

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION**
**47 CFR Part 54**

[WC Docket No. 13–184; Report No. 3010]

**Petitions for Reconsideration of Action  
in Rulemaking Proceeding**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Petition for reconsideration.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, Petitions for Reconsideration (Petitions) have been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding by Julia Benincosa Legg, on behalf of West Virginia Department of Education; David L. Haga, on behalf of Verizon; Gary Rawson, on behalf of State E-rate Coordinators' Alliance (SECA); Kevin Rupy, on behalf of United States Telecom Association; Michael R. Romano, on behalf of NTCA/Utah Rural Telecom Association; and Dennis Sampson, on behalf of Utah Education Network.

**DATES:** Oppositions to the Petitions must be filed on or before October 22, 2014. Replies to an opposition must be filed on or before November 3, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Bachtell, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418–2694, email: [James.Bachtell@fcc.gov](mailto:James.Bachtell@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of Commission's document, Report No. 3010, released September 24, 2014. The full text of Report No. 3010 is available for viewing and copying in Room CY–B402, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1–800–378–3160). The Commission will not send a copy of this *document* pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) because this document does not have an impact on any rules of particular applicability.

*Subject:* Modernization of the Schools and Libraries "E-Rate" Program, published at 79 FR 49160, August 19, 2014, in WC Docket No. 13–184 and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). See also § 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules.

*Number of Petitions Filed:* 6.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–23803 Filed 10–6–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**
**Fish and Wildlife Service**
**50 CFR Part 17**

[Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2014–0046;  
4500030113]

**RIN 1018–BA03**

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife  
and Plants; Threatened Species Status  
for Black Pinesnake**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service,  
Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), propose to list the black pinesnake (*Pituophis melanoleucus lodingi*), a subspecies currently known from Alabama and Mississippi, as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act (Act). If we finalize this rule as proposed, it would extend the Act's protections to this subspecies and add it to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife.

**DATES:** We will accept comments received or postmarked on or before December 8, 2014. Comments submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see ADDRESSES, below) must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the closing date. We must receive requests for public hearings, in writing, at the address shown in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by November 21, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

(1) *Electronically:* Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. In the Search box, enter FWS–R4–ES–2014–0046, which is the docket number for this rulemaking. Then, in the Search panel on the left side of the screen, under the Document Type heading, click on the Proposed Rules link to locate this document. You may submit a comment by clicking on "Comment Now!"

(2) *By hard copy:* Submit by U.S. mail or hand-delivery to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: FWS–R4–ES–2014–0046; Division of Policy and Directives Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; MS: BPHC; 5275 Leesburg Pike; Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

We request that you send comments only by the methods described above. We will post all comments on <http://www.regulations.gov>. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see *Public Comments*, below, for more information).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Stephen Ricks, Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mississippi Ecological Services Field Office, 6578 Dogwood View Parkway, Jackson, MS 39214; telephone 601–321–1122; or facsimile 601–965–4340. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800–877–8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**
**Executive Summary**

Why we need to publish a rule. Under the Act, if we find that listing a species is endangered or threatened throughout all or a significant portion of its range is warranted, we are required to promptly publish a proposal in the **Federal Register** and make a determination on our proposal within one year. Listing a species as an endangered or threatened species can only be completed by issuing a rule. Critical habitat is prudent, but not determinable at this time.

*This rule* proposes to list the black pinesnake (*Pituophis melanoleucus lodingi*) as a threatened species. In addition, we are proposing a rule under section 4(d) of the Act that outlines the prohibitions and conservation actions necessary and advisable for the conservation of the black pinesnake as a threatened species.

*The basis for our action.* Under the Act, we may determine that a species is an endangered or threatened species based on any of five factors: (A) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range; (B) overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes; (C) disease or predation; (D) the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or (E) other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence. We have found that the black pinesnake warrants listing as a threatened species due to the past and continuing loss, degradation, and fragmentation of habitat in association with silviculture, urbanization, and fire suppression. Population declines are also attributed to road mortality and intentional killing of snakes by individuals. These threats, coupled with an apparent low reproductive rate, threaten this subspecies' long-term viability.

*We will seek peer review.* We will seek comments from independent specialists to ensure that our designation is based on scientifically sound data, assumptions, and analyses. We will invite these peer reviewers to comment on our listing proposal. Because we will consider all comments and information