

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation because we are establishing a security zone.

A final “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a final “Categorical Exclusion Determination” are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Section 165.T11–060 is reinstated and revised to read as follows:

§ 165.T11–060 Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, California.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a Security Zone: The water area of Port Hueneme Harbor inside of the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGS) demarcation line.

(b) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, the following rules apply to the security zone established by this section:

(i) No person or vessel may enter or remain in this security zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA, or the Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County CA, “Control 1,”;

(ii) Vessels that are required to make advanced notifications of arrival under §§ 160.204 through 160.214 of part 160 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal

Regulations continue to make such reports;

(iii) All vessels must obtain clearance from “Control 1” on VHF–FM marine radio 06 prior to crossing the COLREGS demarcation line at Port Hueneme Harbor;

(iv) Vessels without marine radio capability must obtain clearance in advance by contacting “Control 1” via telephone at (805) 982–3938 prior to crossing the COLREGS demarcation line at Port Hueneme Harbor;

(2) The Captain of the Port will notify the public of this Security Zone via broadcast and published notice to mariners.

(3) Nothing in this section shall be construed as relieving the owner or person in charge of any vessel from complying with the rules of the road and safe navigation practice.

(4) The regulations of this section will be enforced by the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, the Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County or their authorized representatives.

(c) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 12:01 a.m. PST on December 21, 2001, through June 15, 2004.

Dated: December 15, 2003.

Peter V. Neffenger,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach, California.
[FR Doc. 04–30 Filed 1–2–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

33 CFR Part 334

United States Navy Restricted Area, Hood Canal, Naval Submarine Base Bangor, Bangor, WA

AGENCY: United States Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Corps of Engineers is amending existing regulations to expand the existing restricted area in the waters of Hood Canal adjacent to Naval Submarine Base Bangor, at Bangor, Washington. This amendment also changes the enforcement responsibility from Commander, Naval Base, Seattle, Washington (now Commander, Navy Region Northwest) to Commander, Naval Submarine Base Bangor. The purpose of the amendment is to increase the protection of Navy strategic assets

moored at Naval Submarine Base Bangor.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 4, 2004.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CECW–OR, 441 G Street, NW., Washington DC, 20314–1000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Frank Torbett, Headquarters Regulatory Branch at (202) 761–4618 or Mr. Jack Kennedy, Corps of Engineers Seattle District, at (206) 764–6907.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to its authorities in section 7 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1) and chapter XIX of the Army Appropriation Act of 1919 (40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 3) the Corps is amending the restricted area regulations in 33 CFR part 334 by amending § 334.1220 to enlarge the presently established naval restricted Area 1, in Hood Canal, adjacent to Naval Submarine Base Bangor, at Bangor, Washington.

Procedural Requirements

a. Review Under Executive Order 12866

This rule is issued with respect to a military function of the Defense Department and the provisions of Executive Order 12866 do not apply.

b. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule has been reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354), which requires the preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis for any regulation that will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (*i.e.*, small businesses and small governments). The Corps expects that the economic impact of the enlargement of this restricted area would have practically no impact on the public, no anticipated navigational hazard or interference with existing waterway traffic, and accordingly, certifies that this proposal will have no significant economic impact on small entities.

c. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

The Seattle District has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for this action. The District has concluded that this action will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment, and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. The EA may be reviewed at the Seattle District Office listed at the end of **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, above.

d. *Unfunded Mandates Act*

This rule does not impose an enforceable duty among the private sector and, therefore, is not a Federal private sector mandate and is not subject to the requirements of section 202 or 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act. The District has also found under section 203 of the Act, that small governments will not be significantly and uniquely affected by this rulemaking

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 334

Danger zones, Marine safety, Restricted areas, Waterways.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, we are amending 33 CFR Part 334 to read as follows:

PART 334—DANGER ZONE AND RESTRICTED AREA REGULATIONS.

■ 1. The authority citation for part 334 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 Stat. 266; (33 U.S.C. 1) and 40 Stat. 892; (33 U.S.C. 3)

■ 2. Revise section 334.1220 to read as follows:

§ 334.1220 Hood Canal, Bangor; naval restricted areas.

(a) Hood Canal, Bangor; Naval restricted areas—(1) Area No. 1. That area bounded by a line commencing on the east shore of Hood Canal at latitude 47 deg.46'18" N, longitude 122 deg.42'18" W; thence latitude 47 deg.46'32" N, longitude 122 deg.42'20" W; thence to latitude 47 deg.46'38" N, longitude 122 deg.42'52" W; thence to latitude 47 deg.44'15" N, longitude 122 deg.44'50" W; thence to latitude 47 deg.43'53" N, longitude 122 deg.44'58" W; thence to latitude 47 deg.43'17" N, longitude 122 deg.44'49" W.

(2) Area No. 2. Waters of Hood Canal within a circle of 1,000 yards diameter centered on a point located at latitude 47 deg.46'26" N, longitude 122 deg.42'49" W.

(3) The regulations—(i) Area No. 1. No person or vessel shall enter this area without permission from the Commander, Naval Submarine Base Bangor, or his/her authorized representative.

(ii) Area No. 2. (A) The area will be used intermittently by the Navy for magnetic silencing operations.

(B) Use of any equipment such as anchors, grapnels, *etc.*, which may foul underwater installations within the restricted area, is prohibited at all times.

(C) Dumping of any nonbuoyant objects in this area is prohibited.

(D) Navigation will be permitted within that portion of this circular area

not lying within Area No. 1 at all times except when magnetic silencing operations are in progress.

(E) When magnetic silencing operations are in progress, use of the area will be indicated by display of quick flashing red beacons on the pier located in the southeast quadrant of the area.

(4) Enforcement. The regulations in this subsection shall be enforced by the Commander, Naval Submarine Base Bangor, or his/her authorized representative.

Dated: December 29, 2003.

Lawrence A. Lang,

*Deputy Chief, Operations Division,
Directorate of Civil Works.*

[FR Doc. 04-88 Filed 1-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-92-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

42 CFR Part 52h

RIN 0925-AA

Scientific Peer Review of Research Grant Applications and Research and Development Contract Projects

AGENCY: National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The National Institutes of Health (NIH) is revising the regulations governing scientific peer review of research grant applications and research and development contract projects and project proposals to clarify the review criteria, revise the conflict of interest requirements to reflect the fact that members of Scientific Review Groups do not become Federal employees by reason of that membership, and make other changes necessary to update the regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This final rule is effective on February 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Moore, NIH Regulations Officer, Office of Management Assessment, NIH, 6011 Executive Boulevard, Room 601, MSC 7669, Rockville, MD 20852, telephone 301-496-4607 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Applications to NIH for grants for biomedical and behavioral research and NIH research and development contract project concepts and contract proposals are reviewed under a two-level scientific peer review system. This dual

system separates the scientific assessment of proposed projects from policy decisions about scientific areas to be supported and the level of resources to be allocated, which permits a more objective and complete evaluation than would result from a single level of review. The review system is designed to provide NIH officials with the best available advice about scientific and technical merit as well as program priorities and policy considerations.

The review system consists of two sequential levels of review for each application that will be considered for funding. For most grant and cooperative agreement (hereafter referred to as grant) applications, the initial or first level review involves panels of experts established according to scientific disciplines or medical speciality areas, whose primary function is to evaluate the scientific merit of grant applications. These panels are referred to as Scientific Review Groups (SRGs), a generic term that includes both regular study sections and Special Emphasis Panels (SEPs). In some cases, SRGs in scientifically related areas are organizationally combined into Initial Review Groups (IRGs).

The second level of review of grant applications is performed by National Advisory Boards or Councils composed of both scientific and lay representatives. The recommendations made by these Boards or Councils are based not only on considerations of scientific merit as judged by the SRG but also on the relevance of a proposed project to the programs and priorities of NIH. In most cases, Councils concur with the SRG recommendations. If a Board or Council does not concur with the SRG's assessment of scientific merit, the Board or Council can defer the application for rereview. Subject to limited exceptions as described in Council operating procedures, unless an application is recommended by both the SRG and the Board or Council, no award can be made.

The first level of review of grant applications and both levels of review of contract project concepts and contract proposals are governed by the regulations codified at 42 CFR part 52h, Scientific Peer Review of Research Grant Applications and Research and Development Contract Projects.

The regulations at 42 CFR part 52h were last amended in November 1982. We are revising the regulations to incorporate changes that are necessary to update part 52h.

We are revising the regulations to: (1) Clarify the section pertaining to conflict of interest to reinforce the fact that non-Federal members of SRGs are not