

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Juan S. Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2572.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2009).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 18, 2010, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory semiconductors or products containing the same, including memory modules that infringe one or more of claims 1–16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,397,664; and claims 1–20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,071,074, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Infineon Technologies AG, Am  
Campeon 1–12, D–85579 Neubiberg,  
Germany.  
Infineon Technologies North America  
Corp., 640 N. McCarthy Blvd.,  
Milpitas, CA 95035.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Elpida Memory Inc., Sumitomo Seimei  
Yaesu Bldg. 3F, 2–1 Yaesu 2-chome,  
Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan.  
Elpida Memory (USA) Inc., 1175 Sonora  
Ct., Sunnyvale, CA 94086.  
Rexchip Electronics Corporation, No.  
429–1, Sanfong Rd., Houli Township,  
Taichung County, Central Taiwan  
Science Park, Taiwan.  
Kingston Technology Company Inc.,  
17600 Newhope Street, Fountain  
Valley, CA 92708.  
Kingston Technology (Shanghai) Co.  
Ltd., No. 1, Yinglun Road, Pudong

New District, Shanghai, Shanghai  
200131, China.

Kingston Technology Far East Co. Ltd.,  
No. 1–5, Li-Hsin Road, I, Science  
Based, Industrial Park, Hsin-Chu,  
Taiwan.

Kingston Technology Far East (M) Sdn.  
Bhd., Plot 111–B Bayan Lepas  
Industrial Park, Lebuhraya Kampung  
Jawa, Bayan Lepas, Penang 11900,  
Malaysia.

Payton Technology Corp., 17665  
Newhope St., Ste B, Fountain Valley,  
CA 92708.

A-Data Technology Co., Ltd., 18F., No.  
258, Lian Cheng Rd., Chung Ho City,  
235 Taipei, Taiwan.

A-Data Technology (USA) Co. Ltd.,  
17101 Gale Ave., Hacienda Height,  
CA 91745.

Apacer Technology, Inc., 4F, 75, Sec. 1,  
Xintai 5th Rd., Xizhi City, 221 Taipei  
County, Taiwan.

Apacer Memory America Inc., 386  
Fairview Way, Suite 102, Milpitas, CA  
95035.

Buffalo Inc., 15, Shibata hondori 4-  
chome, Minami-ku, Nagoya, 457–  
8520, Japan.

Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc., 11100  
Metric Boulevard, Suite 750, Austin,  
TX 78758.

Corsair Memory, 46221 Landing  
Parkway, Fremont, CA 94538.

Corsair Memory (Taiwan), A–1, 5th  
Floor, 5 Hangsiang Road, Dayuan  
Township, Tao Yuan County 33747,  
Taiwan.

Mushkin Inc., 317 Inverness Way South,  
Suite 130, Englewood, CO 80112.

Mushkin APAC, B–13–9, Megan Avenue  
II, No. 12, Jalan Yap Kwan Seng,  
50450 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Transcend Information Inc., No. 70,  
XingZhong Rd., NeiHu Dist., Taipei,  
Taiwan.

Transcend USA, 1645 North Brian  
Street, Orange, CA 92867.

(c) The Commission investigative  
attorney, party to this investigation, is  
Juan S. Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair  
Import Investigations, U.S. International  
Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,  
Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and  
(3) For the investigation so instituted,  
the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief  
Administrative Law Judge, U.S.  
International Trade Commission, shall  
designate the presiding Administrative  
Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the  
notice of investigation must be  
submitted by the named respondents in  
accordance with section 210.13 of the  
Commission's Rules of Practice and  
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to  
19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a),  
such responses will be considered by

the Commission if received not later  
than 20 days after the date of service by  
the Commission of the complaint and  
the notice of investigation. Extensions of  
time for submitting responses to the  
complaint and the notice of  
investigation will not be granted unless  
good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely  
response to each allegation in the  
complaint and in this notice may be  
deemed to constitute a waiver of the  
right to appear and contest the  
allegations of the complaint and this  
notice, and to authorize the  
administrative law judge and the  
Commission, without further notice to  
the respondent, to find the facts to be as  
alleged in the complaint and this notice  
and to enter an initial determination  
and a final determination containing  
such findings, and may result in the  
issuance of an exclusion order or a cease  
and desist order or both directed against  
the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 22, 2010.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010–6617 Filed 3–24–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–437 and 731–  
TA–1060–1061 (Review)]**

### **Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From China and India**

**AGENCY:** United States International  
Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Scheduling of expedited five-  
year reviews concerning the  
countervailing duty order on carbazole  
violet pigment 23 from India and the  
antidumping duty orders on carbazole  
violet pigment 23 from China and India.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives  
notice of the scheduling of expedited  
reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of  
the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C.  
1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine  
whether revocation of the  
countervailing duty order on carbazole  
violet pigment 23 from India and the  
antidumping duty orders on carbazole  
violet pigment 23 from China and India  
would be likely to lead to continuation  
or recurrence of material injury within  
a reasonably foreseeable time. The  
Commission has determined to exercise  
its authority to extend the review period  
by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C.  
1675(c)(5)(B). For further information  
concerning the conduct of these reviews

and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 5, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cynthia Trainor (202–205–3354), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background.* On February 5, 2010, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 56663 November 2, 2009) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

*Staff report.* A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on April 8, 2010, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

*Written submissions.* As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>2</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the

reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before April 13, 2010 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by April 13, 2010. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

*Determination.* The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: March 18, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010–6618 Filed 3–24–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1058 (Review)]

### Wooden Bedroom Furniture From China

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from China.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 8, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 8, 2010, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 62817, December 1, 2009) was adequate and the respondent interested party group

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

<sup>2</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by Nation Ford Chemical Co. and Sun Chemical Corp. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).