

notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

Signed at Washington, DC.

George C. Cosby,

*Director, Office of Exemption Determinations,
Employee Benefits Security Administration,
U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2022–19000 Filed 9–1–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–29–P

OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

Request for Information; Equitable Data Engagement and Accountability

AGENCY: Office of Science and
Technology Policy (OSTP).

ACTION: Notice of request for
information (RFI).

SUMMARY: The White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), on behalf of the Subcommittee on Equitable Data of the National Science and Technology Council, requests information on how Federal agencies can better support collaboration with other levels of government, civil society, and the research community around the production and use of equitable data. This RFI will support Federal equitable data efforts described in the Executive Order on Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government (E.O. 13985), including the *Vision for Equitable Data* issued to the President in April 2022.

DATES: Interested persons and organizations are invited to submit comments on or before 5 p.m. ET, October 3, 2022.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* equitabledata@ostp.eop.gov, include *Engagement and Accountability RFI* in the subject line of the message. Email submissions should be machine-readable [PDF, Word] and should not be copy-protected.

- *Mail:* Attn: NSTC Subcommittee on Equitable Data, Office of Science and Technology Policy, Eisenhower Executive Office Building, 1650 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20504.

Instructions: Response to this RFI is voluntary. Respondents may answer as many or as few questions as they wish. Each individual or institution is requested to submit only one response. Electronic responses must be provided as attachments to an email rather than a link. Please identify your answers by responding to a specific question or topic if possible. Comments of seven

pages or fewer (3,500 words) are requested; longer responses will not be considered. Responses should include the name of the person(s) or organization(s) filing the response. Responses containing references, studies, research, and other empirical data that are not widely published should include copies of or electronic links to the referenced materials. Responses containing profanity, vulgarity, threats, or other inappropriate language or content will not be considered.

Any information obtained from this RFI is intended to be used by the Government on a non-attribution basis for planning and strategy development. OSTP will not respond to individual submissions. A response to this RFI will not be viewed as a binding commitment to develop or pursue the project or ideas discussed. This RFI is not accepting applications for financial assistance or financial incentives.

Comments submitted in response to this notice are subject to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). No business proprietary information, copyrighted information, or personally identifiable information should be submitted in response to this RFI. Please be aware that comments submitted in response to this RFI, including the submitter's identification (as noted above), may be posted, without change, on OSTP's or another Federal website or otherwise released publicly.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Denice Ross, U.S. Chief Data Scientist, at equitabledata@ostp.eop.gov or 202–456–6121.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As part of the President's Executive Order on Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government (E.O. 13985), the Administration convened a Federal Equitable Data Working Group to study existing Federal data collection policies, programs, and infrastructure to identify inadequacies and provide recommendations that lay out a strategy for increasing data available for measuring equity and representing the diversity of the American people.

In its final report in April 2022—*Vision for Equitable Data*—the Equitable Data Working Group emphasized the need for the Federal government to use equitable data to (1) encourage diverse collaborations across levels of government, civil society, and the research community and (2) be accountable to the American public. By equitable data, we mean data that allow for rigorous assessment of the extent to which government programs and

policies yield consistently fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including those who have been historically underserved, marginalized, and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality. Equitable data can illuminate opportunities for targeted actions that will result in demonstrably improved outcomes for underserved communities. One key characteristic of equitable data is that it is disaggregated by demographic information (e.g., race, ethnicity, gender, language spoken, etc.), geographic information (e.g., rural/urban), or other variables, enabling insights on disparities in access to, and outcomes from, government programs, policies, and services.

Durable, equitable data infrastructure requires fostering collaborations across all levels of government, as well as with a diverse community of external organizations to advance outcomes for underserved communities. Constructing such infrastructure will likely require new incentives and pathways, including to ensure greater data sharing and capacity building across different levels of government and to broaden the research community involved in producing and analyzing equitable data.

Furthermore, providing tools that allow civil society organizations and communities to use and visualize Federal data and chart government's progress toward equitable outcomes is crucial for strengthening accountability and credibility with the American public. Such tools can encourage community participation in government equity efforts, but these tools must be designed and administered in ways that meet community members where they are in terms of data analysis capacity and resources. These tools should ideally enable members of the public to easily find meaningful and actionable data about the well-being of their communities and the services provided to them.

In this notice, the White House OSTP is providing an opportunity for members of the public to provide perspectives on how to best to encourage collaborations between the Federal government and (a) state, local, territorial, and Tribal governments; (b) researchers and research institutions; and (c) local communities that facilitate producing, accessing, and using equitable data.

Responses to this Request for Information (RFI) will be used to inform the development of case studies, best practices, and new strategies for Federal agencies, including establishing:

(1) mutually beneficial collaborations between Federal agencies and other levels of government, civil society, and

the research community around the production and use of equitable data, and

(2) tools that allow civil society organizations and communities to use and visualize Federal data and chart government's progress toward equitable outcomes in order to strengthen accountability and credibility.

Responses to this RFI will also inform development of the United States' Open Government Partnership National Action Plan that furthers the principles of open government.

We invite members of the public to share perspectives on how the Federal government can better realize the objectives of collaboration between all levels of government, engagement of communities that access or participate in Federal programs in data collection and research, and create broader public access to equitable data. Responses may help inform the development of case studies, best practices, strategies, plans, and other tools for Federal agencies to pursue equitable data partnerships and collaboration, including Federal government plans around open government.

OSTP seeks responses to one, some, or all of the following questions:

1. What are *examples of successful collaborations* involving equitable data between the Federal government and (a) Tribal, territorial, local, and State governments, or (b) local communities?

2. Among examples of existing Federal collaborations with (a) Tribal, territorial, local, and State governments or (b) local communities involving equitable data, *what lessons or best practices have been learned from such collaborations?*

3. What resources, programs, training, or other tools *can facilitate increased data sharing between different levels of government (Tribal, territorial, local, State, or Federal) related to equitable data?*

4. What resources, programs, training, or other tools *can expand opportunities for historically underrepresented scholars and research institutions to access and use equitable data across levels of government?*

5. What resources, programs, training, or tools *can increase opportunities for community-based organizations to use equitable data to hold government accountable to the American public?*

6. What resources, programs, training, or tools *can make equitable data more accessible and useable* for members of the public?

7. In which agencies, programs, regions, or communities *are there unmet needs, broken processes, or problems related to participation and*

accountability that could be remedied through stronger collaborations and transparency around equitable data?

Dated: August 30, 2022.

Stacy Murphy,

Operations Manager.

[FR Doc. 2022–19007 Filed 9–1–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3270–F2–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–405, OMB Control No. 3235–0462]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Extension: Display of Customer Limit Orders (17 CFR 242.604)

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) (“PRA”), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) a request for approval of extension of the previously approved collection of information provided for in Rule 604 (17 CFR 242.604) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) (“Exchange Act”).

Rule 604 requires specialists and market makers to publish customer limit orders that are priced superior to the bids or offers being displayed by each such specialist or market maker.¹ Customer limit orders that match the bid or offer being displayed by a specialist or market maker must be published if the limit price also matches the national best bid or offer (“NBBO”) and the size of the customer limit order is more than de minimis (*i.e.*, more than 10% of the specialist's or market maker's displayed size).

The information collected pursuant to Rule 604 is necessary to facilitate the establishment of a national market system for securities. The publication of trading interests that improve specialists' and market makers' quotes presents investors with improved execution opportunities and improved access to the best available prices when they buy or sell securities.

The Commission estimates that approximately 318 respondents will respond to the collection of information

¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 37619A (September 6, 1996), 61 FR 48290 (September 12, 1996).

requirements each time they receive a displayable customer limit order. The Commission further estimates that a respondent will receive a customer limit order, on average, 15,136.767 times per trading day with an estimate average time of 0.1 second per quote update. Accordingly, assuming 252 days in a trading year, an average 105.957 hours per year per respondent, the Commission estimates that the total annual burden for all respondents is 33,694 hours.

The collection of information in Rule 604 is mandatory for all respondents, but does not require the collection of confidential information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website: >www.reginfo.gov<. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent by October 3, 2022 to (i) >MBX.OMB.OIRA.SEC_desk_officer@omb.eop.gov< and (ii) David Bottom, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o John Pezzullo, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, or by sending an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: August 29, 2022.

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–18981 Filed 9–1–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–95622; File No. SR–CboeBZX–2022–031]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change To List and Trade Shares of the ARK 21Shares Bitcoin ETF Under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), Commodity-Based Trust Shares

August 29, 2022.

On May 13, 2022, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“BZX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities