61842

#### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 25 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

# PART 25—AIRWORTHINESS STANDARDS: TRANSPORT CATEGORY AIRPLANES

1. The authority citation for part 25 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702 and 44704.

2. Amend § 25.677 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

# § 25.677 Trim systems.

(b) There must be means adjacent to the trim control to indicate the direction of the control movement relative to the airplane motion. In addition, there must be clearly visible means to indicate the position of the trim device with respect to the range of adjustment. The indicator must be clearly marked with the range within which it has been demonstrated that take-off is safe for all center of gravity positions approved for take-off.

\* \* \* \*

3. Revise § 25.1439 to read as follows:

#### §25.1439 Protective breathing equipment.

(a) Fixed (stationary, or built in) protective breathing equipment must be installed for the use of the flightcrew, and at least one portable protective breathing equipment shall be located at or near the flight deck for use by a flight crewmember. In addition, portable protective breathing equipment must be installed for the use of appropriate crewmembers for fighting fires in compartments accessible in flight. This includes isolated compartments and upper and lower lobe galleys, in which crewmember occupancy is permitted during flight. Equipment must be installed for the maximum number of crewmembers expected to be in the area during any operation.

(b) For protective breathing equipment required by paragraph (a) of this section or by the applicable Operating Regulations:

(1) The equipment must be designed to protect the appropriate crewmember from smoke, carbon dioxide, and other harmful gases while on flight deck duty or while combating fires.

(2) The equipment must include—(i) Masks covering the eyes, nose and

mouth, or (ii) Masks covering the nose and

mouth, plus accessory equipment to cover the eyes.

(3) Equipment, including portable equipment, must allow communication with other crewmembers while in use. Equipment available at flightcrew assigned duty stations must also enable the flightcrew to use radio equipment.

(4) The part of the equipment protecting the eyes shall not cause any appreciable adverse effect on vision and must allow corrective glasses to be worn.

(5) The equipment must supply protective oxygen of 15 minutes duration per crewmember at a pressure altitude of 8,000 feet with a respiratory minute volume of 30 liters per minute BTPD. The equipment and system must be designed to prevent any inward leakage to the inside of the device and prevent any outward leakage causing significant increase in the oxygen content of the local ambient atmosphere. If a demand oxygen system is used, a supply of 300 liters of free oxygen at 70° F. and 760mm Hg. pressure is considered to be of 15minute duration at the prescribed altitude and minute volume. If a continuous flow protective breathing system is used (including a closed circuit rebreather type system) a flow rate of 60 liters per minute at 8,000 feet (45 liters per minute at sea level) and a supply of 600 liters of free oxygen at 70° F. and 760 mm. Hg. pressure is considered to be of 15-minute duration at the prescribed altitude and minute volume. Continuous flow systems must not increase the ambient oxygen content of the local atmosphere above that of demand systems. BTPD refers to body temperature conditions (that is, 37° C., at ambient pressure, dry).

(6) The equipment must meet the requirements of § 25.1441.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 26, 2002.

#### Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 02–25055 Filed 10–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-SW-38-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Marathon Power Technologies Company

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD) for Marathon Power Technologies Company (Marathon) batteries. That action would have required visually inspecting screws installed on Marathon batteries and replacing certain unairworthy screws. Since issuing the NPRM, the FAA has reconsidered the proposal and determined that an AD is not the appropriate avenue for addressing individual cases of improper maintenance or lack of maintenance that prompted the proposal. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sung-Hui Cavazos, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Special Certification Office, Fort Worth, TX 76193–0190; telephone (817) 222–5142, fax (817) 222–5785.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend 14 CFR part 39 to add a new AD for Marathon batteries was published in the Federal Register on February 14, 2001 (66 FR 10241). The proposed rule would have required visually inspecting each #10-32 screw in certain Marathon batteries within 12 months or the next scheduled battery maintenance and, before further flight, replacing any unairworthy screw with an airworthy screw, part number 10488-020. That action was prompted by an explosion of a G.E./Saft battery due to failure of an unairworthy screw. The proposed actions were intended to prevent an explosion of a battery, structural damage, and subsequent loss of power to the electrical systems.

Since issuing that NPRM, the FAA has concluded that the explosion of the G.E./Saft battery and the other batteries that contained the unairworthy screws that prompted the proposal resulted from individual cases of improper maintenance or lack of maintenance due to failure to follow normal maintenance practices on a product.

Upon further consideration, the FAA has determined that the issue of the unairworthy screws is limited in scope and may be more effectively addressed as improper maintenance procedures. Accordingly, the proposed rule is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this NPRM constitutes only such action and does not preclude the agency from issuing another notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Since this action only withdraws an NPRM, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore, is not covered under Executive Order 12866, Executive Order 13132, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket No. 2000–SW–38– AD, published in the **Federal Register** on February 14, 2001 (66 FR 10241), is withdrawn.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on September 19, 2002.

#### Eric D. Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 02–24990 Filed 10–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-SW-61-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Eurocopter France Model AS 365 N3 and EC 155B Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the specified Eurocopter France (Eurocopter) helicopters. This proposal would revise the Airworthiness Limitations section of the maintenance manuals by establishing a new service life limit for the Fenestron pitch change control rod (control rod). This proposal is prompted by a failure of a control rod on a prototype helicopter that led to a precautionary landing. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the control rod, loss of control of the tail rotor, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 2, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001–SW– 61–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: *9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov.* Comments may be inspected at the Office of the Regional Counsel between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Grigg, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0110, telephone (817) 222–5490, fax (817) 222–5961.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this document may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their mailed comments submitted in response to this proposal must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 2001-SW–61-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Discussion

The Direction Generale De L'Aviation Civile (DGAC), the airworthiness authority for France, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on Eurocopter Model AS 365 N and Model EC 155B helicopters. The DGAC advises that a control rod failure occurred on a prototype aircraft and mandates removing control rod, part number (P/N) 365A33616121, at certain times depending on the number of helicopter flight hours.

Eurocopter has issued Alert Telex No. 04A003, for Model EC 155B helicopters, and Alert Telex No. 01.00.54, for Model AS 365 N3 helicopters, both dated September 5, 2001. The alert telexes specify a new service life of 300 flight hours for control rod, P/N 365A33616121. The DGAC classified these alert telexes as mandatory and issued AD No. 2001–443–054(A), for Model AS 365 N3 helicopters, and AD No. 2001–444–003(A), for Model EC 155B helicopters. Both AD's are dated October 17, 2001 and were issued to ensure the continued airworthiness of these helicopters in France.

These helicopter models are manufactured in France and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.29 and the applicable bilateral agreement. Pursuant to the applicable bilateral agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of these type designs that are certificated for operation in the United States.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of these same type designs registered in the United States. Therefore, the proposed AD would reduce the current service life limit of 1,500 hours time in service (TIS) to 300 hours TIS for the control rod, part number 365A33616121, and would require removing the control rod:

• Before further flight for helicopters with control rods having 700 or more hours TIS:

• Within 20 hours TIS for helicopters with control rods having 500 or more hours TIS, but less than 700 hours TIS; and

• Within 30 hours TIS for helicopters with control rods having more than 270 hours TIS and less than 500 hours TIS.

The proposed AD would require revising the Airworthiness Limitations section of the maintenance manuals to reflect the new retirement life of 300 hours TIS for the control rod. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the alert televes described previously.

The FAA estimates that 2 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 0.5 work hour per helicopter to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$60, assuming no control rods will reach the 300 hours TIS retirement life within the next year.

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship