

AMS is committed to complying with the E-Government Act, to promote the use of the internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this proposed rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/moa/small-businesses>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Richard Lower at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. All written comments timely received will be considered before a final determination is made on this matter.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 993

Marketing agreements, Plum, Prunes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 993 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 993—DRIED PRUNES PRODUCED IN CALIFORNIA.

- 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 993 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

- 2. Section 993.347 is revised to read as follows:

§ 993.347 Assessment rate.

On and after August 1, 2020, an assessment rate of \$0.28 per ton of salable dried prunes is established for California dried prunes.

Erin Morris,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2021–10018 Filed 5–11–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 50

[NRC–2018–0290]

RIN 3150–AK22

American Society of Mechanical Engineers 2019–2020 Code Editions; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is correcting a notice that was published in the **Federal Register** on March 26, 2021, regarding its proposed amendment to the regulations to incorporate by reference the 2019 Editions of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and the 2020 Edition of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants, Division 1: OM Code: Section IST, for nuclear power plants. This action is necessary to correct several typographical errors.

DATES: The correction takes effect on May 12, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods (unless this document describes a different method for submitting comments on a specific subject):

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2018–0290. Address questions about NRC dockets to Dawn Forder; telephone: 301–415–3407; email: Dawn.Forder@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.

- *Attention:* The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public

documents, is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at pdr.resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Victoria V. Huckabay, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, telephone: 301–415–5183, email: Victoria.Huckabay@nrc.gov; or Keith Hoffman, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, telephone: 301–415–1294, email: Keith.Hoffman@nrc.gov. Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the **Federal Register** (FR) on March 26, 2021, at 86 FR 16087 in FR Doc. 2021–06085, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 16094, in the second column, under the heading Section 50.55a(b)(2)(xxxii) Section XI Condition: Summary Report Submittal, in the first two sentences, the two occurrences of the phrase “repair replacement activities” are corrected to read “repair/replacement activities.”

2. On page 16102, in the third column, under the heading *Overall Backfitting Considerations: Section XI of the ASME BPV Code and the ASME OM Code*, in the first paragraph, the last sentence is corrected to read “In this rulemaking, the NRC's proposal to eliminate some older Section XI editions and addenda from the regulations would not be a backfit because the editions and addenda of codes being removed are no longer in use or available for use by licensees.”

3. On page 16103, in the second column, under the heading *ASME BPV Code, Section XI*, item 1, the first sentence is corrected to read “Revise § 50.55a(a)(1)(ii) to remove the incorporation by reference of the 1975 Winter Addenda, 1976 Summer Addenda, 1976 Winter Addenda, and the Division 1 1977 Edition through 1994 Addenda and 1998 Edition through 2000 Addenda because they incorporate by reference older editions and addenda of Section XI that are no longer in use or available for use by licensees.”

4. On page 16110, in the third column, in the middle of the column, paragraph (b)(2)(viii)(D)(1), is corrected to read “(1) As an alternative to Note (c) in Table VII–4110–1 of ASME BPV Code, Section XI, 2010 Edition, the 250 hours of Level I experience time may be reduced to 175 hours, if the experience time includes a minimum of 125 hours of field experience and 50 hours of

laboratory practice beyond the requirements for training in accordance with Appendix VII Subarticle 4220, provided those practice hours are dedicated to the Level I or Level II skill areas as described in ANSI/ASNT CP-189.”

5. On page 16111, in the second column, in the middle of the column, in paragraph (b)(2)(xxv)(A), *Mitigation of defects by modification: First person*, the paragraph heading is corrected to read “*Mitigation of defects by modification: First provision*”.

6. On page 16112, in the second column, near the bottom of the column, in paragraph (b)(2)(xliii), *Section XI condition: Section XI Condition: Regulatory Submittal Requirements*, the paragraph heading is corrected to read “*Section XI Condition: Regulatory Submittal Requirements*”.

Dated: May 5, 2021.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Cindy K. Bladey,

Chief, Regulatory Analysis and Rulemaking Support Branch, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2021-09997 Filed 5-11-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0412; FRL-10023-85-Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Michigan; Part 18 and Part 19 Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) promulgated revisions to its Part 18 Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality rule and the Part 19 New Source Review for Major Sources Impacting Nonattainment Areas rule. The revisions made to Parts 18 and 19 were adopted to ensure consistency with Federal rule language and other parts of the Michigan air quality rules. The proposed rule changes are administrative and are intended to provide clarity to the already approved rule language.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 11, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-

OAR-2020-0412 at <http://www.regulations.gov> or via email to damico.genevieve@epa.gov. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

YeChan Lim, Environmental Engineer, Air Permits Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-7259, lim.yechan@epa.gov. The EPA Region 5 office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID-19.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives such comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section

of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 7, 2021.

Cheryl Newton,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2021-10043 Filed 5-11-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 21-177; RM-11904; DA 21-461; FR ID 26049]

Television Broadcasting Services Redding, California

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by Sinclair Media Licensee, LLC (Petitioner), the licensee of KRCR-TV (ABC), channel 7, Redding, California. The Petitioner requests the substitution of channel 15 for channel 7 at Redding, California in the DTV Table of Allotments.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 11, 2021 and reply comments on or before June 28, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for the Petitioner as follows: Paul A. Cicelski, Esq., Lerman Senter, PLLC, 2001 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shaun Maher, Media Bureau, at (202) 418-2324; or Shaun Maher, Media Bureau, at ShaunMaher@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In support of its channel substitution request, the Petitioner states that the Commission has recognized that VHF channels have certain propagation characteristics which may cause reception issues for some viewers, and also that the reception of VHF signals requires larger antennas, that are generally not well suited to the mobile applications expected under flexible use, relative to UHF channels. According to the Petitioner, KRCR has received numerous