

[m]aintaining sufficient financial resources to cover its credit exposure to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence . . .”<sup>12</sup> The proposed amendments describe ICC’s intraday margin call processes which will be implemented with respect to Clearing Participants whose margin on deposit does not provide proper risk protection. Therefore, such proposed amendments to ICC’s Treasury Policy help ensure that it maintains sufficient financial resources to cover its credit exposure to its Clearing Participants, consistent with the requirements of Rule 17Ad–22(e)(4)(i).<sup>13</sup>

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(2)(i) and (v)<sup>14</sup> requires ICC to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for governance arrangements that are clear and transparent and specify clear and direct lines of responsibility. The proposed changes strengthen the governance procedures related to ICC’s intraday margin call processes by memorializing associated governance procedures in the Treasury Policy. Proposed Appendix 6 to the Treasury Policy details governance procedures associated with monitoring intraday margin call risk triggers, initial warning procedures, and the issuance and collection of intraday margin calls. Furthermore, the proposed amendments document ICC’s governance followed when an intraday margin call is triggered but ICC determines not to issue such intraday margin call. In addition, such proposed amendments specify lines of responsibility within ICC for the decision making for the issuance of intraday margin calls, the communication related to such intraday margin calls, and the execution of such intraday margin calls. As such, in ICC’s view, the proposed rule change continues to ensure that ICC maintains policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to provide for clear and transparent governance arrangements and specify clear and direct lines of responsibility, consistent with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(2)(i) and (v).<sup>15</sup>

*(B) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Burden on Competition*

ICE Clear Credit does not believe the proposed amendments would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed amendments formalize ICC’s current

intraday margin call practices in the Treasury Policy. These changes do not amend ICC’s methodology and would apply uniformly across all Clearing Participants. Accordingly, ICC does not believe the amendments would affect the rights and obligations of Clearing Participants or the costs of clearing, the ability of market participants to access clearing, or the market for clearing services generally. Therefore, ICE Clear Credit does not believe the proposed rule change imposes any burden on competition that is inappropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

*(C) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others*

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. ICC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by ICC.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include file number SR–ICC–2025–005 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–ICC–2025–005. This file number should be included on the

subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of ICE Clear Credit and on ICE Clear Credit’s website at <https://www.ice.com/clear-credit/regulation>.

Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted materials that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–ICC–2025–005 and should be submitted on or before May 7, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>16</sup>

**Sherry R. Haywood,**  
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2025–06417 Filed 4–15–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011–01–P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Release No. 34–102813; File No. SR–NYSEARCA–2025–27]**

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE Arca Equities Fees and Charges**

April 10, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup>

<sup>16</sup> 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 240.17ad–22(e)(4)(i).

<sup>13</sup> 17 CFR 240.17ad–22(e)(4)(i).

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 240.17ad–22(e)(2)(i) and (v).

<sup>15</sup> *Id.*

notice is hereby given that on March 31, 2025, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Arca Equities Fees and Charges ("Fee Schedule") to adopt fees for orders routed pursuant to the Midpoint Ping routing strategy. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### **II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

#### **A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

##### **1. Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule to adopt fees for orders routed pursuant to the Midpoint Ping routing strategy, as defined in Rule 7.37-E(b)(9)(A). The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective March 31, 2025.

##### **Background**

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market

system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>3</sup>

While Regulation NMS has enhanced competition, it has also fostered a "fragmented" market structure where trading in a single stock can occur across multiple trading centers. When multiple trading centers compete for order flow in the same stock, the Commission has recognized that "such competition can lead to the fragmentation of order flow in that stock."<sup>4</sup> Indeed, cash equity trading is currently dispersed across 16 exchanges,<sup>5</sup> numerous alternative trading systems,<sup>6</sup> and broker-dealer internalizers and wholesalers, all competing for order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single exchange currently has more than 20% market share.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of cash equity order flow. More specifically, the Exchange currently has less than 12% market share of executed volume of equities trading in Tape A, B, and C securities combined.<sup>8</sup>

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can move order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain categories of products. While it is not possible to know a firm's reason for shifting order flow, the Exchange believes that one such reason is because of fee changes at any of the registered exchanges or non-exchange venues to which a firm routes order flow. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain exchange transaction fees because market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable.

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (File No. S7-10-04) (Final Rule) ("Regulation NMS").

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61358, 75 FR 3594, 3597 (January 21, 2010) (File No. S7-02-10) (Concept Release on Equity Market Structure).

<sup>5</sup> See Cboe U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, available at [https://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market\\_share](https://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market_share). See generally <https://www.sec.gov/fast-answers/divisionsmarketregmrexchangesshtml.html>.

<sup>6</sup> See FINRA ATS Transparency Data, available at <https://otctransparency.finra.org/otctransparency/AtsIssuueData>. A list of alternative trading systems registered with the Commission is available at <https://www.sec.gov/foia/docs/atstlist.htm>.

<sup>7</sup> See Cboe Global Markets U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, available at [http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market\\_share/](http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market_share/).

<sup>8</sup> See *id.*

##### **Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange has amended its rules to provide for the optional Midpoint Ping routing strategy, which is available for MPL-IOC Orders.<sup>9</sup> An MPL-IOC Order designated with the Midpoint Ping routing strategy would first check the NYSE Arca Book for available shares. Any remaining quantity of the order would then route as an MPL-IOC Order to one or more other NYSE Group equity exchanges sequentially, in accordance with the Exchange's routing table (as described in Rule 7.37-E(b)(9) and published on the Exchange's website). At each routing destination, the order would check the book for available shares, and any further unexecuted quantity would then route to the next destination on the routing table, as applicable. Any shares that remain unexecuted after the order has been routed to each destination on the routing table (to the extent that there were shares remaining to be routed) will be cancelled.

In connection with the upcoming availability of the Midpoint Ping routing strategy on March 31, 2025,<sup>10</sup> the Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule to adopt a routing fee that will apply to orders routed pursuant to the Midpoint Ping routing strategy. Under Section VI, Other Standard Rates—Routing (Per Share Price \$1.00 or Above), the Exchange proposes a new bullet providing as follows:<sup>11</sup>

- \$0.0030 per share for securities priced at or above \$1.00 or 0.30% of Dollar Value for securities priced below \$1.00, for orders routed pursuant to the Midpoint Ping routing strategy (as described in Rule 7.37-E(b)(9)(A)).

The Exchange believes that this routing functionality would offer ETP Holders the opportunity to access midpoint liquidity on other trading venues (and, specifically, on the Exchange's affiliated equity exchanges).

<sup>9</sup> See Rule 7.37-E(b)(9)(A); see also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 102566 (March 11, 2025), 90 FR 12423 (March 17, 2025) (SR-NYSEARCA-2025-22).

<sup>10</sup> See <https://www.nyse.com/trader-update/history#110000947845>.

<sup>11</sup> The Exchange also proposes certain non-substantive conforming changes. The Exchange proposes to delete the parenthetical from the title of Section VI providing that the fees set forth in this section apply only to securities with a per share price \$1.00 or above. The Exchange next proposes to add text in the first bullet under Section VI to specify that the fee for Directed Orders routed to OneChronos LLC applies to orders in securities priced at or above \$1.00. These proposed changes would facilitate the addition of the proposed fee for orders routed pursuant to the Midpoint Ping routing strategy to the Fee Schedule and ensure that the Fee Schedule continues to accurately reflect the fee applicable to Directed Orders routed to OneChronos LLC.

This routing functionality is completely optional, and ETP Holders can readily select from among various providers of routing services, including other exchanges and non-exchange venues. ETP Holders that choose not to utilize this routing strategy would continue to be able to trade on the Exchange as they currently do.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>12</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,<sup>13</sup> in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

As discussed above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>14</sup> While Regulation NMS has enhanced competition, it has also fostered a “fragmented” market structure where trading in a single stock can occur across multiple trading centers. When multiple trading centers compete for order flow in the same stock, the Commission has recognized that “such competition can lead to the fragmentation of order flow in that stock.”<sup>15</sup>

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to fee changes. Accordingly, changes to exchange transaction fees can have a direct effect on the ability of an exchange to compete for order flow.

The Midpoint Ping routing strategy is intended to provide ETP Holders with the option to, after interacting with

interest on the NYSE Arca Book, route remaining quantities of MPL–IOC Orders to other NYSE Group equity exchanges. This routing functionality is provided by the Exchange on a voluntary basis, and no rule or regulation requires that the Exchange offer it. Nor does any rule or regulation require market participants to route orders in this manner. As noted above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily select between various providers of routing services with different product offerings and different pricing. The Exchange believes the proposed fees are reasonable, as they are within the range of other routing fees the Exchange currently charges.

The Exchange believes its proposal equitably allocates its fees among market participants. The Exchange believes that the proposal represents an equitable allocation of fees because it would apply uniformly to all ETP Holders, in that all ETP Holders will have the ability to utilize the Midpoint Ping routing strategy, and each such member organization would be charged the proposed fee when utilizing the functionality. Without having a view of ETP Holders’ activity on other exchanges and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would serve as a disincentive to utilize the order type. However, the Exchange believes that a number of ETP Holders would seek to utilize the functionality, which would facilitate access to midpoint liquidity on other trading venues.

The Exchange reiterates that the routing functionality offered by the Exchange is completely optional and that the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily select between various providers of routing services with different product offerings and different pricing. The Exchange believes that the proposed fee structure for orders routed pursuant to the Midpoint Ping routing strategy is a fair and equitable approach to pricing.

The Exchange believes that the proposal is not unfairly discriminatory. The Exchange believes it is not unfairly discriminatory as the proposal to charge a fee would be assessed on an equal basis to all ETP Holders that use the Midpoint Ping routing strategy. Moreover, this proposed rule change neither targets, nor will it have a disparate impact on, any particular category of market participant. The Exchange believes that this proposal does not permit unfair discrimination because the changes described in this

proposal would be applied to all similarly situated ETP Holders. Accordingly, no member organization already operating on the Exchange would be disadvantaged by the proposed allocation of fees. The Exchange further believes that the proposed rule change would not permit unfair discrimination among ETP Holders because the Midpoint Ping routing strategy would remain available to all ETP Holders on an equal basis, and each such participant would be charged the same fee for using the functionality.

Finally, the submission of orders to the Exchange is optional for ETP Holders in that they could choose whether to submit orders to the Exchange and, if they do, the extent of its activity in this regard. The Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange’s statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

## B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,<sup>16</sup> the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission’s goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering integrated competition among orders, which promotes “more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small.”<sup>17</sup> The Exchange does not believe that the proposed fee change represents a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange or pricing offered by the Exchange’s competitors. ETP Holders may opt to disfavor the Exchange’s pricing if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of ETP Holders or competing venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

*Intramarket Competition.* The Exchange believes the proposed amendment to its Fee Schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Midpoint Ping routing strategy is available to all ETP Holders, and all ETP

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

<sup>14</sup> See *supra* note 4.

<sup>15</sup> See *supra* note 5.

<sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

<sup>17</sup> See *supra* note 4.

Holders that use the functionality to route their orders would be charged the proposed fee. This routing functionality is provided by the Exchange on a voluntary basis, and no rule or regulation requires that the Exchange offer it. ETP Holders have the choice whether or not to use the Midpoint Ping routing strategy, and those that choose not to utilize it will not be impacted by the proposed rule change. The Exchange also does not believe the proposed rule change would impact intramarket competition, as the proposed fee would apply equally to all ETP Holders that choose to utilize the Midpoint Ping routing strategy, and therefore the proposed change would not impose a disparate burden on competition among market participants on the Exchange.

*Intermarket Competition.* The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. As noted above, the Exchange's market share of intraday trading (*i.e.*, excluding auctions) is currently less than 12%. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and with off-exchange venues. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and credits in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change can impose any burden on intermarket competition.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>18</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder<sup>19</sup> the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the

Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-27 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-27. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number

SR-NYSEARCA-2025-27 and should be submitted on or before May 7, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>20</sup>

**Sherry R. Haywood,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2025-06414 Filed 4-15-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Investment Company Act Release No. 35533; File No. 812-15714]**

**Optimize Growth Equity Fund, Optimize Premium Yield Fund and Optimize Wealth Management Inc.**

April 10, 2025.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC").

**ACTION:** Notice.

Notice of application for an order under sections 6(c) and 23(c)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from rule 23c-3 under the Act.

**SUMMARY OF APPLICATION:** Applicants request an order under sections 6(c) and 23(c)(3) of the Act for an exemption from certain provisions of rule 23c-3 to permit certain registered closed-end investment companies to make repurchase offers on a monthly basis.

**APPLICANTS:** Optimize Growth Equity Fund, Optimize Premium Yield Fund and Optimize Wealth Management Inc.

**FILING DATES:** The application was filed on March 4, 2025.

**HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:** An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by emailing the SEC's Secretary at [Secretaries-Office@sec.gov](mailto:Secretaries-Office@sec.gov) and serving the Applicants with a copy of the request by email, if an email address is listed for the relevant Applicant below, or personally or by mail, if a physical address is listed for the relevant Applicant below. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on May 6, 2025, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the Applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0-5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested.

<sup>18</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>19</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).