

of planned meeting of Reserve Forces Policy Board.

All written statements shall be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer for the Reserve Forces Policy Board, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Contact information for the Reserve Forces Policy Board Designated Federal Officer can be obtained from the GSA's FACA Database—<https://www.fido.gov/facadatabase/public.asp>.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, will announce planned meetings of the Reserve Forces Policy Board. The Designated Federal Officer, at that time, may provide additional guidance on the submission of written statements that are in response to the stated agenda for the planned meeting in question.

Dated: July 14, 2011.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2011–18592 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DOD–2011–OS–0081]

#### Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** National Security Agency/Central Security Service, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice to Delete a System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The National Security Agency/Central Security Service is deleting a system of records notice from its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

**DATES:** This proposed action would be effective without further notice on August 22, 2011 unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 1160 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–1160.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register**

document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Anne Hill, National Security Agency/Central Security Service, Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act Office, 9800 Savage Road, Suite 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755–6248, or by phone at (301) 688–6527.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Security Agency systems of records notice subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

The National Security Agency proposes to delete a system of records notice from its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended. The proposed deletion is not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of a new or altered system report.

Dated: July 19, 2011.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

**Deletion:**

**GNSA 04**

**SYSTEM NAME:**

NSA/CSS Military Reserve Personnel Data (October 23, 2008, 73 FR 63141).

**REASON:**

The category of individuals covered by this system is obsolete. NSA/CSS no longer has inactive duty military reserve personnel assigned to NSA mobilization billets, therefore, there are no training requirements for these individuals. All Agency training records are covered under GNSA 12, NSA/CSS Education, Training and Workforce Development (March 24, 2009, 74 FR 12116).

[FR Doc. 2011–18593 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Notice of Availability for Exclusive, Non-Exclusive, or Partially-Exclusive Licensing of an Invention Concerning Method of Diagnosing of Exposure to Toxic Agents by Measuring Distinct Pattern in the Levels of Expression of Specific Genes

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Announcement is made of the availability for licensing of the invention set forth in U.S. Patent 6,316,197, entitled “Method of Diagnosing of Exposure to Toxic Agents by Measuring Distinct Pattern in the Levels of Expression of Specific Genes,” issued November 13, 2001. The United States Government, as represented by the Secretary of the Army, has rights to this invention.

**ADDRESSES:** Commander, U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, ATTN: Command Judge Advocate, MCMR–JA, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702–5012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For patent issues, Ms. Elizabeth Arwine, Patent Attorney, (301) 619–7808. For licensing issues, Dr. Paul Mele, Office of Research and Technology Applications (ORTA), (301) 619–6664, both at telefax (301) 619–5034.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The invention relates to a method of diagnosing exposure to a toxic agent by determining a difference in the detected amount of protein/gene expression between exposed and unexposed samples.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011–18524 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710–08–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Army Science Board Summer Study Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Sunshine in the Government Act of 1976 (U.S.C. 552b, as amended) and 41 Code of the Federal Regulations (CFR 102–3. 140 through 160, the Department

of the Army announces the following committee meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Army Science Board (ASB).

*Date(s) of Meeting:* August 10, 2011.

*Time(s) of Meeting:* 0800–1200.

*Location:* Newport News Marriott at City Center, 740 Town Center Drive, Newport News, VA 23606.

*Purpose:* Adopt the findings and recommendations for phase one of the following studies: *Strengthening Sustainability and Resiliency of a Future Force and Tactical Non-cooperative Biometric Systems.*

*Proposed Agenda:*

Wednesday 10 August:

0830–1130 Study results for *Strengthening Sustainability and Resiliency of a Future Force and Tactical Non-Cooperative Biometric Systems* are presented to the ASB. The ASB deliberates and votes to adopt the findings and recommendations on the studies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information please contact Mr. Justin Bringham at [justin.bringhurst@us.army.mil](mailto:justin.bringhurst@us.army.mil) or (703) 617–0263 or Carolyn German at [carolyn.t.german@us.army.mil](mailto:carolyn.t.german@us.army.mil) or (703) 617–0258.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** None.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011–18521 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710–08–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Termination of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Proposed Regional Watershed Supply Project in Wyoming and Colorado

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District, Regulatory Branch is notifying interested parties that it has terminated the process to develop a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and has withdrawn the Section 404 Clean Water Act permit application for the proposed ‘Regional Watershed Supply Project’ submitted in 2008 by a private water development entity known as Million Conservation Resource Group (MCRG). The original Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, March 20, 2009 (74 FR 11920),

with subsequent amended announcements on May 8, 2009 (74 FR 21665) and August 11, 2009 (74 FR 40171).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding the termination of this EIS process should be addressed to Ms. Rena Brand, Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Denver Regulatory Office, 9307 S. Wadsworth Blvd., Littleton, CO 80128–6901; (303)–979–4120; [mcrgeis@usace.army.mil](mailto:mcrgeis@usace.army.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** After the initial public scoping process in 2009, the Corps received 7,409 substantive comments related to the applicant’s proposal to construct a 558 mile water pipeline from Flaming Gorge Reservoir in Southwest Wyoming to a terminating storage reservoir near Pueblo, Colorado, designed to supply up to 250,000 acre feet of water annually to various municipal and agricultural entities in Eastern Wyoming and the Front Range of Colorado. A common concern expressed dealt with the need for the water, what entities would be using the water, and for what purposes. On April 1, 2011, MCRG expressed to the Corps that they wished to change the primary purpose of the project to power generation. When the EIS process started in 2009, it was understood that the project purpose was water supply, so all EIS work done to date, to include public scoping was related to that purpose. The project now has an uncertain and variable purpose, which technically makes the applicant’s permit application incomplete. Additionally, Corps’ regulations require that applicants be provided sufficient time to respond to requests from the Corps for information, normally not to exceed 30 days. At the close of a recent 60-day stop work request by MCRG, the Corps decided to withdraw the permit application, as MCRG did not officially respond with a decision about how the EIS was to proceed, as requested by the Corps. The Corps decided that now is the appropriate time to officially terminate the EIS. The Corps’ neutral role in this EIS process was to evaluate the environmental consequences of proposed projects such as these under authority of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The preparation of the EIS was being conducted by a third-party contractor directed by the Corps, and funded by the permit applicant, which is typical of Corps Regulatory EIS studies. Withdrawal of the permit application and termination of the EIS process will not prevent MCRG from re-applying at a later date, and will not

affect other ongoing Corps water supply studies along the Colorado Front Range.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011–18523 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3720–58–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Submission for OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13).

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 22, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, be faxed to (202) 395–5806 or e-mailed to [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov) with a cc: to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov). Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The OMB is particularly interested in comments which: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.