(6) Actions accomplished in accordance with the service information specified in

Table 2 of this AD, before the effective date of this AD, are acceptable for compliance

with the corresponding actions required by paragraphs (g)(4) and (g)(5) of this AD.

### TABLE 2—ACCEPTABLE SERVICE INFORMATION

Bombardier Service Bulletin—	Revision—	Dated—
601R-30-031	Original	May 15, 2009. September 8, 2009. October 28, 2009. December 23, 2009.

(7) Replacing DCUs P/N 622–9820–007, 622–9820–008, or 622–9820–009 with modified DCUs having P/N 622–9820–010, and modifying CSUs, are also acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (g)(3) of this AD if done before the effective date of this AD, in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 601R–30–034, dated November 19, 2007.

#### FAA AD Differences

**Note 5:** This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

### Other FAA AD Provisions

- (h) The following provisions also apply to this AD:
- (1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, New York Aircraft

Certification Office (ACO), ANE-170, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to Attn: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York, 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; fax 516-794-5531. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they

are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) Reporting Requirements: For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

### **Related Information**

(i) Refer to MCAI Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA) Airworthiness Directive CF-2009-37, dated September 30, 2009; and the service information specified in Table 3 of this AD; for related information.

TABLE 3—SERVICE INFORMATION

Service information	Revision	Date
Bombardier Service Bulletin 601R–30–031	D	February 3, 2010. April 10, 2008.
Bombardier Temporary Revision 2A–46 to Appendix A—Certification Maintenance Requirements of Part 2 of the Bombardier CL-600–2B19 Maintenance Requirements Manual.	Original	July 24, 2009.
Canadair (Bombardier) Temporary Revision RJ/164–2 to the Canadair Regional Jet Airplane Flight Manual CSP A–012.	Original	May 14, 2009.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 28, 2010.

### Jeffrey E. Duven,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2010–10884 Filed 5–7–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0438; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-265-AD]

### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702) Airplanes, Model CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705) Airplanes, and Model CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above that would

supersede an existing AD. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

The heating capability of several [angle of attack] AOA transducer heating elements removed from in-service aircraft has been found to be below the minimum requirement. Also, it was discovered that a large number of AOA transducers repaired in an approved maintenance facility were not calibrated accurately.

Inaccurate calibration of the AOA transducer and/or degraded AOA transducer heating elements can result in early or late activation of the stall warning, stick shaker and stick pusher by the Stall Protection Computer (SPC).

Inaccurate calibration of the AOA transducers and/or degraded AOA transducer heating elements could result in an ineffective response to an aerodynamic stall and reduced controllability of the airplane. The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 24, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
  - Fax: (202) 493–2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-40, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Bombardier, Inc., 400 Côte-Vertu Road West, Dorval, Québec H4S 1Y9, Canada; telephone 514–855–5000; fax 514–855–7401; e-mail thd.crj@aero.bombardier.com; Internet http://www.bombardier.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wing Chan, Aerospace Engineer, Avionics and Flight Test Branch, ANE–172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228–7311; fax (516) 794–5531.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about

this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the

ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA–2010–0438; Directorate Identifier 2009–NM–265–AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We have lengthened the 30-day comment period for proposed ADs that address MCAI originated by aviation authorities of other countries to provide adequate time for interested parties to submit comments. The comment period for these proposed ADs is now typically 45 days, which is consistent with the comment period for domestic transport ADs.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

### **Discussion**

On October 16, 2009, we issued AD 2009–22–12, Amendment 39–16065 (74 FR 55767, October 29, 2009). That AD required actions intended to address an unsafe condition on the products listed above.

When we issued AD 2009–22–12, we stated that we did not include certain actions (the inspection to determine if certain transducers are installed and replaced if necessary in paragraph (h) of this proposed AD) because the planned compliance time was not enough to give notice as AD 2009–22–12 was issued as an immediately adopted rule. We now have determined that further rulemaking is indeed necessary, and this proposed AD follows from that determination.

### **Relevant Service Information**

Bombardier Inc. has issued Service Bulletin 670BA–27–053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

## Differences Between This AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have proposed different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are highlighted in a **NOTE** within the proposed AD.

### **Costs of Compliance**

Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 368 products of U.S. registry.

The actions that are required by AD 2009–22–12 and retained in this proposed AD take about 1 work-hour per product, at an average labor rate of \$85 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the currently required actions is \$85 per product.

We estimate that it would take about 5 work-hours per product to comply with the new basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Required parts would cost about \$0 per product. Where the service information lists required parts costs that are covered under warranty, we have assumed that there will be no charge for these costs. As we do not control warranty coverage for affected parties, some parties may incur costs higher than estimated here. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$156,400, or \$425 per product.

## Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing Amendment 39–16065 (74 FR 55767, October 29, 2009) and adding the following new AD:

Bombardier, Inc.: Docket No. FAA–2010– 0438; Directorate Identifier 2009–NM– 265–AD.

### **Comments Due Date**

(a) We must receive comments by June 24, 2010.

### Affected ADs

(b) This AD supersedes AD 2009–22–12, Amendment 39–16065.

## Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Bombardier, Inc. Model CL–600–2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702) airplanes, Model CL–600–2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705) airplanes, and Model CL–600–2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) airplanes; certificated in any category, that are equipped with Thales angle of attack (AOA) transducers having part number (P/N) C16258AA.

#### Subject

(d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 27: Flight Controls.

#### Reason

(e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

The heating capability of several [angle of attack] AOA transducer heating elements removed from in-service aircraft has been found to be below the minimum requirement. Also, it was discovered that a large number of AOA transducers repaired in an approved maintenance facility were not calibrated accurately.

Inaccurate calibration of the AOA transducer and/or degraded AOA transducer heating elements can result in early or late activation of the stall warning, stick shaker and stick pusher by the Stall Protection Computer (SPC).

This [Canadian] directive mandates a periodic inspection of the inrush current to verify the AOA heating capability and replacement of the inaccurately calibrated AOA transducers.

Inaccurate calibration of the AOA transducers and/or degraded AOA transducer heating elements could result in an ineffective response to an aerodynamic stall and reduced controllability of the airplane.

### Compliance

(f) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Restatement of Requirements of AD 2009–22–12:

(g) Do the following actions.

(1) Within the applicable compliance times specified in Table 1 of this AD: Measure the inrush current of both AOA transducers, in accordance with Part A of the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA–27–051, dated May 14, 2009.

## TABLE 1—INITIAL MEASUREMENT

(2) If, during any measurement required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, an AOA transducer is found to have an inrush current less than 1.60 amps ("degraded" transducer), before further flight replace the transducer with a new or serviceable transducer, in accordance with Part C of the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA-27-051, dated May 14, 2009. Do the measurement specified in

paragraph (g)(1) of this AD for that replacement transducer at the times specified in (g)(2)(i) or (g)(2)(ii) of this AD.

(i) At the applicable time specified in Table 2 of this AD if the degraded transducer was replaced with a serviceable transducer that is not new; or

(ii) Within 2,000 flight hours after replacement if the degraded transducer was replaced with a new one.

(3) If, during any measurement required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, an AOA transducer is found to have an inrush current more than or equal to 1.60 amps, repeat the measurement specified in paragraph (g)(1) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed the applicable interval specified in Table 2 of this AD.

### TABLE 2—REPETITIVE MEASUREMENT INTERVALS

If the last inrush current measurement of the serviceable AOA transducer is—	Then repeat the measurement—
More than or equal to 1.90 amps  More than or equal to 1.80 amps but less than 1.90 amps  More than or equal to 1.70 amps but less than 1.80 amps  More than or equal to 1.60 amps but less than 1.70 amps	Within 1,500 flight hours after the last measurement. Within 1,000 flight hours after the last measurement.

### New Requirements of This AD

- (h) Within 6,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Do an inspection to determine the serial number of the AOA transducer having P/N C16258AA, and to determine if the serial number has suffix "A," in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA–27–053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009.
- (1) If the serial number is not specified in paragraph 1.A.(1) of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA–27–053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009, no further action is required by this paragraph.
- (2) If the serial number is specified in paragraph 1.A.(1) of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA–27–053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009, and the serial number has a suffix "A," no further action is required by this paragraph.
- (3) If the serial number is specified in paragraph 1.A.(1) of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA-27-053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009, and the serial number does not have suffix "A," before further flight, replace the AOA transducer with a serviceable transducer, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA-27-053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009.
- (i) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install, on any airplane, an AOA transducer having P/N C16258AA with any serial number specified in paragraph 1.A.(1) of Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA-27-053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009, unless the serial number has a suffix "A."
- (j) Inspections and replacements accomplished before the effective date of this AD according to Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA-27-051, dated May 14, 2009, are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding actions specified in this AD

## **FAA AD Differences**

**Note 1:** This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

### Other FAA AD Provisions

- (k) The following provisions also apply to this AD:
- (1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), ANE–170, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to Attn: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York, 11590; telephone 516–228–7300; fax 516–

- 794–5531. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.
- (2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.
- (3) Reporting Requirements: For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

### Related Information

(l) Refer to MCAI Canadian Airworthiness Directive CF–2009–35, dated August 31, 2009; Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA–27–051, dated May 14, 2009; and Bombardier Service Bulletin 670BA–27–053, Revision A, dated July 7, 2009; for related information.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 29, 2010.

### Jeffrey E. Duven,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2010–10887 Filed 5–7–10; 8:45 am]

## BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### **Coast Guard**

## 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2010-0092]

RIN 1625-AA08

Regulated Navigation Area: Red Bull Air Race World Championship, Upper New York Bay, Lower Hudson River, NJ and NY

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary regulated

navigation area on the navigable waters of the lower Hudson River and Upper New York Bay in the vicinity of Liberty State Park, New Jersey and Ellis Island, New Jersey and New York for the Red Bull Air Race World Championship, an event scheduled to be held over water. This regulation is necessary to protect participants and spectators from the hazards associated with air races. This proposed action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in a portion of the lower Hudson River and Upper New York Bay during the event.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 9, 2010.

Requests for public meetings must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 9, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG—2010–0092 using any one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
  - Fax: 202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand delivery: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. *See* the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or e-mail LTJG Eunice James, Coast Guard Sector New York Waterways Management Division, Marine Events Branch; telephone 718–354–4163, e-mail Eunice.A.James@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket

Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.