

activities related to a transaction whereby any Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from any Denied Person of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from any Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by any Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by any Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, Ankair, Yesilkoy Asfalti Istanbul No. 13/4, Florya, Istanbul, Turkey TR 34810, may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving the Boeing 747 (manufacturer serial number 24134, and current tail number TC-AKZ) including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, license exception, or export control document involving Boeing 747 (manufacturer serial number 24134, and current tail number TC-AKZ);

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving Boeing 747 (manufacturer serial number 24134, and current tail number TC-AKZ);

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving Boeing 747 (manufacturer serial number 24134, and current tail number TC-AKZ)

Fourth, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or re-export on behalf of Ankair the Boeing 747 (manufacturer serial number 24134, and current tail number TC-AKZ); or

B. Take any action to acquire from, lease, or otherwise facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from Ankair of the Boeing 747 (manufacturer serial number 24134, and current tail number TC-AKZ).

Fifth, that after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other

person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Respondents by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Sixth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective upon issuance and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 6th day of June 2008.

Darryl W. Jackson,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E8-13571 Filed 6-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Multipurpose Application

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or August 18, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Larry Hall, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482-4896, lhall@bis.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This collection is required in compliance with U.S. export regulations. The information furnished by U.S. exporters provides the basis for decisions to grant licenses for export, reexport, and classifications of commodities, goods and technologies that are controlled for reasons of national security and foreign policy.

II. Method of Collection

Submitted electronically and on paper.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0694-0088.

Form Number(s): BIS-748P.

Type of Review: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 20,489.

Estimated Time Per Response: 30 to 90 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 15,359.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$103,747.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 11, 2008.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief
Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-13503 Filed 6-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the 12th Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 17, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations,
Office 9, Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
Street and Constitution Avenue, NW,
Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)
482-2312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Case History

On December 10, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results and Preliminary Partial Rescission of the Twelfth Administrative Review*, 72 FR 69652 (December 10, 2007) ("Preliminary Results"). Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred.

On January 9, 2008, The Fresh Garlic Producers Association; Christopher Ranch L.L.C.; The Garlic Company; Valley Garlic; and Vessey and Company, Inc. ("Petitioners"), Jinxiang Dong Yun Freezing Storage Co., Ltd. ("Dong Yun"), Shanghai LJ International Trading Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai LJ"), and Qingdao Saturn International Trade Co., Ltd. ("Qingdao Saturn") submitted case briefs. After reviewing the case briefs, the Department instructed Dong Yun and Shanghai LJ to re-file their case briefs because they contained untimely new factual information. Dong Yun and Shanghai LJ filed the final versions of their redacted case briefs on January 16, 2008. Also on January 16, 2008, the Petitioners, Dong Yun, and Shanghai LJ submitted rebuttal briefs. On January 23,

2008, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review by 60 days. See *Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of the Twelfth Administrative Review*, 73 FR 16640 (March 28, 2008). On April 10, 2008, the Department conducted a public hearing, and counsel for the Petitioners, Dong Yun, and Qingdao Saturn participated.

Scope Of The Order

The products covered by this Order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay. The scope of this order does not include the following: (a) garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed. The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. In order to be excluded from the Order, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is (1) mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed must be accompanied by declarations to CBP to that effect.

Analysis Of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this proceeding and to which we have responded are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Final Decision Memo"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this administrative review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on

file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Room 1117 of the main Department building. In addition, a copy of the *Final Decision Memo* can be accessed directly on our website at <http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Final Decision Memo* are identical in content.

Changes Since The Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record as well as comments received from parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have made revisions to the margin calculations for Dong Yun and Shanghai LJ for the final results. For all changes to the calculations for Dong Yun and Shanghai LJ, see the *Final Decision Memo* and the company-specific analysis memoranda.

Adverse Facts Available

Section 776(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") provides that if an interested party: (A) withholds information that has been requested by the Department; (B) fails to provide such information in a timely manner or in the form or manner requested, subject to subsections 782(c)(1) and (e) of the Act; (C) significantly impedes a determination under the antidumping statute; or (D) provides such information but the information cannot be verified, the Department shall, subject to subsection 782(d) of the Act, use facts otherwise available in reaching the applicable determination.

Furthermore, section 776(b) of the Act provides that, if the Department finds that an interested party "has failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its ability to comply with a request for information," the Department may use information that is adverse to the interests of that party as facts otherwise available. Adverse inferences are appropriate "to ensure that the party does not obtain a more favorable result by failing to cooperate than if it had cooperated fully." See Statement of Administrative Action ("SAA") accompanying the URAA, H.R. Doc. No. 316, 103d Cong., 2d Session at 870 (1994). An adverse inference may include reliance on information derived from the petition, the final determination in the investigation, any previous review, or any other information placed on the record. See section 776(b) of the Act.

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department assigned a rate based on the use of total adverse facts available ("AFA") to the PRC-Wide Entity, including Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company ("Huaiyang