

review USAID's strategic plan and annual performance plan, annual budget submission and appeals, allocations and significant (in terms of policy or money) reprogrammings of development and other economic assistance.

### Section 6. Allocation of Funds

There are hereby allocated to the Administrator those funds allocated to the Secretary of State by section 1–800(a) of the Order that are appropriated for the purposes of part I of the Act, except for chapters 3 and 8, and chapter 4 of part II. There are allocated to the Director of Foreign Assistance those funds allocated to the Secretary of State by section 1–800(a) of the Order that are appropriated for purposes of chapters 3 and 8 of part I of the Act and all of part II of the Act, except for chapter 4.

### Section 7. General Provisions

(a) Department of State Delegation of Authority No. 145 of February 4, 1980, as amended, is revoked.

(b) As used in this delegation of authority, the word “function” includes any duty, obligation, power, authority, responsibility, right, privilege, discretion or activity.

(c) Any reference in this delegation of authority to any act, order, determination, delegation of authority, regulation, or procedure shall be deemed to be a reference to such act, order, determination, delegation of authority, regulation or procedure as amended from time to time.

(d) Any officer to whom functions are delegated by this delegation of authority may, to the extent consistent with law:

(1) Redelegate such functions and authorize their successive redelegation,

(2) Promulgate such rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out such functions, and

(3) Exercise the functions that may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the programs and activities for which authority is delegated to them under this Delegation.

(e) Any officer performing functions under the Act may perform the functions conferred upon the President by section 634B of the Act insofar as they relate to the performance of those functions.

(f) Except to the extent inconsistent with this delegation of authority, all delegations of authority, determinations, authorizations, regulations, rulings, certificates, orders, contracts, agreements, and other actions made, issued or entered into with respect to any function affected by this delegation of authority and not revoked, superseded, or otherwise made inapplicable before the effective date of

this delegation of authority, shall continue in full force and effect until modified, amended or terminated by appropriate authority; and

(g) Nothing in this delegation shall be interpreted in a manner inconsistent with the Chief Financial Officers Act.

(h) Any reference in this delegation of authority to any act, order, determination, delegation of authority, regulation, or procedure shall be deemed to apply to any provision of law that is the same or substantially the same as such act, order, determination, delegation of authority, regulation, or procedure.

(i) Notwithstanding any provision of this delegation of authority, the Secretary of State or the Deputy Secretary of State may at any time exercise any function delegated or reserved by this delegation of authority.

Dated: June 2, 2006.

**Condoleezza Rice,**

*Secretary of State, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. E6–10451 Filed 7–3–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710–08–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Office of the Secretary

#### **In the Matter of the Trade Name Issued to Hyannis Air Service, Inc. d/b/a Cape Air d/b/a Nantucket Airlines**

**AGENCY:** Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Notice of Order to Show Cause (Order 2006–6–36), Docket OST–2006–25256.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Transportation is directing all interested persons to show cause why it should not issue an order revoking the registration of the trade name “Nantucket Shuttle” issued to Hyannis Air Service, Inc. d/b/a Cape Air d/b/a Nantucket Airlines.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to file objections should do so no later than July 12, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Objections and answers to objections should be filed in Docket OST–2006–25256 and addressed to U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, (M–30, Room PL–401), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, and should be served upon the parties listed in Attachment A to the order.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

William M. Bertram, Chief, Air Carrier Fitness Division (X–56, Room 6401), U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366–9721.

Dated: June 28, 2006.

**Michael W. Reynolds,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs.*

[FR Doc. E6–10460 Filed 7–3–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–9X–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### **Notice of Availability of Draft Advisory Circulars, Other Policy Documents and Proposed Technical Standard Orders**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** This is a recurring Notice of Availability, and request for comments, on the draft advisory circulars (ACs), other policy documents, and proposed technical standard orders (TSOs) currently offered by the Aircraft Certification Service.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA's Aircraft Certification Service publishes proposed non-regulatory documents that are available for public comment on the Internet at [http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft\\_docs/](http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft_docs/).

**DATES:** We must receive comments on or before the due date for each document as specified on the Web site.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on proposed documents to the Federal Aviation Administration at the address specified on the Web site for the document being commented on, to the attention of the individual and office identified as point of contact for the document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** See the individual or FAA office identified on the Web site for the specified document.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

When commenting on draft ACs, other policy documents or proposed TSOs, you should identify the document by its number. The Director, Aircraft Certification Service, will consider all comments received on or before the closing date before issuing a final document. You can obtain a paper copy of the draft document or proposed TSO by contacting the individual or FAA office responsible for the document as identified on the Web site. You will find the draft ACs, other policy documents and proposed TSOs on the “Aircraft Certification Draft Documents Open for Comment” Web site at [http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft\\_docs/](http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft_docs/). For Internet retrieval assistance, contact the

AIR Internet Content Program Manager at 202-267-8361.

### Background

We do not publish an individual **Federal Register** notice for each document we make available for public comment. Persons wishing to comment on our draft ACs, other policy documents and proposed TSOs can find them by using the FAA's Internet address listed above. This notice of availability and request for comments on documents produced by the Aircraft Certification Service will appear again in 30 days.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 28, 2006.

**Terry Allen,**

*Acting Manager, Production and Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 06-5985 Filed 7-3-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA-2006-25257]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Comments for a New Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval for a new information collection, which is summarized below under Supplementary Information. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by September 5, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by DOT DMS Docket Number FHWA-2006-25257 by any of the following methods:

- *Web Site:* <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

- *Fax:* 1-202-493-2251

- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- *Hand Delivery:* Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington,

DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://dms.dot.gov> at any time or to Room 401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James March, 202-366-9237, or William Linde, 202-366-9637, Office of Transportation Policy Studies, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* National Evaluation of a Mileage-Based Road User Charge.

*Background:* Section 1919 of The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users of 2005 (SAFETEA-LU) calls for a study and long-term field test of a new mechanism for collecting revenues to fund State and Federal highway programs. This would be an alternative to the fuel tax that currently supports highway construction programs. The legislation specifies that the study include an analysis of a long-term field test of an approach to assessing highway use fees based upon actual mileage driven by a vehicle equipped with an on-board computer for the purpose of calculating highway mileage traversed.

This study and field test build upon an earlier pooled fund study involving 15 States and the Federal Highway Administration that evaluated a range of potential alternatives to the motor fuel tax for financing highway improvement programs. The alternative recommended at the end of that study was a mileage-based tax that: (1) Would utilize Global Positioning System (GPS) and other technologies expected to be deployed for intelligent transportation systems or other purposes; (2) would allow attribution of mileage and tax revenues to different taxing jurisdictions; (3) would be seamless to the user; and (4) would emphasize privacy considerations that were found to be so important to highway users.

During the pooled fund study it was recognized that any new taxing mechanism would require extensive field-testing to evaluate user acceptance. This study will include 200-250 participants per year in each of six geographic regions of the country. Persons selected to participate in the field-testing will have agreed to have the necessary on-board computer

technology installed in their personal vehicles for testing the mileage data collection technology. Field-testing will be conducted over two years with a new set of participants in each year—1,200 in the first year and 1,500 in the second. The participants will be asked to provide information every two months over the course of their participation in the field test in order to collect data that provides for an analysis of participant opinion on different aspects of the field test, such as privacy of data, level of detail of data transmitted to collection center, billing transmittal, among others. The participants will have a choice of providing their information by means of telephone, Internet or printed survey.

*Respondents:* Approximately 1,200 field-test participants in the first year of field-testing and 1,500 in the second year—total of approximately 2,700 over the two year field testing period.

*Frequency:* Every two months of the field-test period—six collections from each participant.

*Estimated Average Burden per Response:* Approximately 15 minutes during field-testing and 30 minutes for the final collection as the participant exits field-testing. Approximately 2 hours per participant over a year.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* Approximately 2,400 hours in the first year and 3,000 in the second year.

*Public Comments Invited:* You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the FHWA's performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burdens; (3) ways for the FHWA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

**James R. Kabel,**

*Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division.*

[FR Doc. E6-10470 Filed 7-3-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**