Reliance implied that the noncompliance should cause no safety concerns since Reliance knows "of no rear end collisions, involving injuries, with this type of trailer." This lack of knowledge by Reliance of injuryproducing crashes is not convincing evidence that such designs present no safety risk. In promulgating FMVSS No. 224, NHTSA concluded that the limit for a "wheels back vehicle" should be set at 12 inches, and that vehicles with their rearmost tires positioned farther forward than that would present undue safety risk. While NHTSA also does not have evidence of any passenger car underride rear impact crashes with rear discharge asphalt dump body trailers, there is no reason to conclude that such trailers would be any less vulnerable to real-end crashes than other types of trailers in similar use. Nevertheless, due to the fact that only 26 trailers are involved, the safety risk is not conclusive.

Reliance stated that there is no practical way to remedy the noncompliance at a reasonable cost without interfering with the trailer's operation. In order to bring the 26 trailers in question into compliance, their rear axles would have to be repositioned farther rearward. For vehicles that have already been built, NHTSA agrees that this would be a costly modification. NHTSA also agrees that such an alteration may render the trailers unusable for their intended purpose, because with the axles farther rearward they may not be able to be properly positioned for unloading asphalt into the paving equipment with which they have to interact.

Reliance also noted the fact that the agency has granted temporary exemptions to competitors of similarly designed trailers, based partially on the same reasons. Reliance submitted a petition for a temporary exemption from FMVSS No. 224, for its future production of the same design as the 26 dump body trailers that are the subject of this notice. On October 22, 2001, we granted a temporary exemption to Reliance (66 FR 53471).

Finally, Reliance stated that it "will aggressively proceed to design, build, test and provide prototypes to determine the feasibility and usefulness of these devices." Since the above exemption was granted as temporary, NHTSA anticipates that Reliance will make progress in developing a design that is fully compliant. Accordingly, the agency has decided that Reliance has met its burden of persuasion that the noncompliance described herein is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and its application is granted. Therefore, Reliance Trailer Company, LLC is not required to provide notification and remedy of the noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

(49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 49 CFR 501.8)

Issued on: May 6, 2002.

Stephen R. Kratzke, Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards. [FR Doc. 02–11715 Filed 5–9–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 612X)]

CSX Transportation, Inc.— Abandonment Exemption-in Greenville, SC

CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR Part 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon approximately 1.31 miles of rail line between Valuation Station 47+50 and Valuation Station 115+11.5 in Greenville, Greenville County, SC. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Code 29601.

CSXT has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) there is no overhead traffic on the line; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—*

Abandonment-Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on June 11, 2002, unless staved pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,¹ formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),² and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by May 20, 2002. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by May 30, 2002, with: Surface Transportation Board, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to applicant's representative: Natalie S. Rosenberg, Counsel, CSX Transportation, Inc., 500 Water Street J150, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

Applicant has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment or historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by May 17, 2002. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 500, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling SEA, at (202) 565– 1552. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

²Each offer of financial assistance must be accompanied by the filing fee, which as of April 8, 2002, is set at \$1,100. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

¹ The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. *See Exemption of Outof-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), CSXT shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned the line. If consummation has not been effected by CSXT's filing of a notice of consummation by May 10, 2003, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "*www.stb.dot.gov.*" Decided: May 6, 2002. By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings. **Vernon A. Williams,** *Secretary.* [FR Doc. 02–11751 Filed 5–9–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4915–00–P**