

and should address the considerations contained in 10 CFR 2.1308(a). Untimely requests and petitions may be denied, as provided in 10 CFR 2.1308(b), unless good cause for failure to file on time is established. In addition, an untimely request or petition should address the factors that the Commission will also consider, in reviewing untimely requests or petitions, set forth in 10 CFR 2.1308(b)(1)–(2).

Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be served upon counsel for FE, Roy P. Lessey, Esq., Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer, & Feld, L.L.P., 1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW., Suite 400, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 887–4500, (202) 887–4288 (fax), e-mail: rllessy@akingump.com; and Mary O'Reilly, Esq., FirstEnergy Corp., 76 South Main Street, Akron, OH 44308, (330) 384–5224, (330) 384–3875 (fax), e-mail: meoreilly@firstenergycorp.com; counsel for GPUN, David R. Lewis, Esq., Shaw Pittman, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037–1128, (202) 663–8474, (202) 663–8007 (fax), e-mail: david.lewis@shawpittman.com; and Michael J. Connolly, Esq., Vice President—Law, GPU Service, Inc., 300 Madison Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07962, (973) 455–8245, (973) 993–4801 (fax), e-mail: mconnolly@gpu.com; the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 (e-mail address for filings regarding license transfer cases only: ogclt@nrc.gov; and the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1313.

The Commission will issue a notice or order granting or denying a hearing request or intervention petition, designating the issues for any hearing that will be held and designating the Presiding Officer. A notice granting a hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** and served on the parties to the hearing.

As an alternative to requests for hearing and petitions to intervene, by January 3, 2001, persons may submit written comments regarding the license transfer application, as provided for in 10 CFR 2.1305. The Commission will consider and, if appropriate, respond to these comments, but such comments will not otherwise constitute part of the decisional record. Comments should be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice.

For further details with respect to this action, see the license transfer application dated September 26, 2000, and supplements dated September 27, November 9, and November 14, 2000, available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22nd day of November 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John L. Minns,

Project Manager, Decommissioning Section, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–30786 Filed 12–1–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–423]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al.; Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Final Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued Amendment No. 189 to Facility Operating License No. NPF–49, issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO), which revised the Technical Specifications (TSs) for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 located in New London, Connecticut. The amendment was effective as of the date of its issuance.

The amendment modifies License No. NPF–49 for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 (MNPS3) by revising TSs 1.40, “Spent Fuel Pool Storage Pattern”; 1.41, “3-OUT-OF-4 AND 4-OUT-OF-4”; 3/4.9.1.2, “Boron Concentration”; 3/4.9.7, “Crane Travel-Spent Fuel Storage Areas”; 3/4.9.13, “Spent Fuel Pool-Reactivity”; 3.9.14, “Spent Fuel Pool-Storage Pattern”; 5.6.1.1, “Design Features—Criticality”; and 5.6.3, “Design Features—Capacity.” In addition, the amendment revises INDEX pages xii and xv for new figures and page numbers and replaces Figures 3.9–1 and 3.9–2 with four new figures and makes changes to the TS Bases consistent with changes to their respective TS sections. These changes are being made to support the increase in the capacity of the spent fuel pool at

MNPS3 from 756 assemblies to 1,860 assemblies.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the **Federal Register** on September 7, 1999 (64 FR 48672). A request for a hearing was filed on October 6, 1999, by the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone (CCAM) and the Long Island Coalition Against Millstone (CAM). As a result of the request, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB) held hearings on July 19, 2000. The ASLB, reaching a conclusion on the contentions brought before it, issued its Memorandum and Order on October 26, 2000. Having reached a conclusion, the ASLB ordered the hearing terminated. However, the proceeding continues, since, on November 13, 2000, CCAM/CAM petitioned the Commission for review of the Memorandum and Order.

Under its regulations, the Commission may issue and make an amendment immediately effective, notwithstanding the pendency before it of a request for a hearing from any person, in advance of the holding and completion of any required hearing, where it has determined that no significant hazards consideration is involved.

The Commission has applied the standards of 10 CFR 50.92 and has made a final determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The basis for this determination is contained in the Safety Evaluation related to this amendment. Accordingly, as described above, the amendment has been issued and made immediately effective.

The Commission has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action see: (1) The application for an amendment filed by NNECO dated March 19, 1999, as supplemented April 17, May 5, June 16, July 26, and November 21, 2000, (2) Amendment No.

189 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, MD, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 28th day of November 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James W. Clifford,

Chief, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00-30784 Filed 12-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-336 and 50-423]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al.; Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Final Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued Amendment Nos. 250 and 188 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-65 and NPF-49, issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO), which revised the Technical Specifications (TSs) for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 located in New London, Connecticut. The amendment was effective as of the date of its issuance.

The amendments revise the TSs to relocate selected procedural details contained in the radiological effluent technical specifications (RETS) to the Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Offsite Dose Calculation Manual which is a licensee-controlled document. The relocation will be done in accordance with NRC guidance provided in: (1) Generic Letter 89-01, "Implementation of Programmatic Controls for Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications in the Administrative Controls Section of the Technical Specifications and the Relocation of Procedural Details of RETS to the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual or to the Process Control Program;" (2) NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications Westinghouse Plants;" and (3) NUREG-1432, "Standard Technical Specifications Combustion Engineering Plants." In addition, several administrative changes to the TSs for Unit 2 are included.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the **Federal Register** on August 9, 2000, (65 FR 48754). A request for a hearing was filed on September 8, 2000, by the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone and the STAR (Standing for Truth About Radiation) Foundation.

Under its regulations, the Commission may issue and make an amendment immediately effective, notwithstanding the pendency before it of a request for a hearing from any person, in advance of the holding and completion of any required hearing, where it has determined that no significant hazards consideration is involved.

The Commission has applied the standards of 10 CFR 50.92 and has made a final determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. The basis for this determination is contained in the Safety Evaluation related to this action. Accordingly, as described above, the amendments have been issued and made immediately effective and any hearing will be held after issuance.

The Commission has determined that the amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action see (1) the application for amendments dated February 22, 2000, as supplemented August 28, 2000, (2) Amendment Nos. 250 and 188 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-65 and NPF-49, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, MD, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of November 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James W. Clifford,

Chief, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00-30788 Filed 12-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446]

TXU Utilities Electric Company, et al.; Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2; notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed no Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or NRC) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89 issued to TXU Electric Company, *et al.* (the licensee), for operation of the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Units 1 and 2, respectively. The CPSES facility is located at the licensee's site in Somervell County, Texas.

The proposed amendments would revise the technical specifications to reconfigure spent fuel storage in the spent fuel pool and increase the spent fuel pool storage capacity from 2,026 to 3,373 fuel assemblies.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated, or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Do the proposed changes involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.