Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because we are establishing a safety zone. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. From 6:30 a.m. May 19, 2002 through 9:30 a.m. May 19, 2002 add new § 165.T11–042 to read as follows:

## §165.T11–042 Safety Zone; Oceanside Harbor, CA.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: all waters of Oceanside Harbor, CA encompassed by an area starting at  $33^{\circ}12'21''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'27''$  W; east to  $33^{\circ}12'18''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'31''$  W; northeast to  $33^{\circ}12'26''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'38''$  W; northeast to  $33^{\circ}12'27''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'44''$  W; east to  $33^{\circ}12'24''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'55''$  W; north to  $33^{\circ}12'33''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'55''$  W; north to  $33^{\circ}12'36''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'47''$  W; south to  $33^{\circ}12'31''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'47''$  W; south to  $33^{\circ}12'31''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'47''$  W; southwest to  $33^{\circ}12'30''$  N,  $117^{\circ}23'36''$  W; south to the original point.

(b) *Effective Dates.* This safety zone will be enforced from 6:30 a.m. (PST) to 9:30 a.m. (PST) on May 19, 2002. If the event concludes prior to the scheduled

termination time, the Captain of the Port will cease enforcement of this safety zone and will announce that fact via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

(c) *Regulations*. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this zone by all vessels is prohibited, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative. Mariners requesting permission to transit through the safety zone may request authorization to do so from the Patrol Commander, who will be Don Hadley of the Oceanside Harbor Police. He may be contacted by telephone at (760) 435– 4007 or by VHF–FM Channel 16.

Dated: April 29, 2002.

## S.P. Metruck,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Diego. [FR Doc. 02–12313 Filed 5–15–02: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD13-02-002]

RIN 2115-AA97

## Security Zone; Portland Rose Festival on Willamette River

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone surrounding the City of Portland's Waterfront Park to include all waters of the Willamette River, from surface to bottom, between the Hawthorne and Steel bridges and underneath these bridges. Recent terrorist attacks against the United States necessitate this action to properly safeguard all vessels participating in the 2002 Portland Rose Festival from terrorism, sabotage, or other subversive acts. We anticipate the security zone will have limited effects on commercial traffic and significant effects on recreational boaters; ensuring timely escorts through this security zone is a high priority of the Captain of the Port.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from Wednesday, June 5, 2002, through Monday, June 10, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD13–02–002 and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast

Guard Marine Safety Office / Group Portland, 6767 N. Basin Ave, Portland, Oregon 97217 between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Junior Grade Jeff Pile, c/o Captain of the Port, Portland Oregon at (503) 240–2585.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background and Purpose**

On March 18, 2002, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Security Zone; Portland Rose Festival on Willamette River in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 11961). We received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public hearing was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. The comment period for this rule ended just 2 business days before May 6, 2002-the date 30 days before the effective date of the rule. While this miscalculation prevented us from having the rule published by May 6, we are still able to provide several weeks notice of the effective date of the rule. The dates of the Rose Festival are fixed, and cannot be modified, therefore it would be contrary to public interest for us not to make the rule effective starting June 5, 2002. This security zone is necessary to provide for the safety and security of vessels participating in the 2002 Portland Rose Festival in the navigable waters of the United States.

## **Discussion of Comments and Rule**

The Coast Guard did not receive any comments on the NPRM for this rule. This rule, for safety and security concerns, will control vessel movements in a regulated area surrounding vessels participating in the 2002 Portland Rose Festival. U.S. Naval Vessels are covered under 33 CFR 165 Subpart G-Protection of Naval Vessels; however, the Portland Rose Festival is a major maritime event that draws many different vessels including Navy, Coast Guard, Army Corps of Engineers, and Canadian Maritime Forces. It is crucial that the same level of security be provided to all participating vessels. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Portland or his designated representatives.

Commercial vessels that typically transit this section of the Willamette River are pre-designated and will suffer only minor inconveniences. Recreational vessels may suffer from extended delays and can anticipate a vessel inspection. Recreational vessels are encouraged to avoid this area. Recreational vessels will be allowed into the zone on a case-by-case basis following extensive security measures, and as operations permit.

Coast Guard personnel will enforce this security zone and the Captain of the Port may be assisted by other federal, state, or local agencies. The Coast Guard intends to enforce this security zone during its effective period starting with arrival of the first vessel participating in the 2002 Portland Rose Festival to the City of Portland's Waterfront Park and extending until the last such participating vessel departs the Waterfront Park.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

<sup>1</sup> This expectation is based on adequate resources allowing vessel approvals from the Captain of the Port or his designated representatives to transit through the regulated area. For the above reasons, the Coast Guard only anticipates minor economic impact.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in this portion of the Willamette River. The likely impacts to small entities include minor time delays, potential inspections, and possibly non-entrance if the Captain of the Port or his designated representatives sense the vessels participating in the Rose Festival are threatened. The security zone will not have a significant economic impact because adequate resources will allow vessels timely approval from the Captain of the Port or his designated representatives to transit through the regulated area.

## **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104– 121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

## **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501– 3520).

## Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and will either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

## **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

## **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions **Concerning Regulations That** Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because the temporary security zone will not last longer than one week in duration. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways. For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add § 165.T13–002 to read as follows:

## §165.T13–002 Security Zone; Portland Rose Festival on Willamette River.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: All waters of the Willamette River, from surface to bottom, between the Hawthorne and Steel bridges and underneath these bridges.

(b) *Regulations*. (1) In accordance with § 165.33, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Portland or his designated representatives. Section 165.33 also contains other general requirements.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the area of the security zone may contact the Captain of the Port on VHF channel 16 (156.8 MHz) or VHF channel 22A (157.1 MHz) to seek permission to transit the area. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port or his or her designated representative.

(c) *Authority*. In addition to 33 U.S.C. 1231, the authority for this section includes 33 U.S.C. 1226.

(d) *Effective period.* This section is effective from Wednesday, June 5, 2002, through Monday, June 10, 2002.

Dated: May 7, 2002.

#### J.D. Spitzer,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Portland.

[FR Doc. 02–12312 Filed 5–15–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 70

[IN004a; FRL-7212-6]

## Clean Air Act Final Approval of Operating Permit Program Revisions; Indiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking final action to approve revisions to the operating permit program of the State of Indiana. Indiana submitted its operating permit program in response to the directive in the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments that states develop, and submit to EPA, programs for issuing operating permits to all major stationary sources and to certain other sources within the states' jurisdiction. EPA granted full approval to Indiana's operating permit program effective November 30, 2001. At that time, EPA also issued a notice of program deficiency (NOD) in which EPA identified problems with Indiana's program and a timeframe within which Indiana had to correct the problems. Indiana submitted revisions to its operating permit program on February 7, 2002. These program revisions include regulatory changes which resolve deficiencies that EPA identified in the NOD. This action also includes other changes to the state's title V regulations. One of the deficiencies EPA identified in the NOD is not included in this submittal because it is part of a separate State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal. EPA will take action on that submittal in a separate Federal Register document.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective July 15, 2002, without further notice unless EPA receives adverse comments in writing by June 17, 2002. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely notice in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect. EPA will address the public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule published in this **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the state's submittal and other supporting information used in developing the proposed approval are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following location: EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, AR–18J, Chicago, Illinois, 60604. Please contact Sam Portanova at (312) 886–3189 to arrange a time if inspection of the submittal is desired.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sam Portanova, AR–18J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604, Telephone Number: (312) 886–3189, E-Mail Address: portanova.sam@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is being addressed in this document? What are the program changes that EPA is approving?

What is involved in this final action?

# What Is Being Addressed in This Document?

As required under Subchapter V of the Clean Air Act ("the Act"), as amended (1990), EPA has promulgated regulations which define the minimum elements of an approvable state operating permits program and the corresponding standards and procedures by which the EPA will approve, oversee, and withdraw approval of state operating permits programs (see 57 FR 32250 (July 21, 1992)). These regulations are codified at 40 CFR part 70. Pursuant to subchapter V, generally known as title V, states developed, and submitted to EPA, programs for issuing operating permits to all major stationary sources and to certain other sources.

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted its title V operating permits program (title V program) for approval on August 10, 1994. EPA promulgated interim approval of the Indiana title V program on November 14, 1995 (60 FR 57188), and the program became effective on December 14, 1995. Subsequently, EPA promulgated full approval of the Indiana title V program effective November 30, 2001. EPA published this action in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 2001 (66 FR 62969).

Pursuant to its authority at 40 CFR 70.10(b), EPA published a NOD for Indiana's title V operating permit program on December 11, 2001 (66 FR 64039). The NOD was based upon EPA's finding that several state requirements do not meet the minimum federal requirements of 40 CFR part 70 and the Act for program approval. Indiana has adopted rule revisions to resolve all of the deficiencies identified in the December 11, 2001 NOD. These rule revisions became effective, as a matter of state law, on January 19, 2002. Indiana submitted some of these rule changes as a revision to its title V operating permit program on February 7, 2002. Indiana also included, in the February 7, 2002 submittal, other regulatory revisions that strengthen Indiana's program. EPA is approving the Indiana rule revisions included in the February 7, 2002 submittal in today's action. On March 5, 2002, Indiana submitted a rule revision addressing one of the deficiencies identified in the NOD for approval into the State Implementation Plan (SIP). EPA will take action on this rule revision in a separate Federal Register document. The public will have an opportunity to comment on this rule revision when EPA publishes the Federal Register