

While the GES captures information on all types of traffic crashes, the CDS focuses on more severe crashes involving passenger vehicles to better document the consequences to vehicles and occupants in crashes—i.e., crashworthiness.

NASS was originally designed in the 1970's, and has not received significant revision since that time with regard to the type of data collected and the sites of data collection. Over the last three decades, NHTSA understands that the scope of traffic safety studies have expanded and the data needs of the transportation community have increased and significantly changed. In addition, the distribution of the U.S. population has shifted over the past 23 years, and there is a growing need for the collection of information that addresses issues of crash avoidance. Recognizing the importance of this data, NHTSA is pursuing data improvement initiatives that will enhance the quality of the data collected and the overall effectiveness of the NASS.

This modernization effort includes the following major objectives:

- Propose data elements for the crash investigation portion of NASS that are responsive to the current and future needs of both internal and external data users;
- Develop a detailed, executable sample design and data collection protocol blueprint that meets data needs in an effective and efficient manner while still maintaining national representativeness;
- Modernize the information technology (IT) infrastructure;
- Re-examine the electronic formats in which the crash data files are made available to the public; and
- Examine using new data collection methods and quality control procedures to improve data quality and timeliness.

In order to meet these objectives, NHTSA invites stakeholders to comment on the current data elements, propose new data elements, make suggestions on the research design and data collection protocol for the modernized study, and make any other suggestions they feel NHTSA should consider to improve crash data.

Current NASS data elements, coding instructions, and descriptive materials can be reviewed on NHTSA's Web site at: <http://nhtsa.gov/NASS>.

Terry Shelton,

Associate Administrator for the National Center for Statistics and Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2012-15228 Filed 6-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket ID PHMSA-2012-0142]

Pipeline Safety: Notice of Public Workshop To Discuss Implementing Incorporation by Reference Requirements of Section 24 of the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Request for information and notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: This notice is to advise interested and affected persons that PHMSA will conduct a public workshop to discuss Section 24 of the recently-passed Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 (Act) and PHMSA's implementation challenges with Section 24. Section 24 of the Act requires, within one year of enactment (January 2013), that PHMSA no longer incorporate, in whole or in part, voluntary consensus standards by reference into its regulations unless those standards have been made available free of charge to the public on the Internet. The workshop will provide interested persons with an opportunity to submit written and oral comments and participate in discussions concerning the legal, financial, policy, practical and other challenges with implementing Section 24 by January 2013.

DATES: The public workshop will be held on July 13, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The public workshop will be held from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. EDT in the West Building, Oklahoma Room of the U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202-366-4400, Fax: 202-366-7041. Please visit <http://phmsa.dot.gov> and click on this public workshop to register. There is no registration fee to attend the public workshop. Name badge pickup and onsite registration will be available starting at 7:30 a.m. Refer to the meeting Web site for updated information, agenda, and times at <http://phmsa.dot.gov>.

The public workshop will include an overview of the issue in the morning, and a panel discussion by various experts and stakeholders who are affected by regulations promulgated by PHMSA. After the discussion, time will be allotted for the general public to speak. All requests from the public to

speak at the workshop must include a description of what will be said, contact information to be used to notify the requestor of the status of his/her request (phone number on which a message may be left, or email), and the subject/attention line (or on the envelope if by mail): "Implementing Incorporation by Reference (IBR) Requirements of Section 24." Each participant will be allotted five minutes to speak. Please contact Jewel Smith, Office of Chief Counsel, to request to speak at the public workshop at 202-366-4400, or email at jewel.smith@dot.gov.

Members of the public may submit written comments. Comments should reference Docket No. PHMSA-2012-0142. Comments may be submitted in the following ways:

- *E-Gov Web Site:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. This site allows the public to enter comments on any **Federal Register** notice issued by any agency. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* 1-202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590.
- *Hand Delivery:* DOT, Docket Management System, Room W12-140, on the ground floor of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Instructions: Identify Docket No. PHMSA-2012-0142 at the beginning of your comments. If you submit your comments by mail, submit two copies. If you wish to receive confirmation that PHMSA has received your comments, include a self-addressed stamped postcard. Internet users may submit comments at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Note: Comments will be posted without changes or edits to <http://www.regulations.gov> including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act statement immediately following for additional information.

Privacy Act Statement: Anyone may search the electronic form of all comments received for any of our dockets. You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477).

Information on Services for Individuals with Disabilities: For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance during the workshop, please contact Jewel Smith at

202-366-4400, or by email at jewel.smith@dot.gov.

Copies of the presentations will be available on the public workshop Web site and in the docket PHMSA-2012-0142 at <http://www.regulations.gov>, within 30 days following the workshop.

Webcasting: The public workshop will be Webcast. Please refer to this public workshop at <http://phmsa.dot.gov> for more information and the link to the Webcast.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jewel Smith at 202-366-4400, or by email at jewel.smith@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 3, 2012, President Obama signed the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011, Public Law 112-90 (Act). Section 24 of the Act requires, within one year of enactment (January 2013), that PHMSA no longer incorporate, in whole or in part, voluntary consensus standards by reference into its regulations unless those standards have been made available free of charge to the public on the Internet. Section 24 states "Section 60102, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following: '(p) Limitation on Incorporation of Documents by Reference.—Beginning 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary may not issue guidance or a regulation pursuant to this chapter that incorporates by reference any documents or portions thereof unless the documents or portions thereof are made available to the public, free of charge, on an Internet Web site.'"

When Federal agencies write regulations, the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-113; March 7, 1996) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-119 titled "Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards and in Conformity Assessment Activities" directs them to use voluntary consensus standards, except when an agency determines that such use "is inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical." Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards that are developed, published and adopted by domestic and international organizations, which have collaborated to agree upon best technical practices. Generally, these standards are updated approximately every three to five years to reflect improvements to previous technology or practices. The standards, which are often hundreds or thousands of pages, are incorporated by reference into a

regulation in whole or in part, saving the government money and shortening the length of the regulatory process by incorporating existing standards instead of creating government-unique standards. Incorporation by reference allows the voluntary consensus standards to be treated as if they were written into the regulations and treated as if they were published in the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations. Thus, these standards have the effect of law and can be enforced accordingly.

The policies in the Circular and the statutory language of the NTTA were intended to reduce to a minimum the reliance by agencies on government-unique standards and to rely on voluntary consensus standards (VCS), whenever possible, as well as keep the time and costs to write and issue standards reasonable on behalf of the Federal government. Federal agencies also received guidance from the Circular regarding agencies' participation in the various governmental and private sector bodies that develop consensus standards, which are referred to as standards developing organizations (SDOs).

SDOs normally have a copyright or other intellectual property interest in the standards they develop, and therefore often charge a fee for access. Those who are governed by the regulations currently purchase the standards, in the instances where they are not made available for free. Without paying a fee, those who are affected by a regulation that incorporates a standard but are not regulated by it, may not have access to the laws that affect them. In some instances, a regulation may only incorporate a section, a chapter or other portion of the VCS; yet, an interested party must buy the entire VCS to access the incorporated text.

Currently, PHMSA incorporates approximately 60 VCS by reference into 49 CFR Part 192—Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline: Minimum Federal Safety Standards; 49 CFR Part 193—Liquefied Natural Gas Facilities: Federal Safety Standards; and 49 CFR Part 195—Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline. These VCS, in turn, incorporate by reference additional consensus standards. Therefore, purchasing all relevant standards could be significantly expensive for a small business, a non-profit organization or a public citizen.

PHMSA has received correspondence regarding the implementation of Section 24 from stakeholders, including SDOs, regulated entities and public safety groups. Based on the correspondence and on PHMSA's operational capacity,

budget and analyses, Section 24, at a minimum, would have the following effects.

Financial

- Costs to government to purchase incorporated standards for free public access would increase substantially.
- Impact to some SDOs for making their standards available without compensation would be substantial and immediate.
- Costs to government would increase dramatically and immediately if PHMSA must write its own standards or purchase the right to freely publish standards from SDOs.

Practical

- Volume and complexity of regulations would increase if the government wrote its own standards.
- There is a lack of government resources and technical expertise to draft standards technically equivalent to those available through existing SDOs.
- Time frames to write and promulgate rules would increase significantly if the government created its own standards.
- Government regulations with government-unique standards would not be likely to keep pace with technological and safety advancements made in the private sector.
- SDOs standards may get more candid input, and broader involvement, from stakeholders as standards are being developed in the current model than would be true under a government-unique standards process.

Legal

- Small businesses would likely look to the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, or other laws, to address any adverse impact to them arising from either the availability of standards, cost of standards, or increased time to promulgate regulations following PHMSA's implementation of Section 24.
- The NTTAA and OMB Circular A-119 should be analyzed further for reconciliation with the requirements of Section 24. Intellectual property laws play a critical role for both in the relationship between the government and the SDOs and in the relationship between the SDOs and its licensors or licensees.

Policy

- Likely inconsistency of U.S. and international standards would arise due to inability to incorporate VCS and difficulty in harmonizing government-unique standards. This inconsistency would be detrimental to safety,

businesses and trade, and promote increased reliance on international standards.

- Safety, compliance and enforcement could be compromised if the regulations become too unwieldy because government would be required to write its own standards, or if a regulated entity does not have access to free standards.

- Transparency in government requires that citizens have access to the laws that govern and affect them.

- Meeting Section 508 requirements that govern the accessibility of government documents for people with disabilities is also a consideration.

PHMSA and stakeholders must continue to strive to reach a reasonable and feasible solution. Consequently, PHMSA will hold a public workshop to provide an open forum for exchanging information on the challenges associated with implementing the requirement of Section 24. Specifically, this public workshop will facilitate a discussion among stakeholders to share their respective recommendations related to the following objectives:

1. Provide an overview to the public, regulated entities, other Federal and state regulatory agencies, legislators in Congress, advocacy groups, public safety professionals, the international community and the standards developing organizations about the legal, practical, financial and policy considerations involved with implementing Section 24.

2. Identify constraints, related costs and issues with implementing Section 24 by January 2013.

3. Collect public input that will help guide PHMSA and DOT to a reasonable, efficient, and sound implementation strategy and plan.

Preliminary Agenda for the Public Workshop

- Event Objectives/Summary of Ongoing Activities.

- Panel 1—What is IBR? (Overview of the NTTAA, OMB Circular A119, Section 24 of the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011, and Current Issues Related to Implementing Section 24).

- Panel 2—Overview of PHMSA's IBR Usage and Its Impact on Safety and Costs.

- Panel 3—Facilitated Discussion Among Participants.

Please note that there are objectives for each panel and that they are posted on the meeting Web site at <http://phmsa.dot.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 15, 2012.

Linda Daugherty,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Policy and Programs.

[FR Doc. 2012-15102 Filed 6-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 18, 2012.

The Department of the Treasury will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13, on or after the date of publication of this notice.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before July 23, 2012 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestion for reducing the burden to the (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Treasury, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV and the (2) Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, 1750 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 8140, Washington, DC 20220, or email at PRA@treasury.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 927-5331, email at PRA@treasury.gov, or the entire information collection request may be found at www.reginfo.gov.

Financial Management Service (FMS)

OMB Number: 1510-0042.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Title: Claims Against the U.S. for Amounts Due in Case of a Deceased Creditor.

Form: SF-1055.

Abstract: This form is required to determine who is entitled to funds of a deceased Postal Savings depositor or deceased award holder. The form properly completed with supporting documents enables this office to decide who is legally entitled to payment.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 180.

Dawn D. Wolfgang,

Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-15171 Filed 6-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-35-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 18, 2012.

The Department of the Treasury will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13, on or after the date of publication of this notice.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before July 23, 2012 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestion for reducing the burden, to the (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Treasury, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV and to the (2) Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, 1750 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 8140, Washington, DC 20220, or email at PRA@treasury.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 927-5331, email at PRA@treasury.gov, or the entire information collection request may be found at www.reginfo.gov.

Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB)

OMB Number: 1513-0083.

Type of Review: Revision a currently approved collection.

Title: Excise Tax Return.

Form: 5000.24.

Abstract: Businesses, other than those in Puerto Rico, report their Federal excise tax liability on distilled spirits, wine, beer, tobacco products, cigarette papers and tubes on TTB F 5000.24. TTB needs this form to identify the taxpayer and to determine the amount and type of taxes due and paid.

Affected Public: Private Sector: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 133,453.

OMB Number: 1513-0122.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.