that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: July 15, 2021.

#### Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–15410 Filed 7–19–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Order No. 2114]

# Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 76 Under Alternative Site Framework, Bridgeport, Connecticut

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Act provides for ". . . the establishment . . . of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (15 CFR Sec. 400.2(c)) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of zones:

Whereas, the Bridgeport Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 76, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket B–24–2021, docketed March 19, 2021) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Fairfield and Litchfield Counties as well as a portion of New Haven County, Connecticut, in and adjacent to the Bridgeport Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 76's existing Site 5 would be categorized as a magnet site, and existing Subzone 76A would become a subzone under the ASF;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (86 FR 15887, 3/25/2021) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied;

*Now, therefore,* the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 76 under the ASF is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the zone, to an ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Site 5 if not activated within five years from the month of approval, and to an ASF sunset provision for subzone/usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for each existing site of Subzone 76A if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted to the site for a bona fide customs purpose within three years from the month of approval.

Dated: July 15, 2021.

#### Christian B. Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 2021–15411 Filed 7–19–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[B-22-2021]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 123— Denver, Colorado, Authorization of Production Activity, Lockheed Martin Corporation, Lockheed Martin Space (Satellites and Other Spacecraft), Littleton, Colorado

On March 17, 2021, Lockheed Martin Corporation, Lockheed Martin Space submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility within Subzone 123G, in Littleton, Colorado.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (86 FR 15642, March 24, 2021). On July 15, 2021, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: July 15, 2021.

#### Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–15417 Filed 7–19–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[C-469-818]

Ripe Olives From Spain: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018; Correction

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) published notice in the Federal Register of July 2, 2021 in which Commerce determined that Angel Camacho Alimentacion S.L. (Camacho), producer and/or exporter of ripe olives from Spain, received countervailable subsidies during the period of review, November 28, 2017, through December 31, 2018. This notice failed to list the cross-owned affiliates of Camacho.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Dusten Hom at (202) 482–5075 or Mary
Kolberg at (202) 482–1785; AD/CVD
Operations, Enforcement and
Compliance, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue
NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of July 2, 2021, in FR Doc 2021–14142, on page 35266, in the third column, correct the *Final Results* as follows: <sup>1</sup>

# **Final Results of Review**

We determine the following net countervailable subsidy rates for the period of November 28, 2017, through December 31, 2018:

Exporter/producer	Subsidy rate
Agro Sevilla Aceitunas S.COOP Andalusia	7.01
Angel Camacho Alimentacion S.L. <sup>2</sup>	<sup>3</sup> 5.23
S.Coop. And	22.36

#### **Background**

On July 2, 2021, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the final results

Continued

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Ripe Olives from Spain: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2017– 2018, 86 FR 35266 (July 2, 2021) (Final Results), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Camacho's cross-owned companies are: Grupo Angel Camacho Alimentacíon; Cuarterola S.L.; and Cucanoche S.L. These cross-owned companies are identified in the *Preliminary Results*. See Ripe