APPENDIX—Continued

Subject firm	Location	Date Re- ceived at governor's office	Petition No.	Articles produced
Wabach Technologies—Optek Sensor Group (Co.).	Huntington, IN	04/15/2002	NAFTA-6,125	Custom electrical actuators, solenoids.
Charm House—Furnimex Prod. U.S.A. (Wkrs.).	Plano, TX	04/22/2002	NAFTA-6,126	Dust ruffles, decor pillows, etc.
Knight Textile—Knight Industries (Co.)	Saluda, SC	04/22/2002	NAFTA-6,127	Ladies sportswear.
Deeter's Tool and Mfg. (Wkrs.)	Erie, PA	04/22/2002	NAFTA-6,128	Injection molding.
Bell Sponging (UNITE)	Allentown, PA	04/23/2002	NAFTA-6,129	Examination and sponging of fabric.
Corning—Photonic Technologies (Wkrs.)	West Henrietta, NY	11/21/2002	NAFTA-6,130	Couplers.
CimWorks (Wkrs.)	Kirkland, WA	04/23/2002	NAFTA-6,131	Software and hardware.
Midway Machine and Tool (Wkrs.)	Wilkes-Barre, PA	04/23/2002	NAFTA-6,132	Specialized parts and equipment.
Dekko Engineering (Co.)	Maintowoc, WI	04/23/2002	NAFTA-6,133	Wiring harness.
Keystone Thermistor (Co.)	Mt. Jewett, PA	04/23/2002	NAFTA-6,134	Thermistors control devices.
Independent Tool and Mfg. (Wkrs.)	Meadville, PA	04/23/2002	NAFTA-6,135	Molds, dies, production machining.
International Utility Structures (Co.)	Batesville, AR	04/22/2002	NAFTA-6,136	Poles.

[FR Doc. 02–12381 Filed 5–16–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-5841]

Biltwell Clothing Co., Farmington, MO; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA), and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on January 30, 2002 in response to a worker petition, which was filed by the company on behalf of workers at Biltwell Clothing Co., Farmington, Missouri.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect (NAFTA–4873). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 6th day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12390 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-5265]

Cleveland Caroknit, Spartan International, Lawndale, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA), and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on August 20, 2001, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Cleveland Caroknit, Spartan International, Lawndale, North Carolina.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect (NAFTA–5081E). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC., this 23rd day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–12397 Filed 5–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05183]

Cognis Corporation, Lock Haven; PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received on February 11, 2002, the workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA–TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on November 16, 2001, and was published in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 2002 (66 FR 63262).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If its appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered: or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The NAFTA-TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Cognis Corporation, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania engaged in activities related to the production of photomers was denied because criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from