

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (e)—SPREADS—Continued

Maturity point	Third quarter 2024 spreads (percent)	Fourth quarter 2024 spreads (percent)	First quarter 2025 spreads (percent)	Second quarter 2025 spreads (percent)
14.5 .....	0.35	0.31	0.31	0.29
15.0 .....	0.35	0.31	0.31	0.29
15.5 .....	0.35	0.31	0.30	0.28
16.0 .....	0.35	0.31	0.30	0.28
16.5 .....	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.27
17.0 .....	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.27
17.5 .....	0.34	0.31	0.29	0.26
18.0 .....	0.34	0.31	0.29	0.26
18.5 .....	0.34	0.31	0.29	0.25
19.0 .....	0.34	0.31	0.29	0.25
19.5 .....	0.34	0.30	0.28	0.24
20.0 .....	0.34	0.30	0.28	0.24
20.5 .....	0.33	0.30	0.28	0.23
21.0 .....	0.33	0.30	0.28	0.23
21.5 .....	0.33	0.30	0.27	0.22
22.0 .....	0.33	0.30	0.27	0.22
22.5 .....	0.33	0.30	0.27	0.22
23.0 .....	0.33	0.30	0.27	0.22
23.5 .....	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.21
24.0 .....	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.21
24.5 .....	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.20
25.0 .....	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.20
25.5 .....	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.20
26.0 .....	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.20
26.5 .....	0.32	0.30	0.26	0.20
27.0 .....	0.32	0.30	0.26	0.20
27.5 .....	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.19
28.0 .....	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.19
28.5 .....	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.19
29.0 .....	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.19
29.5 .....	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.19
30.0 .....	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.19

Issued in Washington, DC.

**Hilary Duke,**

*Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 2025–05531 Filed 4–2–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7709–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2025–0176]

**RIN 1625–AA87**

#### Security Zone; Cooper River, Charleston, SC

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone for navigable waters of the Cooper River, in the vicinity of the Arthur Ravenel Jr. Bridge, near Charleston and Mount Pleasant, South Carolina. This action is

necessary to provide for the security and protection of life of participants and spectators during the Cooper River Bridge Run. Entry of vessels or persons into the security zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Charleston or a designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 7:30 a.m. until 10:30 a.m. on April 5, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2025–0176 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, in the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material.”

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, call or email Chief Marine Science Technician Tyler M. Campbell, Sector Charleston, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (843) 740–3184, email [Tyler.M.Campbell@uscg.mil](mailto:Tyler.M.Campbell@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking

§ Section

U.S.C. United States Code

## II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule under authority in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). This statutory provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” The Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. The Coast Guard did not receive the information required to develop and finalize plans for an official patrol of the security zone in ample time to allow for public comment for the Cooper River Bridge Run even scheduled on April 5, 2025. It would be impracticable to delay promulgating this rule, as it is necessary to protect the safety and security of participants in this event and mitigate potential subversive acts.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** for the same reasons as discussed above.

### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard may issue this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70051 and 70124. The Captain of the Port (COTP) Charleston has determined that the presence of persons under the protection of the Coast Guard in the Sector Charleston COTP zone presents a potential target for terrorist attack, sabotage, or other subversive acts, accidents, or other causes of similar nature. The rule is needed to protect persons under the protection of the Coast Guard, personnel in and around the Cooper River Bridge Run event.

### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a security zone from 7:30 a.m. until 10:30 a.m. on April 5, 2025. The security zone would cover all navigable waters of the Cooper River, in the vicinity of the Arthur Ravenel Jr. Bridge.

Entry into this security zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the COTP or their designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of the Coast Guard Sector Charleston. Requests for entry will be considered and reviewed on a case-by-case basis. The COTP may be contacted by telephone at 843-740-3184 or can be reached by VHF-FM channel 16. Persons and vessels permitted to enter these security zones must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or their designated representative.

### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094

(Modernizing Regulatory Review). Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on: (1) The security zone would only be enforced for a total of three hours; (2) although persons and vessels may not enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the zone without authorization from the COTP or a designated representative, they would be able to operate in the surrounding areas during the enforcement period; (3) persons and vessels may still enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the areas during the enforcement period if authorized by the COTP or a designated representative; and (4) the Coast Guard will provide advance notification of the zone to the local maritime community by Broadcast Notice to Mariners, or by on-scene designated representatives.

#### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions

annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a

category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a security zone lasting only 3 hours that will prohibit persons and vessels from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within a limited area surrounding the Arthur Ravenel Jr. Bridge over the Cooper River. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.3.

- 2. Add § 165.T07–0228 to read as follows:

##### § 165.T07–0228 Security Zone; Cooper River Bridge Run, Charleston, SC.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: All waters of the Cooper River, and Town Creek Reaches encompassed within the following points: beginning at 32°48′32″ N, 079°56′08″ W, thence east to 32°48′20″ N, 079°54′18″ W, thence south to 32°47′20″ N, 079°54′29″ W, thence west to 32°47′20″ N, 079°55′28″ W, thence north to origin. All coordinates are 1984 World Geodetic System (WGS 84).

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port (COTP) Charleston in the enforcement of the security zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this

section unless authorized by the COTP Charleston or designated representative.

(2) Designated representatives may control vessel traffic throughout the enforcement area as determined by the prevailing conditions.

(3) To seek permission to enter, contact COTP Charleston or representative by telephone at (843) 740–7050 or via VHF radio on channel 16. Those in the security zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP Charleston or designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 7:30 a.m. until 10:30 a.m. on April 5, 2025.

**F.J. DelRosso,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Charleston.*

[FR Doc. 2025–05712 Filed 4–2–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R02–OAR–2023–0242; FRL 12441–02–R2]

##### Approval of Source-Specific Air Quality Implementation Plan; New York; Lehigh Cement Company LLC

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a revision to the State of New York's State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) related to a Source-specific SIP (SSSIP) revision for Lehigh Cement Company LLC, located at 313 Warren Street, Glens Falls, New York (the Facility). The control options in this SSSIP revision implement Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) with respect to nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from the relevant Facility source, which is identified as one Portland cement kiln (the Kiln). This action is being taken in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for implementation of the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS. The EPA proposed to approve this rule on December 26, 2024, and received no comments. This final action will not interfere with ozone NAAQS requirements and meets all applicable requirements of the CAA.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on May 5, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID Number EPA–R02–OAR–2023–0242. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) (formerly referred to as Confidential Business Information (CBI)) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Lin, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, 212–637–3711, or by email at [lin.stephanie@epa.gov](mailto:lin.stephanie@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. What is the background for this action?
- II. What comments were received in response to the EPA's proposed action?
- III. What action is the EPA taking?
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

##### I. What is the background for this action?

On December 26, 2024, the EPA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that proposed to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of New York on July 1, 2022, for purposes of a Portland cement manufacturing and quarry facility operated by Lehigh Cement Company LLC, located in Glens Falls, New York. (See 89 FR 104946.) This Lehigh Cement SSSIP is intended to implement NO<sub>x</sub> RACT for the Kiln for purposes of the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS. This Lehigh Cement SSSIP replaces and withdraws the Lehigh Cement SSSIPs that were submitted by the State on September 16, 2008, and December 18, 2013. In this SSSIP submittal, the EPA has reviewed the RACT determination for the Kiln for consistency with the CAA and the EPA regulations, as interpreted through EPA actions and guidance. The State's July 1, 2022 SIP submittal consists of a cover letter, Title V permit application proof, the 2021 NO<sub>x</sub> RACT re-evaluation, consent decree, and the 2020 NO<sub>x</sub> RACT analysis.

The source at issue in this action is a short, dry preheater Kiln. NYSDC RACT regulations establish RACT