

hearings, please contact Thomas Webb, EPA Region IX at 415–947–4139, or Webb.Thomas@epa.gov. If you require a reasonable accommodation, please contact Terisa Williams, EPA Region IX Reasonable Accommodations Coordinator at 415–972–3829, or Williams.Terisa@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The public hearing is to provide the public with an opportunity to present oral comments regarding a proposed Regional Haze State and Federal Implementation Plans for the BART Determination at the Reid Gardner Generating Station in the State of Nevada. The BART determinations require the Reid Gardner Generating Station to meet an emissions limit for oxides of nitrogen at Units 1, 2 and 3 of 0.20 lbs/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Public hearing: The proposed rule for which EPA will hold the public hearing is available at <http://www.epa.gov/region9/air/actions/nv.html#reid> and also in the docket identified below. The public hearing will provide interested parties the opportunity to present data, views, or arguments concerning the proposal. EPA may ask clarifying questions during the oral presentations, but will not respond to the presentations at that time. We will consider written statements and supporting information submitted during the comment period with the same weight as any oral comments and supporting information presented at the public hearing.

At the public hearing, the hearing officer may limit the time available for each commenter to address the proposal to five minutes or less if the hearing officer determines it is appropriate. We will not provide equipment for commenters to show overhead slides or make computerized slide presentations. Any person may provide written or oral comments and data pertaining to our proposal at the public hearing. We will include verbatim transcripts, in English,

of the hearing and written statements in the rulemaking docket.

EPA has established a docket for the proposed rule under Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2011–0130 (available at <http://www.regulations.gov>).

Dated: April 11, 2012.

Deborah Jordan,

Air Division Director, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2012–9438 Filed 4–17–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2012–0243; FRL–9659–9]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Northern Sierra and Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management Districts

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District (NSAQMD) and Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern negative declarations for volatile organic compound (VOC) source categories for the NSAQMD and SMAQMD. We are proposing to approve these negative declarations under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2012–0243, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.
2. *Email:* steckel.andrew@epa.gov.
3. *Mail or deliver:* Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia Allen, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4120, allen.cynthia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following negative declarations listed in Table I:

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS

| Local agency | Title | Adopted | Submitted |
|--------------|---|----------|-----------|
| NSAQMD | Fiberglass Boat Manufacturing Materials | 04/25/11 | 05/17/11 |
| NSAQMD | Miscellaneous Industrial Adhesives | 04/25/11 | 05/17/11 |
| NSAQMD | Automobile and Light-Duty Truck Assembly Coatings | 04/25/11 | 05/17/11 |
| NSAQMD | Industrial Cleaning Solvents | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| NSAQMD | Offset Lithographic Printing and Letterpress Printing | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| NSAQMD | Flexible Package Printing | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| NSAQMD | Flat Wood Paneling Coatings | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| NSAQMD | Paper, Film, and Foil Coatings | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| NSAQMD | Large Appliance Coatings | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| NSAQMD | Metal Furniture Coatings | 05/19/08 | 08/14/08 |
| SMAQMD | Coating Operations at Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Operations | 10/27/11 | 01/12/12 |

In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these negative declarations in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these negative declarations are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: March 29, 2012.

Keith Takata,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2012-9077 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 12

[EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0180; FRL-9652-3]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern particulate matter (PM) emissions from any source that emits visible air contaminants. We are proposing to approve and rescind local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0180, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.

2. *Email:* steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

3. *Mail or deliver:* Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed at www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Vineyard, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4125, vineyard.christine@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following local rules: Rule 2.3, Ringelmann Chart; 2.4, Exceptions (rescinded); Rule 2.7, Wet Plumes (rescinded); Rule 2.11, Particulate Matter Concentrations; and Rule 2.12, Specific Contaminants. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse

comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: March 8, 2012.

Jared Blumenfeld,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2012-8948 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

42 CFR Parts 412, 413, and 495

[CMS-0044-CN]

RIN 0938-AQ84

Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Electronic Health Record Incentive Program—Stage 2; Corrections

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects technical errors and typographical errors in the proposed rule entitled “Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Electronic Health Record Incentive Program—Stage 2” which appeared in the March 7, 2012, **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Travis Broome, (214) 767-4450.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In FR Doc. 2012-4443 of March 7, 2012 (77 FR 13698), the proposed rule entitled “Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Electronic Health Record Incentive Program—Stage 2” there were a number of technical errors and typographical errors that are identified and corrected in the Correction of Errors section.