**75000** 

(1) Whether all costs reimbursed to the mentor firm under the agreement were reasonably incurred to furnish assistance to the protégé in accordance with the mentorprotégé agreement and applicable regulations and procedures; and

(2) Whether the mentor and protégé accurately reported progress made by the protégé in employment, revenues, and participation in DoD contracts during the Program participation term and for 2 fiscal years following the expiration of the Program participation term.

(b) A checklist for annual performance reviews is available at http:// www.acq.osd.mil/sadbu/mentor\_protege.

[FR Doc. 04-27351 Filed 12-14-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### 48 CFR Part 236

[DFARS Case 2003-D035]

## **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Construction** and Architect-Engineer Services

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to update text pertaining to selection of firms for architect-engineer contracts. This rule is a result of a transformation initiative undertaken by DoD to dramatically change the purpose and content of the DFARS.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 15, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Euclides Barrera, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council,

OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone (703) 602-0296; facsimile (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2003-D035.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### A. Background

DFARS Transformation is a major DoD initiative to dramatically change the purpose and content of the DFARS. The objective is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the acquisition process, while allowing the acquisition workforce the flexibility to innovate. The transformed DFARS will contain only requirements of law, DoDwide policies, delegations of FAR authorities, deviations from FAR requirements, and policies/procedures that have a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of DoD or a significant cost or administrative impact on contractors or offerors. Additional information on the DFARS

Transformation initiative is available at http://www.acq.osd.mil/dp/dars/ transf.htm.

This final rule is a result of the DFARS Transformation initiative. The changes in this rule-

- Revise DFARS 236.602-1 to remove procedures for establishment of evaluation criteria in the selection of firms for architect-engineer contracts. This text has been relocated to the new DFARS companion resource, Procedures, Guidance, and Information (PGI), available at http:// www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/pgi.
- Remove unnecessary text on preselection boards and selection authorities at DFARS 236.602-2 and 236.602-4.
- Amend DFARS 236.604 to reflect replacement of Standard Form 254, Architect—Engineer and Related Services Questionnaire, with Standard Form 330, Architect-Engineer Qualifications.

DoD published a proposed rule at 69 FR 35568 on June 25, 2004. DoD received no comments on the proposed rule. Therefore, DoD has adopted the proposed rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

### **B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the changes in the rule represent no substantive change to policy with regard to selection of firms for architect-engineer contracts.

# C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

# List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 236

Government procurement.

# Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

- Therefore, 48 CFR Part 236 is amended as follows:
- 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 236 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

## **PART 236—CONSTRUCTION AND** ARCHITECT-ENGINEER CONTRACTS

■ 2. Section 236.602-1 is revised to read as follows:

#### 236.602-1 Selection criteria.

(a) Establish the evaluation criteria before making the public announcement required by FAR 5.205(c) and include the criteria and their relative order of importance in the announcement. Follow the procedures at PGI 236.602-

## 236.602-2 and 236.602-4 [Removed]

- 3. Sections 236.602-2 and 236.602-4 are removed.
- 4. Section 236.604 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(ii) to read as follows:

#### 236.604 Performance evaluation.

(c) \* \* \*

(ii) File and use the DD Form 2631, Performance Evaluation (Architect-Engineer), in a manner similar to the SF 330, Architect-Engineer Qualifications, Part II.

[FR Doc. 04-27350 Filed 12-14-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### 48 CFR Part 237

[DFARS Case 2003-D107]

## **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Firefighting Services Contracts**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD has adopted as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 331 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004. Section 331 provides authority for contractor performance of firefighting functions at military installations or facilities for periods of one year or less, if the functions would otherwise have to be performed by members of the armed forces who are not readily available due to a deployment.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 15, 2004. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Robin Schulze, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP (DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone (703) 602-0326; facsimile (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2003-D107.