environmental management systems, and examples of how an existing environmental management system can facilitate and strengthen NEPA analyses. Examples of an environmental management system may include but are not limited to systems certified under ISO 14001 (further information on ISO 14001 can be found on the Web at http://es.epa.gov/partners/iso/ iso.html).

D. Adaptive Management/Monitoring and Evaluation Plans: The CEQ report, "The National Environmental Policy Act: A study of Its Effectiveness After Twenty-five Years", recognized that by incorporating adaptive management into their NEPA analyses, agencies can move beyond simple compliance and better target environmental improvement. An adaptive environmental management approach can respond to uncertainty and the limits of knowledge and experience in making decisions. Such an approach allows for approval of an action with uncertain outcomes by establishing performance-based environmental parameters or outcomes and monitoring to ensure that they are achieved. When those parameters or outcomes are not met, corrective changes would be triggered, for instance to ensure that significant environmental degradation does not occur. The Task Force seeks your input on this topic and requests responses to the following questions.

1. What factors are considered when deciding to use an adaptive management approach?

2. How can environmental impact analyses be structured to consider adaptive management?

3. What aspects of adaptive management may, or may not, require subsequent NEPA analyses?

4. What factors should be considered (e.g., cost, timing, staffing needs, environmental risks) when determining what monitoring techniques and levels of monitoring intensity are appropriate during the implementation of an adaptive management regime? How does this differ from current monitoring activities?

E. *Categorical Exclusions:* Agencies can identify categories of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and which, therefore, do not require preparation of an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement. The NEPA Task Force will consider the bases and process for establishing categorical exclusions. The Task Force seeks your input on this topic and requests responses to the following questions. 1. What information, data studies, etc., should be required as the basis for establishing a categorical exclusion?

2. What points of comparison could an agency use when reviewing another agency's use of a similar categorical exclusion in order to establish a new categorical exclusion?

3. Are improvements needed in the process that agencies use to establish a new categorical exclusion? If so, please describe them.

F. Additional Areas for Consideration: In addition to the topics described above, the NEPA Task Force will consider comments on NEPA practices that would improve and modernize NEPA implementation.

For example, the NEPA Task Force requests public comment on the appropriate utility of and structure of format for environmental assessment documents.

The Nepa Task Force will use the information and comments it receives to identify, evaluate, and make recommendations on improving NEPA implementation and to prepare case studies that include examples of best practices.

Public comments are requested by August 23, 2002.

Dated: July 2, 2002.

James L. Connaughton,

Chairman, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 02–17082 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3125–01–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

July 1, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a)

whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments September 9, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judith Boley Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Room 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the internet to *jboley@fcc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judith Boley Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the internet at *jboley@fcc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0713. Title: Alternative Broadcast Inspection Program (ABIP) Compliance Notification.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for profit, not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 50 respondents; 2,500 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: .084 hours (5 minutes).

Total Annual Burden: 250 hours. Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: N/A.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, third party disclosure requirement. Needs and Uses: The FCC's

Needs and Uses: The FCC's Enforcement Bureau formed the ABIP in response to the downsizing of the field offices, and feedback from broadcast station licensees. Entities, usually state broadcast associations, conduct inspections of broadcast stations on a voluntary basis and notify the local FCC District Office, in writing via letter or electronic mail, of those stations that pass the ABIP inspection. This information is used by FCC staff to determine overall compliance with FCC rules, and determine which broadcast stations will not be subject to random inspections conducted by the FCC's District Offices.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–17034 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than July 23, 2002.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Julie Stackhouse, Vice President) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480–0291:

1. Wayne A. Stroup, Garrison, North Dakota; to acquire voting shares of North Star Holding Company, Inc., Jamestown, North Dakota, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Stutsman County State Bank, Jamestown, North Dakota.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 2, 2002.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 02–17069 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than July 24, 2002.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Maria Villanueva, Consumer Regulation Group) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:

1. F. Kemper Freeman, Jr., Betty J. Freeman, Suzanne L. McQuaid, Amy C. Schreck, Bellevue Square Managers I Limited Partnership, and Bellevue Square Managers, Inc., all of Bellevue, Washington; to acquire ownership of 15.85 percent of First Mutual Bancshares, Inc., Bellevue, Washington, and thereby indirectly acquire First Mutual Bank, Bellevue, Washington.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 3, 2002.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 02–17184 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than August 2, 2002.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Sue Costello, Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30309–4470:

1. GB&T Bancshares, Inc., Gainesville, Georgia; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Hometown Bank of Villa Rica, Villa Rica, Georgia.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166–2034:

1. Aviston Financial Corporation, Trenton, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Aviston Bancorp, Inc., Aviston, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire State Bank of Aviston, Aviston, Illinois.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Julie Stackhouse, Vice President) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480–0291:

1. Hazen Bancorporation, Inc., Hazen, North Dakota; to acquire 15.5 percent of the voting shares of North Star Holding Company, Inc., Jamestown, North Dakota, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Stutsman County State Bank, Jamestown, North Dakota.

2. McIntosh County Bank Holding Company, Inc., Ashley, North Dakota; to acquire 30.9 percent of the voting shares of North Star Holding Company, Inc., Jamestown, North Dakota, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Stutsman County State Bank, Jamestown, North Dakota.

3. Wishek Bancorporation, Inc., Hazen, North Dakota; to acquire 30.9 percent of the voting shares of North Star Holding Company, Inc., Jamestown, North Dakota, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Stutsman County State Bank, Jamestown, North Dakota.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 2, 2002.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 02–17068 Filed 7–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–S