intent to participate in these sunset reviews from Stanley Black & Decker (the domestic interested party) within 15 days after the date of publication of the *Initiation Notice*.[3] The domestic interested party claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of a domestic like product in the United States.[4]

Commerce received timely, adequate substantive responses to the *Initiation Notice* from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).[5] We did not receive substantive responses from any other interested parties.

On January 25, 2023, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from other interested parties.[6] As a result, in accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted expedited, *i.e.,* 120-day sunset reviews of the *Orders.*

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by these *Orders* are certain metal tool chests and tool cabinets, with drawers, from China and Vietnam. The scope covers all metal tool chests and cabinets, including top chests, intermediate chests, tool cabinets and side cabinets, storage units, mobile work benches, and work stations and that have the following physical characteristics:

(1) A body made of carbon, alloy, or stainless steel and/or other metals;

(2) two or more drawers for storage in each individual unit;

(3) a width (side to side) exceeding 15 inches for side cabinets and exceeding 21 inches for all other individual units but not exceeding 60 inches;

(4) a body depth (front to back) exceeding 10 inches but not exceeding 24 inches; and

(5) prepackaged for retail sale.

For purposes of this scope, the width parameter applies to each individual unit, *i.e.,* each individual top chest, intermediate top chest, tool cabinet, side cabinet, storage unit, mobile work bench, and work station. Merchandise subject to these *Orders* is classified under HTSUS categories 9403.20.0021, 9403.20.0026, 9403.20.0030, 9403.20.0080, 9403.20.0090, and 7326.90.8688, but may also be classified under HTSUS category 7326.90.3500. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these *Orders* is dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the *Orders* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[7]

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these sunset reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if the *Orders* were revoked.[8] A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.*

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Orders* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and that the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail would be at a rate up to 244.29 percent for China, and up to 327.17 percent for Vietnam.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: March 8, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Orders*
IV. History of the *Orders*
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
  2. Magnitude of Margin of Dumping Likely to Prevail
VII. Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews
VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023–05170 Filed 3–13–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–871]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) August 1, 2020, through July 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable March 14, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Preston Cox, AD/CVD

---

[3] *See* Domestic Interested Party's Letters, ''Five Year (''Sunset'') Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Tool Chests and Cabinets from China—Domestic Interested Party's Notice of Intent to Participate,'' dated December 15, 2022; *see also* ''Five Year (''Sunset'') Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Tool Chests and Cabinets from Vietnam—Domestic Interested Party's Notice of Intent to Participate,'' dated December 15, 2022 (*Notices of Intent to Participate*). The petitioner in the underlying investigation, Waterloo Industries Inc. (Waterloo), was acquired by Stanley Black & Decker Corporation on July 28, 2017, and now operates under the Stanley Black & Decker name.

[4] *Id.*

[5] *See* Domestic Interested Party's Letters, ''Five Year (''Sunset'') Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Tool Chests and Cabinets from China—Domestic Interested Party's Substantive Response,'' dated January 3, 2023 (China Substantive Response); *see also* ''Five Year (''Sunset'') Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Tool Chests and Cabinets from Vietnam—Domestic Interested Party's Substantive Response,'' dated January 3, 2023 (Vietnam Substantive Response).

[6] *See* Commerce's Letter, ''Sunset Reviews Initiated December 1, 2022,'' dated January 25, 2023.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Tool Chests and Cabinets from the People's Republic of China, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[8] *Id.*

Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924 or (202) 482–5041, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 24, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty (AD) order on finished carbon steel flanges from India.[1] On September 8, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**.[2] We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.[3] Between October 11 and 18, 2022, interested parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs.[4] On December 21, 2022, we extended the deadline for these final results until March 7, 2022.[5] This administrative review covers 41 producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise.[6] Commerce selected R.N. Gupta & Co. Ltd. (RNG) and Norma Group [7] for individual examination. The producers/exporters not selected for individual examination are referenced in the ''Final Results of Administrative Review'' section below and listed in Appendix II of this notice. For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[8] Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is finished carbon steel flanges. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by interested parties in this review are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.*

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and our analysis of the comments received, Commerce made certain changes to the *Preliminary Results.* For detailed information, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Selected Respondents

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally ''an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.''

In this administrative review, we preliminarily calculated weighted-average dumping margins for RNG and Norma Group that are not zero, *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. For these final results, we continue to calculate weighted-average dumping margins for RNG and Norma Group that are not zero, *de minimis,* or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Accordingly, Commerce is assigning to the companies not individually examined, listed in Appendix II, a margin of 0.84 percent, which is the weighted average of RNG's margin and Norma Group's margin based on publicly ranged data.[9]

Final Results of Administrative Review

For these final results, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period August 1, 2020, through July 31, 2021:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
R.N. Gupta & Co. Ltd	0.73
Norma (India) Limited/USK Export Private Limited/Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co./Bansidhar Chiranjilal	1.00
Non-Selected Companies [10]	0.84

[1] *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India and Italy: Antidumping Duty Orders,* 82 FR 40136 (August 24, 2017) (*Order*).

[2] *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,* 87 FR 54957 (September 8, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

[3] *See Preliminary Results,* 87 FR at 54958.

[4] *See* Weldbend Corporation's Letter, ''Petitioner's Case Brief,'' dated October 11, 2022; R.N. Gupta & Co. Ltd.'s Letter, ''Rebuttal Brief of R.N. Gupta & Company Limited,'' dated October 18, 2022; and Norma (India) Limited's Letter, ''Rebuttal Brief of Norma (India) Limited and its Affiliates,'' dated October 18, 2022.

[5] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results,'' dated December 21, 2022.

[6] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 86 FR 55811 (October 7, 2021). Commerce initiated on ''Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co.'' and ''UmaShanker Khandelwal and Co.'' based on the requests for administrative review that Commerce received from interested parties. Because of the minor differences in the spelling of these company names, we combined them under the name Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co.

[7] Commerce continues to treat Norma (India) Limited, USK Exports Private Limited, Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co., and Bansidhar Chiranjilal (collectively, Norma Group) as a collapsed single entity for the final results of this administrative review. *See Preliminary Results* PDM at 1; *see also Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020,* 87 FR 13701 (March 10, 2022).

[8] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the antidumping Duty Order on Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India; 2020–2021,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[9] *See* Memorandum, ''Calculation of Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination,'' dated concurrently with this notice.

[10] *See* Appendix II for a list of companies not selected for individual examination.

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine and CBP shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For RNG and Norma Group, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis,* the entries by that importer will be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties. For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by RNG or Norma Group for which the producer did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate in the less-than-fair-value investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.[11] For the companies identified in Appendix II that were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries at the rates established in these final results of review.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 8.91 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.[12] These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: March 7, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Changes since the *Preliminary Results*
V. Discussion of the Issues
  Comment 1: Constructed Value Profit and Indirect Selling Expenses
  Comment 2: Norma Group's Interest Expenses
  Comment 3: Operating Expenses Related to RNG's Affiliates
  Comment 4: Calculation of RNG's Interest Expense Ratio
VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

List of Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

1. Adinath International
2. Allena Group
3. Alloyed Steel
4. Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd.
5. Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited [13]
6. C. D. Industries
7. Cetus Engineering Private Limited
8. CHW Forge
9. CHW Forge Pvt. Ltd.
10. Citizen Metal Depot
11. Corum Flange
12. DN Forge Industries
13. Echjay Forgings Limited
14. Falcon Valves and Flanges Private Limited
15. Heubach International
16. Hindon Forge Pvt. Ltd.
17. Jai Auto Pvt. Ltd.
18. Kinnari Steel Corporation
19. Mascot Metal Manufacturers
20. M F Rings and Bearing Races Ltd.
21. Munish Forge Private Limited
22. OM Exports
23. Punjab Steel Works
24. Raaj Sagar Steels
25. Ravi Ratan Metal Industries
26. R. D. Forge
27. Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd.
28. Rollwell Forge Engineering Components

[11] *See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties,* 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

[12] *See Order,* 82 FR at 40138.

[13] *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review,* 87 FR 34251 (June 6, 2022), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4, unchanged in *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review,* 87 FR 44337 (July 26, 2022). On July 26, 2022, Commerce published the final results of an antidumping duty changed circumstances review of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India. Commerce found that BFN Forgings Private Limited (BFN) is the successor-in-interest to Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited (Bebitz). Effective August 14, 2020, Bebitz changed its name to BFN, which is during the POR. Cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties required pursuant to the final results of this review will be applied to BFN. Commerce intends to instruct CBP to liquidate entries made during the POR by Bebitz, and entries made after August 14, 2020, by BFN, at the rates established in these final results of review.

and Flanges
29. Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd.
30. SHM (ShinHeung Machinery)
31. Siddhagiri Metal & Tubes
32. Sizer India
33. Steel Shape India
34. Sudhir Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
35. Tirupati Forge Pvt. Ltd.
36. Umashanker Khandelwal Forging Limited

[FR Doc. 2023–05149 Filed 3–13–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–914]

Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Hangzhou Ailong Metal Products Co., Ltd. (Ailong) made U.S. sales of light-walled rectangular pipe and tube (LWRPT) from the People's Republic of China (China) at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) August 1, 2020, through July 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable March 14, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magd Zalok, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4162.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 9, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited interested parties to comment.[1] For details regarding the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order [2]

The scope of the *Order* is certain welded carbon quality light-walled steel pipe and tube, of rectangular (including square) cross section, having a wall thickness of less than 4 millimeters. For a full description of the scope, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed in this administrative review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.*

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made a change from the *Preliminary Results* involving the surrogate value (SV) for labor. As noted in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we determined that forced labor practices in Malaysia resulted in our Malaysian-based labor SV being unsuitable for use. Therefore, we instead used the SV for labor on the record from Romania, one of the potential surrogate countries in this administrative review.

Separate Rates

No parties commented on our preliminary separate rate findings. Therefore, we have continued to grant Ailong (the mandatory respondent) separate rate status.

Final Results of Review

We are assigning the following dumping margin to the firm listed below for the period August 1, 2020, through July 31, 2021:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Hangzhou Ailong Metal Products Co., Ltd	63.16

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results of review to parties in this review within five days after public announcement of the final results or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.[3] Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication date of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

Where the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis,* Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.[4] For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (*i.e.,* at the individually-examined exporter's cash deposit rate), Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate (*i.e.,* 264.64 percent).[5]

For any individually-examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.,* 0.50 percent), we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on

[1] *See Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,* 87 FR 55392 (September 9, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

[2] *See Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders; Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,* 73 FR 45403 (August 5, 2008) (*Order*).

[3] *See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification,* 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

[4] *Id.*

[5] *See Order,* 73 FR 45403.