

project remains open, and AllSeen Alliance intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 29, 2014, AllSeen Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 2014 (79 FR 12223).

**Patricia A. Brink,**  
*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2014–11333 Filed 5–15–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act Of 1993—Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International Sematech

Notice is hereby given that, on April 21, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International Sematech (“SEMATECH”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Particle Measuring Systems, Boulder, CO; Seagate, Cupertino, CA; Quantum Global Technologies, Quakertown, PA; SK Hynix, Icheon-si, Gyeonggi-Do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; HT Advanced, Kallang, SINGAPORE, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, ST Micro, Coppel, TX; Vishay, Breisgau, GERMANY; and Tokyo Ohka Kogyo (TOK), Kanagawa-Ken, JAPAN, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and SEMATECH intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 22, 1988, SEMATECH filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section

6(b) of the Act on May 19, 1988 (53 FR 17987).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 6, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 13, 2014 (79 FR 14294).

**Patricia A. Brink,**  
*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2014–11337 Filed 5–15–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Opendaylight Project, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 21, 2014 pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), OpenDaylight Project, Inc. (“OpenDaylight”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Qosmos, Paris, FRANCE; 6Wind, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, FRANCE; Hangzhou H3C Technologies Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Avaya Inc., Santa Clara, CA; and Oracle Corp., Santa Clara, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OpenDaylight intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 23, 2013, OpenDaylight filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 1, 2013 (78 FR 39326).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 5, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal**

**Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 2014 (79 FR 12223).

**Patricia A. Brink,**  
*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2014–11336 Filed 5–15–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employee Benefits Security Administration

#### 171st Meeting of the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 512 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. 1142, the 171st open meeting of the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans (also known as the ERISA Advisory Council) will be held on June 17–19, 2014.

The three-day meeting will take place at the U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. On June 17 and 19, the meeting will take place in C5320 Room 6. On June 18, the meeting will take place in C5521 Room 4. The meeting will run from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 5:30 p.m. on June 17–18 and from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on June 19, with a one hour break for lunch each day. The purpose of the open meeting is for Advisory Council members to hear testimony from invited witnesses and to receive an update from the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA). The EBSA update is scheduled for the morning of June 18, subject to change.

The Advisory Council will study the following issues: (1) Issues and Considerations around Facilitating Lifetime Plan Participation, (2) Outsourcing Employee Benefit Plan Services, and (3) PBM Compensation and Fee Disclosure. The schedule for testimony and discussion of these issues generally will be one issue per day in the order noted above. Descriptions of these topics are available on the Advisory Council page of the EBSA Web site, at [www.dol.gov/ebsa/aboutebsa/erisa\\_advisory\\_council.html](http://www.dol.gov/ebsa/aboutebsa/erisa_advisory_council.html).

Organizations or members of the public wishing to submit a written statement may do so by submitting 30 copies on or before June 10, 2014 to Larry Good, Executive Secretary, ERISA Advisory Council, U.S. Department of Labor, Suite N–5623, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Statements also may be submitted as email attachments in word processing or

pdf format transmitted to [good.larry@dol.gov](mailto:good.larry@dol.gov). It is requested that statements not be included in the body of the email. Statements deemed relevant by the Advisory Council and received on or before June 10 will be included in the record of the meeting and made available through the EBSA Public Disclosure Room, along with witness statements. Do not include any personally identifiable information (such as name, address, or other contact information) or confidential business information that you do not want publicly disclosed. Written statements submitted by invited witnesses will be posted on the Advisory Council page of the EBSA Web site, without change, and can be retrieved by most Internet search engines.

Individuals or representatives of organizations wishing to address the Advisory Council should forward their requests to the Executive Secretary or telephone (202) 693-8668. Oral presentations will be limited to 10 minutes, time permitting, but an extended statement may be submitted for the record. Individuals with disabilities who need special accommodations should contact the Executive Secretary by June 10.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of May 2014.

**Phyllis C. Borzi,**

*Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2014-11284 Filed 5-15-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Bureau of Labor Statistics

#### Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice for solicitation of comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics is seeking comments on the proposed new method for projecting occupational separations. An experimental dataset comparing results from the current and alternate method, along with a description of the new method, is ready for users to review and provide feedback.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the

**ADDRESSES** section of this notice on or before July 15, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Michael Wolf, Division of Occupational Employment Projections, Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 2135, 2 Massachusetts Avenue NE., Washington, DC 20212 or by email to: [wolf.michael@bls.gov](mailto:wolf.michael@bls.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Wolf, Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics, Bureau of Labor Statistics, telephone number 202-691-5714 (this is not a toll-free number), or by email to: [wolf.michael@bls.gov](mailto:wolf.michael@bls.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Department of Labor through the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is responsible for the development and publication of occupational employment projections and related career information. One element of the projections is estimates of job openings due to growth and replacement needs. Replacement needs measure openings that result from workers leaving an occupation for reasons such as retirement or career changes. BLS has developed a new method for measuring openings that estimates occupational separations. An experimental dataset comparing results from the current and alternate method, along with a description of the new method, is ready for users to provide feedback.

##### II. New Method

The new method uses historical data to measure two types of workers who separate from their current occupation. Workers who leave their current occupation and find employment in a different occupation (occupational transfers) are measured using the Current Population Survey (CPS) Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC), while workers who leave the labor force entirely (labor force exits) are measured using matched monthly data from the CPS. This historical data is used in a probit model to estimate the effects of various demographic characteristics, then the results of the model are applied to the current demographics of an occupation to estimate future occupational separations. A more detailed description of the methodology is available here:

[http://www.bls.gov/emp/ep\\_separations\\_methods.htm](http://www.bls.gov/emp/ep_separations_methods.htm). The new method is conceptually similar to the current method, with the following key distinctions:

- The new method measures separations, while the current method measures replacements. Replacements are equal to separations for growing occupations, but not for declining occupations. The current method adjusts for declining occupations within the calculation, while the new method adjusts after calculation using the BLS occupational employment projections.

- The new method measures two distinct sources of separations, separations that result from workers transferring to a different occupation, and separations that result from workers exiting the labor force altogether, and reports them both separately and as a combined measure. The current method provides just one measure for all replacements.

- Both the current method and the new method estimate replacements or separations due to workers permanently leaving an occupation. The current method does this by excluding separations from workers in the same age cohort as workers who enter the occupation. The new method does this by only measuring separations from workers who transfer to a different major occupational group, or who exit the labor force for at least 4 months.

Additional information on why BLS is proposing this alternate methodology is available here: [http://www.bls.gov/emp/ep\\_separations\\_change.htm](http://www.bls.gov/emp/ep_separations_change.htm).

##### III. Terminology

BLS also proposes using new terminology for this data. As noted above, the new methodology measures separations, while the current methodology measures replacements, so BLS would replace the data series descriptor 'Replacement Needs' with 'Occupational Separations' and the data series descriptor 'Replacement Rates' with 'Occupational Separation Rates'. In addition, the current data series descriptor 'Job Openings due to Growth and Replacement Needs' is similar in form, but conceptually different from another BLS data source, the Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey. BLS proposes to rename this data series 'Openings due to Employment Change and Occupational Separations'.

Current terminology	Proposed new terminology
Replacement Needs .....	Occupational Separations.
Replacement Rates .....	Occupational Separation Rate.