regulations have been invoked previously for appropriate circumstances. This being the case, the occasional need to postpone exercises was considered as a potential circumstance. The staff has determined that the conduct of the full participation exercise as early as practical in 2004 is prudent.

The NRC staff examined the licensee's rationale to support the exemption request and as set forth above, has determined that the full-participation exercise for year 2003 be deferred to 2004 and subsequent exercises be scheduled biennially from year 2003.

Therefore, the staff concludes that granting an exemption under the special circumstances of 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) is appropriate.

4.0 Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or common defense and security, and is, otherwise, in the public interest. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants CCNPPI a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.c with respect to the rescheduling of the planned offsite full-participation emergency exercise from 2003 to 2004 and subsequent exercises will be scheduled biennially from the year 2003.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (68 FR 71172).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of December, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric J. Leeds,

Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 03-31956 Filed 12-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-186, License No. R-103, EA-02-256]

In the Matter of University of Missouri, University of Missouri Research Reactor; Confirmatory Order Modifying License (Effective Immediately)

I

The University of Missouri Research Reactor (MURR) is a research reactor regulated by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). MURR is located on the campus of the University of Missouri (MU) in Columbia Missouri.

II

On December 18, 2001, the NRC initiated an investigation of the University of Missouri (the licensee) to determine if a former senior research scientist at the MURR facility was the subject of employment discrimination and continued retaliation by management for previous protected activities. The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) concluded in Office of Investigations Report No. 4-2001-054 that the former senior research scientist was the subject of employment

discrimination and continued retaliation by management for previous protected activities.

By letter dated June 4, 2003, the NRC provided the licensee with its conclusions through issuance of an apparent violation of employee protection requirements and a synopsis of the referenced OI report. During subsequent discussions, NRC and MU agreed in principle regarding acceptable actions that, if performed, should better ensure that personnel involved with MURR will not be subject to employment discrimination or retaliation for engaging in protected activity, including raising safety concerns. The details of the agreement are set forth in Section V of this Order.

III

The licensee has agreed to take certain actions to modify its access authorization procedures to better ensure transparency and clarity, to assess the work environment at MURR, to perform periodic training, and to modify its organization to better ensure that university senior management is appropriately involved in ensuring a safety conscious work environment (SCWE). The agreed-upon actions noted in Section V of this order focus on (1) modifying the chain of command for MURR; (2) development of a long-term plan, which will better ensure a SCWE; and (3) ensuring timely review of access requests and providing for review of access authorization denials by an independent organization.

IV

Since the licensee has committed to take comprehensive actions to address NRC concerns, and since the licensee has committed to assess, train, and develop a long-term plan for ensuring a work environment conducive to employees raising safety concerns or engaging in any form of protected activity without fear of retaliation, the NRC has concluded that its concerns can be resolved through the NRC's confirmation of the licensee commitments as outlined in this Order.

I find that the licensee's commitments as set forth in Section V below are acceptable and necessary and conclude that with these commitments, the public health and safety are reasonably assured. In view of the foregoing, I have determined that public health and safety require that these commitments be confirmed by this Order. Based on the above and the licensee's consent, this Order is immediately effective upon issuance. The licensee for MURR is required to provide the NRC with a letter summarizing its actions when all

of the Section V commitments have been completed.

V

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 104c, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182, and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR part 50, *it is hereby ordered, effective immediately that:*

1. The licensee shall modify its chain-of-command for MURR to better ensure oversight of its activities by university senior management. It has been agreed that the modification of Figure 6.0 in the MURR Technical Specifications will reflect MURR reporting to the Office of the Provost, who in-turn, reports to the Office of the President, University of Missouri. The requisite technical specification change shall be submitted to the NRC within 30 days of this Order and upon being granted by the NRC, shall be effective no later than 30 days after the date of the NRC's issuance of the license amendment.

2. The licensee shall develop a long-term plan for ensuring a SCWE. This plan, which shall address a minimum of two years, shall include, at a minimum:

(a) Performance of an employee cultural survey developed by an independent consultant or entity. This survey shall be performed annually for not less than two years. During the two year period, the NRC shall be provided an annual report summarizing the findings of the culture assessment, including the questions used, the methodology applied, and any follow-up actions. The NRC would consider the use or partial use of MU's campus departments (e.g., psychology) to constitute an independent entity for purpose of this action, with the stipulation that a separate independent consultant or entity shall review the assessment, including the questions used and the methodology applied, prepared by the MU campus department.

(b) Annual training of MURR employees and other personnel who routinely use the MURR facility on how to better ensure a SCWE. The first two years of the annual training shall be conducted by an independent consultant or entity with expertise in providing SCWE training. The licensee shall designate a specific manager to be responsible for ensuring annual SCWE training. SCWE training shall include, at a minimum:

1. Policies and programs designed to encourage employees to raise concerns, including a description of the multiple pathways for raising concerns.