the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States:

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Farrow by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of the Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

Fifth, that, as authorized by Section 766.18(c) of the Regulations, the second year of the two year denial period set forth above shall be suspended and shall thereafter be waived, provided that during the first year of the denial period and during the period of suspension, Farrow has committed no violation of the Act or any regulation, order or license issued thereunder.

Sixth, that the Proposed Charging Letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public. This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Issued this 28th day of February, 2011. **David W. Mills.** 

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2011–5447 Filed 3–9–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-583-837]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 10, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emily Halle or Gene Calvert, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0176 or (202) 482– 3586, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On August 31, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from Taiwan covering the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Initiation of Administrative Review, 75 FR 53274 (August 31, 2010). The current deadline for the preliminary results of review is April 2, 2011.

# **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary** Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to

complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of the administrative review of PET Film from Taiwan within this time limit. Specifically, the Department granted an extension until March 2, 2011 for Shinkong Synthetic Fibers Corporation to submit its supplemental questionnaire response. We will need additional time to review and analyze the supplemental questionnaire response when it is submitted. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review from 245 days to 365 days; i.e., from April 2, 2011, until July 31, 2011.

However, July 31, 2011 falls on a Sunday, and it is the Department's long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for the completion of these preliminary results is now no later than August 1, 2011.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2011.

### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2011–5515 Filed 3–9–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-821-819]

Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation: Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order Pursuant to Five-Year Sunset Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated and the International Trade Commission (ITC) instituted the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the Russian Federation. On February 10, 2011, the ITC determined