

(f) The Plan fiduciaries will review and approve the methodology used to value the Securities and ensure that such methodology is properly applied in determining the fair market value of the Securities.

#### Notice to Interested Parties

Notice of the proposed exemption will be given to interested persons within 5 days of the publication of the notice of proposed exemption in the **Federal Register**. The notice will be given to interested persons by first class mail or by return receipt requested electronic mail. Such notice will contain a copy of the notice of proposed exemption, as published in the **Federal Register**, and a supplemental statement, as required pursuant to 29 CFR 2570.43(b)(2). The supplemental statement will inform interested persons of their right to comment on and/or to request a hearing with respect to the pending exemption. Written comments and hearing requests are due within 40 days of the publication of the notice of proposed exemption in the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Anh-Viet Ly of the Department at (202) 693-8648. (This is not a toll-free number.)

#### General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which, among other things, require a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(b) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

(2) Before an exemption may be granted under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, the Department must find that the exemption is administratively feasible, in the interests of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries, and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan;

(3) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(4) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application are true and complete, and that each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of August, 2011.

**Ivan Strasfeld,**

*Director of Exemption Determinations,  
Employee Benefits Security Administration,  
U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2011-20341 Filed 8-10-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

#### OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

##### Designation of ONDCP SES Performance Review Board Members

**AGENCY:** Office of National Drug Control Policy.

**ACTION:** Notice of Designation of ONDCP SES Performance Review Board.

*Headings:* Designation Pursuant of ONDCP SES Performance Review Board Pursuant to 5 CFR 4 30.310.

**SUMMARY:** The Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy has appointed Patrick M. Ward, Robert Denniston, Michele Marx, and Jeffrey Teitz as members of the ONDCP SES Performance Review Board (PRB).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Please direct any questions to Brigitte LaFontant, Assistant for Personnel, Office of National Drug Control Policy, Executive Office of the President, Washington, DC 20502; (202) 395-6695.

**Daniel R. Petersen,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2011-20422 Filed 8-10-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3180-W1-P**

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

##### Notice of Permit Modification Issued Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice of permit issued under the Antarctic Conservation of 1978, Public Law 95-541.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nadene G. Kennedy, Permit Office, Office of Polar Programs, Rm. 755, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 7, 2011, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on August 8, 2011 to: James G. Bockheim; Permit No. 2012-004.

**Nadene G. Kennedy,**  
*Permit Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-20409 Filed 8-10-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

##### Notice of Permit Modification Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541)

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice of Permit Modification Request Received under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978, Public Law 95-541.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish a notice of requests to modify permits issued to conduct activities regulated under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. NSF has published regulations under the Antarctic Conservation Act at Title 45 part 670 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This is the required notice of a requested permit modification.

**DATES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written data, comments, or views with respect to this permit application by September 12, 2011. Permit applications may be inspected by interested parties at the Permit Office, address below.

**ADDRESS:** Comments should be addressed to Permit Office, Room 755, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nadene G. Kennedy at the above address or (703) 292-7405.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:** The National Science Foundation, as directed by the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541), as

amended by the Antarctic Science, Tourism and Conservation Act of 1996, has developed regulations for the establishment of a permit system for various activities in Antarctica and designation of certain animals and certain geographic areas requiring special protection. The regulations establish such a permit system to designate Antarctic Specially Protected Areas.

#### DESCRIPTION OF PERMIT

**MODIFICATION REQUESTED:** The Foundation issued a permit (2009–015) to Ron Naveen on August 25, 2008. The issued permit allows the applicant to regularly survey/census various sites in the Antarctic Peninsula, including some Antarctic Specially Protected Areas (ASPA's) as part of the ongoing Antarctic Site Inventory Project.

The applicant requests a modification to his permit to allow access to several ASPA's that have substantial penguin and seabird populations which are relevant to the analysis of population trends. The ASPA's the applicant wishes to potentially access are: ASPA 108–Green Island, ASPA 113–Litchfield Island, ASPA 140–Parts of Deception Island, ASPA 145–Port Foster, Deception Island, APA 150–Ardley Island, and ASPA 152–Western Bransfield Strait.

**Location:** ASPA 108–Green Island, ASPA 113–Litchfield Island, ASPA 140–Parts of Deception Island, ASPA 145–Port Foster, Deception Island, APA 150–Ardley Island, and ASPA 152–Western Bransfield Strait, and the Antarctic Peninsula region.

**DATES:** October 1, 2011 to August 31, 2013.

**Nadene G. Kennedy,**

*Permit Officer, Office of Polar Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2011–20364 Filed 8–10–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555–01–P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2011–67; Order No. 790]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package contract. This document invites public comments on the request and addresses several related procedural steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* August 12, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing

Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820 (case-related information) or [DocketAdmins@prc.gov](mailto:DocketAdmins@prc.gov) (electronic filing assistance).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

On August 3, 2011, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package (GREP) contract.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service asserts that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GREP baseline agreement and is supported by Governors’ Decision No. 10–1 attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2010–36. *Id.* at 1, Attachment 3. The Notice explains that Order No. 445, which established GREP Contracts 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 1–2. Additionally, the Postal Service requested to have the contract in Docket No. CP2010–36 serve as the baseline contract for future functional equivalence analyses of the GREP Contracts 1 product.

**The instant contract.** The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the instant contract is in accordance with Order No. 445. The Postal Service will notify the mailer of the effective date within 30 days after all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. Notice at 3, Attachment 1 at 5. The term of the contract is one year from the effective date. It may, however, be terminated by either party on not less than 30 days’ written notice. *Id.*

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package Negotiated Service Agreement and Application For Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, August 3, 2011 (Notice).

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the contract and applicable annexes;
- Attachment 2—a redacted copy of a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 10–1, which establishes prices and classifications for GREP contracts, a description of applicable GREP contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis of the formulas, and certification of the Governors’ vote; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GREP contract fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GREP Contracts 1. The Postal Service states that the instant contract differs from the contract in Docket No. CP2010–36 pertaining to customer-specific information, *e.g.*, customer’s name, address, representative, signatory, definition of qualifying mail, discounts offered by the reseller, minimum revenue, periodic review of minimum commitment, assignment, number of rate groups and annexes, and solicitation of reseller’s customers. *Id.* at 4–6. It states that the differences, which include price variations based on updated costing information and volume commitments, do not alter the contract’s functional equivalency. *Id.* at 4. The Postal Service asserts that “[b]ecause the agreement incorporates the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of this GREP contract are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010–36.” *Id.*

The Postal Service concludes that its filing demonstrates that the new GREP contract complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the baseline GREP contract. It states that the differences do not affect the services being offered or the fundamental structure of the contract. Therefore, it requests that the instant contract be included within the GREP Contracts 1 product. *Id.* at 6.

### II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2011–67 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service’s Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or