ADDRESSES: For a copy of the system of records please mail requests to: Counsel to the Inspector General, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7892, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Counsel to the Inspector General, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7892, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 19, 2012, the DOC published and requested comments on proposed amendments to the Privacy Act System of Records titled, at that time, "Investigative and Inspection Records-COMMERCE/DEPT-12." Upon amendment, the system will be titled "COMMERCE/DEPT-12, OIG Investigative Records." The amendment serves to generally update the system of records notice by, among other things, updating OIG's practices for electronically storing, retrieving, and safeguarding records in the system and updating OIG routine uses. No comments were received in response to the request for comments. By this notice, the DOC is adopting the proposed amendment to the system as final without changes effective March 14, 2012.

Dated: March 8, 2012.

Jonathan R. Cantor,

U.S. Department of Commerce, Chief Privacy Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-6145 Filed 3-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the Seventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: On September 9, 2011, the
Department of Commerce
("Department") published the
Preliminary Results of the seventh
administrative review and sixth new
shipper review of the antidumping duty
order on certain frozen fish fillets
("frozen fish fillets") from the Socialist
Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam").¹ We

gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and, based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results of these reviews. The final weighted-average margins are listed below in the "Final Results of the Reviews" section of this notice. The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010.

DATES: Effective Date: March 14, 2012. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexis Polovina or Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3927 or (202) 482–2243, respectively.

Case History

As noted above, on September 9, 2011, the Department published the Preliminary Results of this administrative review. We extended the deadlines for submission of surrogate value ("SV") comments and case briefs multiple times based on requests from interested parties. On December 29, 2011, the Department fully extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review.2 On November 15, 2011, and January 6, 2012, parties submitted SV comments and SV rebuttal comments, respectively. On January 13, 2012, and January 27, 2012, parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs, respectively.

On December 30, 2011, Petitioners 3 submitted comments on Vinh Hoan Corporation's ("Vinh Hoan") factors of production ("FOP") methodology. On January 9, 2012, the Department placed certain factual information from the sixth administrative review regarding Vinh Hoan on the record, and also issued a supplemental questionnaire to Vinh Hoan. On January 18, 2012, Vinh Hoan responded to the supplemental questionnaire. On February 1 and February 6, 2012, parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs, respectively, pertaining to Vinh Hoan's FOP methodology. On December 29, 2011,

January 24, 2012, and February 21, 2012, Petitioners and/or their counsel met with officials from the Department. On February 16, 2012, counsel for certain Respondents ⁴ and VASEP, ⁵ an interested party, met with officials from the Department. As a result of our analysis, we have made changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is frozen fish fillets, including regular, shank, and strip fillets and portions thereof, whether or not breaded or marinated, of the species *Pangasius* Bocourti, Pangasius Hypophthalmus (also known as Pangasius Pangasius), and Pangasius Micronemus. Frozen fish fillets are lengthwise cuts of whole fish. The fillet products covered by the scope include boneless fillets with the belly flap intact ("regular" fillets), boneless fillets with the belly flap removed ("shank" fillets), boneless shank fillets cut into strips ("fillet strips/finger"), which include fillets cut into strips, chunks, blocks, skewers, or any other shape. Specifically excluded from the scope are frozen whole fish (whether or not dressed), frozen steaks, and frozen belly-flap nuggets. Frozen whole dressed fish are deheaded, skinned, and eviscerated. Steaks are bone-in, crosssection cuts of dressed fish. Nuggets are the belly-flaps. The subject merchandise will be hereinafter referred to as frozen "basa" and "tra" fillets, which are the Vietnamese common names for these species of fish. These products are classifiable under tariff article codes 0304.29.6033, 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 0305.59.4000, 1604.19.2000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3000, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4000, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5000, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100, 1604.19.8100 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS").6 The order

Continued

¹ See Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Seventh Antidumping

Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 55872 (September 9, 2011) ("Preliminary Results").

² See Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Seventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 81913 (December 29, 2010).

³ This includes: Catfish Farmers of America and individual U.S. catfish processors, America's Catch, Consolidated Catfish Companies, LLC dba Country Select Catfish, Delta Pride Catfish, Inc., Harvest Select Catfish, Inc., Heartland Catfish Company, Pride of the Pond, and Simmons Farm Raised Catfish, Inc. ("Petitioners")

⁴ These companies include: Vinh Hoan; Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation ("Vinh Quang"); QVD Food Company Ltd. ("QVD") (the Department is treating QVD, QVD Dong Thap Food Co., Ltd., and Thuan Hung Co., Ltd. as a single entity in this review); and certain separate rate companies.

 $^{^{5}\,\}mbox{Vietnam}$ Association of Seafood Exports and Producers.

⁶Until July 1, 2004, these products were classifiable under tariff article codes 0304.20.60.30 (Frozen Catfish Fillets), 0304.20.60.96 (Frozen Fish Fillets, NESOI), 0304.20.60.43 (Frozen Freshwater Fish Fillets) and 0304.20.60.57 (Frozen Sole Fillets) of the HTSUS. Until February 1, 2007, these products were classifiable under tariff article code 0304.20.60.33 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the HTSUS. On March 2, 2011, the Department added two HTSUS

covers all frozen fish fillets meeting the above specification, regardless of tariff classification. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the "Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Seventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated concurrently with this notice ("Issues & Decision Memo"), and which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum which is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit ("CRU") of the main Commerce Building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum is accessible on the Web at http://trade.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Partial Rescission

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to four companies: (1) IDI; (2) CL–Fish; (3) THIMACO; and (4) NTSF.⁷ These companies reported that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. As we stated in the *Preliminary Results*, our examination of shipment data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") for these companies confirmed that there were no entries of subject merchandise from them during the POR.⁸ The Department did not receive any comments regarding

the preliminary rescission for any company claiming no shipments. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review with respect to these four companies.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary* Results, we have made certain revisions to the margin calculation for Vinh Hoan, and QVD. For the reasons explained in the I&D Memo at Comment 1, we have changed our primary surrogate country selection from Indonesia to Bangladesh. For all other changes to the calculations of Vinh Hoan and QVD, see the I&D Memo and company-specific analysis memorandum. For changes to the SVs, see the I&D Memo and "Memorandum to the File, through Matthew Renkey, Acting Program Manager, AC/CVD Operations, Office 9, from Javier Barrientos, Senior Case Analyst, and Alexis Polovina, Case Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Seventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Surrogate Values for the Final Results," dated March 7, 2012.

Non-Market Economy Country Status

In every case conducted by the Department involving Vietnam, Vietnam has been treated as an NME country. In accordance with section 771(18)(C)(i) of the Act, any determination that a foreign country is an NME country shall remain in effect until revoked by the administering authority. None of the parties to this proceeding have contested such treatment. Accordingly, we calculated NV in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act, which applies to NME countries.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving NME countries, the Department holds a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assessed a single antidumping duty rate. It is the Department's policy to assign all exporters of subject merchandise in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate. ¹⁰ In the *Preliminary*

Results, we determined that in addition to the mandatory respondents, the Separate-Rate Applicants ¹¹ also met the criteria for separate-rate status. The separate rate is determined based on the estimated weighted-average antidumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding zero and de minimis margins or margins based entirely on AFA.¹²

The statute and the Department's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to individual companies not selected for examination when the Department limited its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally we have looked to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for respondents we did not examine in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act articulates a preference that we are not to calculate an all-others rate using any zero or de minimis margins or any margins based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, the Department's usual practice has been to average the rates for the selected companies, excluding zero, de minimis and rates based entirely on facts available. 13 Section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act also provides that, where all margins are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available, we may use "any reasonable method" for assigning the rate to non-selected respondents, including "averaging the estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated."

For this administrative review, the Department has calculated positive margins for one mandatory respondent,

numbers at the request of U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"): 1604.19.2000 and 1604 19.3000. On January 30, 2012, the Department added eight HTSUS numbers at the request of U.S. CBP: 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100, 1604.19.8100.

⁷ International Development & Investment Corporation ("IDI"); Cuu Long Fish Joint Stock Company ("CL Fish"); Thien Ma Seafood Co., Ltd. ("THIMACO"); and NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company ("NTSF").

⁸ See Preliminary Results.

⁹ See Notice of Final Results of Administrative Review: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, 73 FR 15479 (March 17, 2008) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum ("3rd AR Final Results").

¹⁰ See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers from the People's

Republic of China, 56 FR 20588 (May 6, 1991), as further developed in Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide from the People's Republic of China, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994).

¹¹These companies include: (1) Anvifish Co., Ltd.; (2) Anvifish JSC; (3) Acomfish; (4) Bien Dong Seafood; (5) Binh An; (6) CASEAMEX; (7) ESS LLC; (8) East Sea Seafoods Joint Venture Co., Ltd.; (9) Hiep Thanh; (10) South Vina; and (11) Vinh Quang (collectively, "Separate-Rate Applicants").

¹² See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 52273, 52275 (September 9, 2008) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 6.

¹³ See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Rescission of Review in Part, 73 FR 52823, 52824 (September 11, 2008) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 16.

QVD. Accordingly, consistent with our practice, for these final results, the Department has preliminarily established a margin for the Separate-Rate Applicants based on the rate calculated for one of the mandatory respondents, QVD. The rate established for the Separate-Rate Applicants is a per-unit rate of \$0.03 dollars per kilogram. Entities receiving this rate are identified by name in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section of this notice.

Vietnam-Wide Rate and Vietnam-Wide Entity

As noted in the Preliminary Results, because some parties for which a review was requested did not apply for separate rate status, the Vietnam-Wide entity is considered to be under review in this segment of the proceeding. In NME proceedings, "'rates' may consist of a single dumping margin applicable to all exporters and producers." See 19 CFR 351.107(d). As explained above in the "Separate Rates" section, all companies within Vietnam are considered to be subject to government control unless they are able to demonstrate an absence of government control with respect to their export activities. Such companies are thus assigned a single antidumping duty rate distinct from the separate rate(s) determined for companies that are found to be independent of government control with respect to their export activities. We consider the influence that the government has been found to have over the economy to warrant determining a rate for the entity that is distinct from the rates found for companies that have provided sufficient evidence to establish that they operate freely with respect to their export activities. See Notice of Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Critical Circumstances: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, 68 FR 37116 (June 23, 2003). In this regard, we note that no party has submitted evidence of the proceeding to demonstrate that such government influence is no longer present or that our treatment of the NME entity is otherwise incorrect. Therefore, we are assigning the entity's current rate of \$2.11 per kilogram, the rate determined for the Vietnam-wide entity in this proceeding. See, e.g., Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews, 75 FR 12726 (March 17, 2010).

Final Results of the Review

The weighted-average dumping margins for the POR are asfollows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- average margin (dollars per kilogram)
(1) Vinh Hoan 14 (2) QVD (3) Anvifish Co., Ltd (4) Anvifish JSC (5) Acomfish (6) Bien Dong Seafood (7) Binh An (8) CASEAMEX (9) ESS LLC (10) East Sea Seafoods Joint Venture Co., Ltd (11) Hiep Thanh (12) South Vina	0.00 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03
(12) South Vina(13) Vinh QuangVietnam-Wide Rate	0.03 0.03 2.11

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated an ad valorem rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For dutyassessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting ad valorem rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. Where an importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer's) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). The Department intends to issue

assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Vietnam entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Vinh Hoan, QVD, and the Separate-Rate Applicants, the cash deposit rate will be their respective rates established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is zero or de minimis no cash deposit will be required; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the Vietnam-Wide rate of \$2.11 per kilogram; and (4) for all non-Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnamese exporters that supplied that non-Vietnamese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial

¹⁴ This rate is applicable to the Vinh Hoan Group which includes Vinh Hoan, Van Duc, and VD TG.

protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 7, 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Issues & Decision Memorandum

COMMENT I: SELECTION OF SURROGATE COUNTRY

A. Economic Comparability

- B. Significant Producer of the Comparable Merchandise
- C. Data Considerations

COMMENT II: SURROGATE VALUES

- A. Financial Ratios
- 1. Selection of Surrogate Companies
- B. By-Products Offsets
- 1. Fish Waste
- 2. Fish Oil
- 3. Fresh Broken Fillets
- 4. Frozen Broken Fillets
- 5. Fish Meal
- C. Farming Factors
- 1. Fingerlings, Fish Feed, Nutrients, Lime
- D. Other Surrogate Values
- 1. Labor
- 2. Salt
- 3. STPP, CO Gas, PE Bags, Cartons, Tape, Label, Plastic Sheet, Banding, Diesel, Coal
- 4. Brokerage & Handling COMMENT III: ZEROING

Company-Specific Issues

COMMENT IV: VINH HOAN

- A. Fish Consumption
- B. Revocation
- C. Farming Water

COMMENT V: CONSIDERATION OF VINH QUANG AS A VOLUNTARY RESPONDENT

COMMENT VI: SOUTH VINA SEPARATE RATE CERTIFICATION

[FR Doc. 2012-6201 Filed 3-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-929]

Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of the First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 14, 2012. **SUMMARY:** On September 13, 2011, the Department of Commerce

("Department") published the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of small diameter graphite electrodes ("SDGE") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period August 21, 2008, through January 31, 2010.1 We are amending our Final Results to correct certain ministerial errors made in the calculation of the antidumping duty margins for Fushun Jinly Petrochemical Carbon Co., Ltd. ("Fushun Jinly"); Beijing Fangda Carbon Tech Co., Ltd. ("Beijing Fangda"), Fangda Carbon New Material Co., Ltd. ("Fangda Carbon"), Fushun Carbon Co., Ltd. ("Fushun Carbon''), and Hefei Carbon Co., Ltd. ("Hefei"); and Xinghe County Muzi Co., Ltd. ("Muzi") pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lindsey Novom or Frances Veith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5256 or (202) 482–4295, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 13, 2011, the Department published its affirmative final results in this proceeding.² On September 19, 2011, Fushun Jinly and Beijing Fangda, Chengdu Rongguang Carbon Co., Ltd. ("Rongguang"), Fangda Carbon, Fushun Carbon, and Hefei (collectively "the Fangda Group"), mandatory respondents, submitted ministerial error allegations and requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c), that the Department correct the alleged ministerial errors in the calculation of Fushun Jinly and the Fangda Group's dumping margins. Muzi, a separate rate company, also submitted ministerial error allegations on September 19, 2011. SGL Carbon LLC and Superior Graphite Co. ("Petitioners") submitted rebuttal comments on September 23, 2011. Before the Department could take action on the alleged ministerial errors, Petitioners filed a summons and complaint with the U.S. Court of International Trade ("CIT") challenging the Final Results, which vested the CIT with jurisdiction over the administrative proceeding. On February 22, 2012, the

CIT granted the Department leave to publish these amended final results to correct certain ministerial errors.³

Ministerial Errors

A ministerial error as defined in section 751(h) of the Act includes "errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial." ⁴

After analyzing all interested party comments and rebuttals, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made certain ministerial errors in our calculations for the *Final Results*. For a detailed discussion of these ministerial errors, as well as the Department's analysis of the errors and allegations, see the Memorandum to the File, "First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China: Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations," dated concurrently with this notice ("Ministerial Error Memo").

Additionally, in the *Final Results*, we determined that Muzi qualified for a separate rate.⁵ Because the cash deposit rate for Muzi was based on the calculated rate of the mandatory respondents, Fushun Jinly and the Fangda Group, and the margins for both companies have changed since the *Final Results*, the separate rate has changed as well.⁶ Finally, we have corrected a misspelling of Muzi's full name. The amended weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

SDGEs from the PBC

ODGES HOLL THO		
Exporters	Percent margin	
Beijing Fangda Carbon Tech Co., Ltd., Fangda Carbon New Ma- terial Co., Ltd., Fushun Carbon Co., Ltd., Hefei Carbon Co., Ltd Fushun Jinly Petrochemical Car- bon Co., Ltd	1.10 39.83 16.00	

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their

¹ See Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order and Final Rescission of the Administrative Review, in Part, 76 FR 56397 (September 13, 2011) ("Final Results").

² See Final Results.

³ See SGL Carbon LLC v. United States, Consol. Court No. 11–00389 (Ct. Int'l Trade February 22, 2012) (order granting the Department leave to publish amended final results correcting ministerial errors no later than March 16, 2012).

⁴ See also 19 CFR 351.224(f).

⁵ See Final Results.

⁶ See Ministerial Error Memo.