information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657).

The requirements of the Powered Platforms for Building Maintenance Standard include written emergency action plans and work plans for training; affixing load-rating plates to each suspended unit, labeling emergency electric-operating devices with instructions for their use, and attaching a tag to one of the fastenings holding a suspension wire rope; the inspection and testing of, and written certification for, building-support structures, components of powered platforms, powered platform facilities, and suspension wire ropes; and training employees and the preparation and maintenance of written training certification records.

### III. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and transmission techniques.

## **IV. Proposed Actions**

OSHA is proposing to extend the information collection requirements contained in the Powered Platforms for Building Maintenance Standard (29 CFR 1910.66). The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in its request to OMB to extend the approval of the information-collection requirement.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved information-collection requirements.

Title: Powered Platforms for Building Maintenance (29 CFR 1910.66).

OMB Number: 1218-0121.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal government; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 900. Frequency of Response: Varies from 2 minutes (.03 hour) to disclose certification records to 10 hours to inspect/test both a powered platform facility and its suspension wire ropes, and to prepare the certification record.

Total Responses: 36,598.
Estimated Total Burden Hours: 135,476.

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): \$0.

#### V. Authority and Signature

John L. Henshaw, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506), and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5–2002 (67 FR 65008).

Signed at Washington, DC, on July 22, 2004.

#### John L. Henshaw,

Assistant Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 04–17059 Filed 7–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Occupational Safety and Health Administration

## National Advisory Committee on Occupational Safety and Health; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given of the date and location of the next meeting of the National Advisory Committee on Occupational Safety and Health (NACOSH), established under Section 7(a) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 656) to advise the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of Health and Human Services on matters relating to the administration of the Act. NACOSH will hold a meeting on August 19, in Room N3437 (A-C), U.S. Department of Labor, located at 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. The Meeting is open to the public and will begin at 9 a.m. on August 18 and end at approximately 4:15 p.m.

Agenda items will include updates on activities of both the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Presentations will also be made on the following subjects: Enforcement Indicators, VPP and Partnerships, Regulatory Issues, Hispanic Summit, and NIOSH's Steps to a Healthier U.S. Workforce Initiative.

Written data, vies, or comments for consideration by the committee may be submitted, preferably with 20 copies, to Wilfred Epps at the address provided below. Any such submissions received

prior to the meeting will be provided to the members of the committee and will be included in the record of the meeting, because of the need to cover a wide variety of subjects in a short period of time, there is usually insufficient time on the agenda for members of the public to address the committee orally. However, any such requests will be considered by the Chair who will determine whether or not time permits. Any request to make an oral presentation should state the amount of time desired, the capacity in which the person would appear, and a brief outline of the content of the presentation. Individuals with disabilities who need special accommodations should contact Veneta Chatmon (phone: 202-693-1912; fax: 202-693-1634) one week before the

An official record of the meeting will be available for public inspection in the OSHA Technical Data Center (TDC) located in Room N2625 at the Department of Labor Building (202-693-2350). For additional information contact: Wilfred Epps, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA); Room N3641, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210 (phone: 202-693-1857; fax: 202-693-1641; email: Epps.Wil@dol.gov); or check the National Advisory Committee on Occupational Safety and Health Information pages located at http:// www.osha.gov/dop/nacosh/ nacosh.html.

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of July 2004.

#### John L. Henshaw,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 04–17044 Filed 7–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

# NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

# **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**TIME:** 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, August 3, 2004.

**PLACE:** NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20594.

**STATUS:** The two items are Open to the public.

### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

7649 Highway Accident Report— Rear-end Collision and Subsequent Vehicle Intrusion into Pedestrian Space at Certified Farmers' Market, Santa Monica, California, July 16, 2003.

6413C Proposed Disposition of A– 95–51—Safety Recommendation to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to Require that All Occupants in Airplanes be Restrained.

NEWS MEDIA CONTACT: Telephone (202) 314–6100

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Carolyn Dargan at (202) 314–6305 by Friday, July 30, 2004.

The public may view the meeting via a live or archived webcast by accessing a link under "News & Events" on the NTSB home page at www.ntsb.gov.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vicky D'Onofrio, (202) 314-6410.

Dated: July 23, 2004.

#### Vicky D'Onofrio,

Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 04–17181 Filed 7–23–04; 1:41 pm] BILLING CODE 7533–01–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-333]

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR– 59 issued to Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant located in Oswego County, New York.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification Section 5.5.6, "Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program," to allow a one-time extension of the interval between the Type A, integrated leakage rate tests (ILRTs), from 10 years to no more than 15 years.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an

accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed?

The change does not involve a significant

The change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed.

The proposed revision to Technical Specifications adds a one time extension to the current interval for Type A testing. The current test interval of ten years, based on past performance, would be extended on a one time basis to fifteen years from the last Type A test. The proposed extension to Type A testing cannot increase the probability of an accident previously evaluated since the containment Type A testing extension is not a modification and the test extension is not of a type that could lead to equipment failure or accident initiation.

The proposed extension to Type A testing does not involve a significant increase in the consequences of an accident since research documented in NUREG—1493 has found that, generically, very few potential containment leakage paths are not identified by Type B and C tests. The NUREG concluded that reducing the Type A (ILRT) testing frequency to one per twenty years was found to lead to an imperceptible increase in risk. These generic conclusions were confirmed by a plant specific risk analysis performed using the current FitzPatrick Individual Plant Examination (IPE) internal events model.

Testing and inspection programs in place at FitzPatrick also provide a high degree of assurance that the containment will not degrade in a manner detectable only by Type A testing. The last four Type A tests show leakage to be below acceptance criteria, indicating a very leak tight containment. Type B and C testing required by Technical Specifications will identify any containment opening such as valves that would otherwise be detected by the Type A tests. Inspections, including those required by the ASME [C]ode [American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code] and the maintenance rule are performed in order to identify indications of containment degradation that could affect that leak tightness.

These factors in part and in aggregate show that a Type A test extension of up to five years will not represent a significant increase in the consequences of an accident.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously analyzed?

The change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously analyzed. The proposed revision to Technical Specifications adds a one time extension to the current interval for Type A testing. The current test interval of ten years, based on

past performance, would be extended on a one time basis to fifteen years from the last Type A test. The proposed extension to Type A testing cannot create the possibility of a new or different [kind] of accident since there are no physical changes being made to the plant and there are no changes to the operation of the plant that could introduce a new failure mode creating an accident or affecting the mitigation of an accident.

3. Does the change involve a significant reduction in [a] margin of safety?

The change does not involve a significant reduction in [a] margin of safety. The proposed revision to Technical Specifications adds a one time extension to the current interval for Type A testing. The current test interval of ten years, based on past performance, would be extended on a one time basis to fifteen years from the last Type A test. The proposed extension to Type A testing will not significantly reduce the margin of safety. The NUREG 1493 generic study of the effects of extending containment leakage testing found that a 20 year extension in Type A leakage testing resulted in an imperceptible increase in risk to the public. NUREG-1493 found that, generically, the design containment leakage rate contributes about 0.1 percent to the individual risk and that the decrease in Type A testing frequency would have a minimal affect on this risk since 95% of the potential leakage paths are detected by Type C testing. This was further confirmed by a plant specific risk assessment using the current FitzPatrick Individual Plant Examination (IPE) internal events model that concluded the risk associated with this change is negligibly small and/or non-risk significant.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Commission may issue the license amendment before expiration of the 60day period provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. In addition, the Commission may issue the amendment prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period should circumstances change during the 30-day comment period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example in derating or shutdown of the facility.