

rate assigned to the company in the most recently completed review of that company, except for entries for which the importer claims to be excluded from the order under the re-export provision of the scope, which will require a cash deposit rate of zero percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period with a completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period with a completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 19.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.⁶ Entries accompanied by certifications from the exporter, the importer, and the end user, indicating that the LEU will be re-exported within 18 months will be subject to a cash deposit requirement of zero percent *ad valorem*. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to the importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption

that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 4, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Issues in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Treatment of Samples
 - Comment 2: Re-export Certifications
- V. Determination of No Shipments
- VI. Revised Entry Certifications
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-23050 Filed 9-11-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2013-2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") published its *Preliminary Rescission* for the new shipper review ("NSR") of the antidumping duty order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") on April 21, 2015.¹ The period of review ("POR") is December 1, 2013, through May 31, 2014. As discussed below, we

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Rescission of 2013-2014 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 80 FR 22164 (April 21, 2015) ("*Preliminary Rescission*"); see also Memorandum to Howard Smith, Acting Director, Office 4, AD/CVD Operations, from Jeffrey Pedersen, International Trade Analyst, titled "2013-2014 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Bona Fide Sales Analysis for Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd.," dated April 7, 2015.

preliminarily found that the sale made by Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd. ("DMEGC") was non-*bona fide*, and announced our preliminary intent to rescind its NSR. For the final results of this review, we continue to find DMEGC's sale to be non-*bona fide*. Therefore, we are rescinding this NSR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 14, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeffrey Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

For a complete description of the events that following the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's AD and Countervailing Duty (CVD) Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates, and panels, consisting of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels and building integrated materials.³ Merchandise covered by the order is classifiable under subheadings 8501.61.0000, 8507.20.80, 8541.40.6020, 8541.40.6030,

² See Memorandum from Edward Yang, Senior Director, Office VII, Antidumping and Countervailing Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China" issued concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice ("Issues and Decision Memorandum").

³ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁶ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Low Enriched Uranium From France*, 67 FR 6680 (February 13, 2002).

and 8501.31.8000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of the issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

Bona Fide Analysis

For the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department analyzed the *bona fides* of DMEGC’s single sale and preliminarily found it to be a non-*bona fide* sale.⁵ Based on the Department’s complete analysis of all of the information and comments on the record of this review, the Department continues to find DMEGC’s sale to be a non-*bona fide* sale. The Department reached this conclusion based on the totality of circumstances, namely: (a) The atypical nature of the price and sale quantity; (b) DMEGC’s failure to demonstrate that its first unaffiliated customer resold the merchandise at a profit; (c) the timing of the sale; and (d) issues concerning payment.⁶ For a complete discussion, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷

Rescission of New Shipper Review

For the foregoing reasons, the Department finds that DMEGC’s sale is a non-*bona fide* sale and that this sale does not provide a reasonable or reliable basis for calculating a dumping margin. Because this non-*bona fide* sale was DMEGC’s only sale of subject

merchandise during the POR, the Department is rescinding this NSR.

Assessment

As the Department is rescinding this NSR, we have not calculated a company-specific dumping margin for DMEGC. DMEGC remains part of the PRC-wide entity and, accordingly, its entries will be assessed at the PRC-wide rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Effective upon publication of this notice of final rescission of the NSR of DMEGC, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to discontinue the option of posting a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for entries of subject merchandise from DMEGC. Because we did not calculate a dumping margin for DMEGC or grant DMEGC a separate rate in this review, DMEGC continues to be part of the PRC-wide entity. The cash deposit rate for the PRC-wide entity is 238.95 percent. These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in these segments of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: September 4, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Commerce’s *Bona Fide* Analysis for DMEGC
Comment 2: Surrogate Country and Value Selection
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015–23049 Filed 9–11–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–868]

Large Residential Washers From the Republic of Korea: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is partially rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on large residential washers (LRW) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period of review February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015 (POR).

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 14, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Goldberger or Reza Karamloo, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–4470, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 2, 2015, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty order on LRW from Korea for the POR.¹

On February 11, 2015, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department received a timely request from LG Electronics, Inc. and its affiliate LG Electronics USA, Inc. (collectively, LG) to conduct a review of LG’s sales and shipments to the United States during the POR. On February 26, 2015, Whirlpool Corporation, the petitioner, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the sales of LG, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (Samsung), and Daewoo Electronics Corporation (Daewoo).²

On April 3, 2015, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 80 FR 5509 (February 2, 2015).

² See February 11 and 26, 2015, letters from LG and the petitioner, respectively, regarding request for administrative review.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Rescission of the 2013–2014 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated April 7, 2015.

⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁷ *Id.* Further, because a significant amount of the information discussed may not be publicly disclosed, the Department addressed the issue in a separate business proprietary memorandum. See Memorandum to Edward Yang Senior Director, Office VII, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Abdelali Elouaradia, Director, Office IV, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations: “2013–2014 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China: Comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum Containing Business Proprietary Information,” dated concurrently with this notice.