Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility

criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified. The investigation revealed that the

criterion under paragraph (a)(1), or

(b)(1), or (c)(1)(employment decline or threat of separation) of section 222 has not been met.

TA–W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
81,487	COM Corp Industries, ISATEC Technical Center	Garfield Heights, OH	

The investigation revealed that the criteria under paragraphs(a)(2)(A)

(increased imports) and (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country) of section 222 have not been met.

TA–W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
81,372 81,372A	RockTenn, RockTenn LLC CP, Corrugated Division Simpson Lumber Company, LLC Simpson Lumber Company, LLC Simpson Lumber Company, LLC	Shelton, WA Tacoma, WA	

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of April 16, 2012 through April 20, 2012. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site tradeact/taa/taa search form.cfm. under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888–365–6822.

Dated: April 27, 2012.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012–11052 Filed 5–7–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than May 18, 2012.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than May 18, 2012.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of April 2012.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[29 TAA petitions instituted between 4/16/12 and 4/20/12]

TA–W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
81496	Standard Motor Products (Workers)	Mishawaka, IN	04/16/12	10/21/11
81497	Eastman Kodak Company (Workers)	Rochester, NY	04/16/12	04/11/12
81498	Journal Register Company (State/One-Stop)	Mt. Clemens, MI	04/16/12	03/22/12
81499	Verizon Wireless (State/One-Stop)	Houston, TX	04/16/12	04/12/12
81500	StarTek (Workers)	Jonesboro, AR	04/16/12	03/27/12
81501	The Travelers Indemnity Company (Workers)	Knoxville, TN	04/16/12	04/05/12
81502	Hanesbrand Inc. (Workers)	Martinsville, VA	04/16/12	03/30/12
81503	Honeywell International (State/One-Stop)	Acton, MA	04/16/12	04/12/12
81504	Atkore International (Workers)	Morrisville, PA	04/16/12	04/05/12
81505	The Warranty Group, IT Department (State/One-Stop)	Chicago, IL	04/17/12	04/16/12
81506	Towers Watson (State/One-Stop)	Chicago, IL	04/17/12	04/16/12
81507	PWC (Workers)	Tampa, FL	04/17/12	04/14/12
81508	Burris, Incorporated (Company)	Spartanburg, SC	04/17/12	04/09/12

APPENDIX—Continued

[29 TAA petitions instituted between 4/16/12 and 4/20/12]

TA–W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
81509	Parkdale Mills #30 (Workers)	Hillsville, VA	04/17/12	03/22/12
81510	Motorola Solutions, Inc. (Workers)	Schaumburg, IL	04/17/12	04/16/12
81511		Dothan, AL	04/17/12	04/16/12
81512		Shreveport, LA	04/18/12	04/17/12
81513		Shreveport, LA	04/18/12	04/17/12
81514	Veolia Environmental Services (Company)	Shreveport, LA	04/18/12	04/17/12
81515	General Security Systems working on-site at Smurflt-Stone Corporation (Workers).	Ontonagon, MI	04/18/12	04/12/12
81516	Flo-Pro Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Bedford, NH	04/18/12	04/17/12
81517		Tupelo, MS	04/19/12	04/04/12
81518	Maersk Agency USA, Inc. (Company)	Madison, NJ	04/19/12	04/13/12
81519		West Carrollton, OH	04/19/12	04/16/12
81520	T–Mobile USA Inc. (Union)	7 facilities in PA, FL, TX, KS,	04/19/12	04/17/12
		CO, & OR—follow-up email will specify, WA.		
81521	Journal Register East (Workers)	New Haven, CT	04/19/12	04/09/12
81522		Pittsburgh, PA	04/20/12	04/19/12
81523	Dameron Alloy Foundries (State/One-Stop)	Compton, CA	04/20/12	04/19/12
81524	FT Material Solutions, Inc. (Company)	Fairview, OR	04/20/12	04/17/12

[FR Doc. 2012–11051 Filed 5–7–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,485]

R.R. Donnelley, Inc., Bloomsburg, PA; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On March 1, 2012, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for workers and former workers of R.R. Donnelley, Inc., Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The Department's Notice of affirmative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on February 21, 2012 (77 FR 9972). The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of hard and soft cover books.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the

findings that the subject firm did not import hard and soft cover books, or articles like or directly competitive, during the relevant time period. A survey conducted on the subject firm's major customer revealed no imports of hard and soft cover books, or articles like or directly competitive.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners claimed that worker separations at the subject firm were attributable to the subject firm's international operations and increased import competition of hard and soft cover books, as well as electronic books (e-books).

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department reviewed and confirmed information provided during the initial investigation and collected additional information from the subject firm and the surveyed customer.

The reconsideration investigation findings revealed that the subject firm has not shifted the production of hard and soft cover books to a foreign country and does not import hard and soft cover books, or like or directly competitive articles. The reconsideration investigation was extended to consider the trade impact from a shift of production or imports of e-books. The information revealed that the production of e-books by the subject firm takes place domestically. Additionally, subject firm's customer stated that it does not import e-books.

Based on a careful review of information obtained during the initial investigation and the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of R.R. Donnelley, Inc., Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 27th day of April 2012.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012–11055 Filed 5–7–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,454]

TMI Forest Products, Inc., Crane Creek Division, Morton, WA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated March 6, 2012, a representative of the Washington State Labor Counsel, AFL–CIO, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of TMI Forest Products, Inc., Crane Creek Division, Morton, Washington (subject firm). The