Daimler North America Corporation, Gastonia Components and Logistics Division, Gastonia, North Carolina. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 25, 2010 (75 FR 3935).

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce truck parts and components for heavy trucks.

The review shows that on April 13, 2007, a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance was issued for all workers of Freightliner LLC, Parts Manufacturing Plant (PMP), Gastonia, North Carolina, separated from employment on or after March 7, 2006 through April 13, 2009. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 26, 2007 (72 FR 20873).

In order to avoid an overlap in worker group coverage, the Department is amending the July 15, 2008 impact date established for TA–W–71,706, to read April 14, 2009.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–71,706 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, a subsidiary of Daimler North America Corporation, Gastonia Components and Logistics Division, Gastonia, North Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 14, 2009, through November 13, 2011, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 1st day of March 2010.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 2010–5309 Filed 3–11–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-71,054C]

Apria Healthcare, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Corestaff, Ultimate Staffing (Roth Staffing Companies), and Aerotek, Cromwell, CT; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on November 23, 2009, applicable to workers of Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Cromwell, Connecticut. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 25, 2010 (75 FR 3938).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in activities related to the information technology and patient billing and collection services.

Information shows that workers leased from Ultimate Staffing and Aerotek were employed on-site at the Cromwell, Connecticut location of Apria Healthcare. On-site leased workers from Ultimate Staffing had their wages reported under a separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax account for Roth Staffing Companies.

The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Ultimate Staffing (Roth Staffing Companies) and Aerotek working onsite at the Cromwell, Connecticut location of Apria Healthcare.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–71,054 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Foothill Ranch, California (TA-W-71,054), Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Indianapolis, Indiana (TA-W-71,054A), Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Machesney Park, Illinois (TA-W-71,054B), Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Ultimate Staffing (Roth Staffing Companies) and Aerotek, Cromwell, Connecticut (TA-W-71,054C), Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Tampa, Florida (TA-W-71,054D), Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, Minster, Ohio (TA-W-71,054E), Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, St. Louis, Missouri (TA-W-71,054F), and Apria Healthcare, including on-site leased workers from Corestaff, San Diego, California (TA-W-71,054G), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 5, 2008, through November 23, 2011, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of February 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 2010–5308 Filed 3–11–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of February 1 through February 19, 2010.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and

(3) One of the following must be satisfied:

(A) Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;

(B) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;

(C) Imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased;

(D) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and

(4) The increase in imports contributed importantly to such