employer/affiliation information (name of institution, address, country, telephone); title/position of attendee. To expedite admittance, attendees with U.S. citizenship can provide identifying information 3 working days in advance by contacting Marian Norris via e-mail at *mnorris@nasa.gov* or by telephone at (202) 358-4452.

Dated: September 19, 2011.

P. Diane Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-24491 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE **ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

Meetings of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: The National Endowment for the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of additional meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meeting of the Humanities Panel will be held via telephone conference call from the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Voyatzis, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606–8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meeting is for the purpose of advising the agency, under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, on the development of humanities programming and content for an upcoming Bridging Cultures Bookshelf project on the subject of Muslim history and cultures, including discussion of the early planning stages of the project, strategies for shaping and implementing the program, and the portion of the project focusing on Muslim art and architecture. Because the proposed meeting will consider information that is likely to disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee

meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that the meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. Date: October 3, 2011.

Time: 2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

Room: 421.

Place: National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506 (Telephone Conference Call).

Program: This meeting will provide advice about the Bridging Cultures Bookshelf project on the subject of Muslim history and cultures, focusing on the portion about art and architecture.

Elizabeth Voyatzis,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2011-24401 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Panel for Integrative Activities, #1373; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Merit Review Process (MRPAC).

Date/Time: October 12, 2011; 12 p.m.-4 p.m., EDT.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room II-515, Arlington,

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Ms. Victoria Fung, National Science Foundation 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room II-515, Arlington, VA 22230. E-mail: vfung@nsf.gov. Telephone: (703) 292-8040.

If you plan to attend the meeting, please send an e-mail with your name and affiliation to the individual listed above, by the day before the meeting, so that a visitor badge can be prepared.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice concerning issues related to NSF's merit review process.

Agenda: Welcome.

• Discussion of experiments with enhancements to the merit review process. • Update on outreach activities.

Dated: September 20, 2011.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2011–24486 Filed 9–22–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2008-0302]

Standard Format and Content of License Applications for Conventional **Uranium Mills**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Draft regulatory guide; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: On May 30, 2008 (73 FR 31152), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published for public comment a notice of issuance and availability of Draft Regulatory Guide (DG)-3024, "Standard Format and Content of License Applications for Conventional Uranium Mills." DG-3024 was a proposed Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide (RG) 3.5. However, upon further consideration the NRC has decided not to revise RG 3.5 at this time. For this reason, DG-3024 will be withdrawn. The comment period closed on August 4, 2008, and 6 comments were received. The comments received will be considered and incorporated as appropriate if the NRC decides to revise RG 3.5 in the future.

ADDRESSES: You can access publicly available documents related to this action using the following methods:

 NRC's Public Document Room (PDR): The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, O1-F21 One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

 NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

• Federal Rulemaking Web Site: Public comments and supporting materials related to this action can be found at *http://www.regulations.gov* by searching on Docket ID NRC-2008-0302. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, telephone: 301-492-3668; e-mail: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hector Luis Rodriguez-Luccioni, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301-251-7685, e-mail:

Hector.Rodriguez-Luccioni@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day of September, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Thomas H. Boyce,

Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2011-24475 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-238; NRC-2011-0222]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the N.S. Savannah; License NS-1, Baltimore, MD

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John

Hickman, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop: T8F5, Washington, DC 20555–00001. Telephone: (301) 415–3017; e-mail: john.hickman@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) staff is considering a request dated November 8, 2010, by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration (MARAD, the licensee) requesting exemptions from the security requirements in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 73 and 10 CFR 50.54(p) for the N.S. Savannah (NSS).

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been developed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 51.21.

II. Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would eliminate the physical security requirements from the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed site because the NSS spent fuel elements were returned to the AEC and transferred by the AEC for reprocessing at its Savannah River site in South Carolina. There is no longer any special nuclear material (SNM) located within the NSS other

than that contained in plant systems as residual contamination.

Part of this proposed action meets the categorical exclusion provision in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), as part of this action is an exemption from the requirements of the Commission's regulations and: (i) There is no significant hazards consideration; (ii) there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (iii) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure; (iv) there is no significant construction impact; (v) there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and (vi) the requirements from which an exemption is sought involve safeguard plans. Therefore, this part of the action does not require either an EA or an environmental impact statement. This EA was prepared for the part of the proposed action not involving safeguards plans (i.e.; transportation of SNM, interaction with emergency planning, and background checks.)

Need for Proposed Action

Sections 50.54 and 73.55 of 10 CFR require that licensees establish and maintain physical protection and security for activities involving SNM within the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed area of a facility. The proposed action is needed because there is no longer any nuclear fuel in the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed facility that requires protection against radiological sabotage or diversion. The proposed action will allow the licensee to conserve resources for decommissioning activities.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that exempting the facility from physical protection security requirements will not have any adverse environmental impacts.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

The alternative is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would deny the exemption request. This denial of the request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are similar, therefore the no-action alternative is not further considered.

Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, and that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 1, 2011, the staff consulted with the Maryland State official, of the Maryland Department of the Environment, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA as part of its review of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

IV. Further Information

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 8, 2010 (Agencywide **Documents Access and Management** System [ADAMS] Accession Number ML103200198). Documents related to