

Michigan. The committee is authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110–343) (the Act) and operates in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the committee is to improve collaborative relationships and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with the title II of the Act. The meeting is open to the public. The purpose of the meeting is to conduct committee business and to review proposed projects.

DATES: The meeting will be held Thursday May 19, 2011 from 6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Mio Ranger Station, 107 McKinley Road, Mio, Michigan 48647. Written comments may be submitted as described under Supplementary Information.

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received at the Mio Ranger Station. Please call ahead to (989) 826–3252 to facilitate entry into the building to view comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Goldman, Designated Federal Official or Carrie Scott, Natural Resource Planner, Huron-Manistee National Forests, Mio Ranger Station, 107 McKinley Road, Mio, MI 48647; (989) 826–3252.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday. Requests for reasonable accommodation for access to the facility or proceedings may be made by contacting the person listed For Further Information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following business will be conducted: (1) Introductions and review of previous meeting; (2) Approve Huron Manistee RAC operating guidelines; (3) Develop and approve rating criteria for Title II projects; (4) Review of Title II project proposals; and (5) Public comment.

Anyone who would like to bring related matters to the attention of the committee may file written statements with the committee staff before or after the meeting. The agenda will include time for people to make oral statements of three minutes or less. Individuals wishing to make an oral statement should request in writing by May 18, 2011 to be scheduled on the agenda.

Written comments and requests for time for oral comments must be sent to Huron Manistee RAC, c/o Mio Ranger Station, 107 McKinley Road, Mio Michigan 48647 or by e-mail to cnscott@fs.fed.us or via facsimile to (989) 826–6073.

Dated: April 20, 2011.

Steven A. Goldman,
Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 2011–9994 Filed 4–25–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Alaska Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) that a planning meeting of the Alaska Advisory Committee (Committee) to the Commission will be held on Tuesday, May 17, 2011 at the Loussac Library, 3600 Denali Street, Anchorage, Alaska, 99503. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 2:30 p.m. and adjourn at approximately 5 p.m. The purpose of the meeting is to plan a future civil rights project.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the Western Regional Office of the Commission by June 17, 2011. The address is Western Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 2010, Los Angeles, CA 90012. Persons wishing to e-mail their comments, or to present their comments verbally at the meeting, or who desire additional information should contact Angelica Trevino, Office Manager, Western Regional Office, at (213) 894–3437, (or for hearing impaired TDD 913–551–1414), or by e-mail to atrevino@usccr.gov. Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Western Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, <http://www.usccr.gov>, or to contact the Western Regional Office at the above e-mail or street address. The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions

of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, April 19, 2011.

Peter Minarik,
*Acting Chief, Regional Programs
Coordination Unit.*

[FR Doc. 2011–10000 Filed 4–25–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–838, A–533–840, A–570–893, A–549–822, A–552–802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, India, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Amended Antidumping Duty Orders in Accordance with Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 26, 2011.

SUMMARY: On April 14, 2010, the U.S. Court of International Trade (“CIT”) sustained the remand redetermination¹ issued by the Department of Commerce (“Department”) pursuant to the CIT’s remand order involving the antidumping duty investigations of certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, Ecuador, India, the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (“Vietnam”).² On March 30, 2011, the U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”) notified the Department of its final determinations in the five-year (sunset) reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, the PRC, India, Thailand, and Vietnam, in which it found that revocation of these orders would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time to a U.S. industry. The ITC also found the domestic like product to include dusted shrimp. *See id.* at footnote 22. In light of the CIT’s final decision and the ITC’s sunset determination, the Department is now issuing amended antidumping duty orders that include dusted shrimp within the scope of the orders.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emeka Chukwudebe or Matthew

¹ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, Court No. 05–00192 (October 29, 2009) (“*Final Redetermination*”), found at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/remands/09-69.pdf>.

² See *Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee v. United States*, 703 F. Supp. 2d 1330 (Ct. Int’l Trade 2010) (“*Ad Hoc IV*”).

Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0219 or (202) 482-2312, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Ad Hoc IV arose out of the Department's final determinations³ and amended final determinations⁴ in the original investigations of certain frozen warmwater shrimp. In *Ad Hoc III*, the CIT remanded the issue of the Department's decision to exclude dusted shrimp from the scope of the antidumping duty investigations on certain frozen and canned warmwater shrimp.⁵ In the *Final Redetermination* submitted in response to *Ad Hoc III*, the Department found that dusted shrimp should be included within the scope of the investigations. On April 14, 2010, the CIT affirmed all aspects of the Department's remand redetermination.

³ See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Frozen and Canned Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil*, 69 FR 76910 (December 23, 2004); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Frozen and Canned Warmwater Shrimp From Ecuador*, 69 FR 76913 (December 23, 2004); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Negative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Frozen and Canned Warmwater Shrimp From India*, 69 FR 76916 (December 23, 2004); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Frozen and Canned Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 70997 (December 8, 2004); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Negative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Frozen and Canned Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand*, 69 FR 76918 (December 23, 2004); *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Frozen and Canned Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 69 FR 71005 (December 8, 2004).

⁴ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil*, 70 FR 5143 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Ecuador*, 70 FR 5156 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 70 FR 5147 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 5149 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand*, 70 FR 5145 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 70 FR 5152 (February 1, 2005)(collectively, the "Shrimp AD Amended Finals and Orders").

⁵ See *Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee v. United States*, 637 F. Supp. 2d 1166 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2009) ("Ad Hoc III").

On September 2, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the amended final determinations of certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, Ecuador, India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam.⁶

At the time that the Department issued its *Second Amended Final Determinations*, it did not issue amended antidumping duty orders to include dusted shrimp absent an injury analysis from the ITC. On March 30, 2011, the ITC notified the Department of its final determinations, which addressed the injury analysis with respect to dusted shrimp. See *Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam* (Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1063, 1064, 1066-1068 (Review), USITC Publication 4221, March 2011 ("ITC Review Final"). Specifically, the ITC noted that:

"Dusted shrimp," which is now expressly included in the scope definition, was expressly excluded from the scope during the original investigations. In September 2010, Commerce published a notice in the **Federal Register** amending the scope definition to include "dusted shrimp" pursuant to a court remand. "Dusted shrimp" has not been the subject of any domestic like product arguments in either the original investigations or these reviews.

See *ITC Review Final* at 5-6. In turn, the ITC found that it did not need to make a formal redetermination of its original injury determinations and further stated that "[b]ecause the scope definition now includes dusted shrimp, and the record provides no basis for treating dusted shrimp as a distinct like product, we define the domestic like product to include dusted shrimp." See *id.* at footnote 22. As the ITC has found that the domestic like product includes dusted shrimp in its *ITC Review Final*, the Department is now issuing amended antidumping duty orders.

We also note that prior to *Ad Hoc IV*, the *Second Amended Final Determinations*, and the *ITC Review Final*, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order with respect to Ecuador.⁷ Thus, we are not including

⁶ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, India, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Amended Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value Pursuant to Court Decision*, 75 FR 53947 (September 2, 2010) ("Second Amended Final Determinations").

⁷ See *Implementation of the Findings of the WTO Panel in United States Antidumping Measure on Shrimp from Ecuador: Notice of Determination Under section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Ecuador*, 72 FR 48257 (August 23, 2007).

Ecuador in these amended antidumping duty orders pursuant to court decision.

Inclusion in the Amended Antidumping Duty Orders

As we now find that dusted shrimp is within the scope of the orders, we have included revised scope language below. We note that the original shrimp investigations also included canned warmwater shrimp. However, given that the ITC did not find injury with respect to canned warmwater shrimp in its original investigation and that the subsequent *Shrimp AD Amended Finals and Orders* did not include canned warmwater shrimp, we are similarly not including any reference to canned warmwater shrimp in the revised scope language. While the Department finds that dusted shrimp are no longer excluded from the scope of the orders, it has retained the five-step definition of the dusting process, as dusting is a necessary precursor for producing battered shrimp, which remains outside the scope.

Scope of the Orders

The scope of the orders includes certain warmwater shrimp and prawns, whether frozen, wild-caught (ocean harvested) or farm-raised (produced by aquaculture), head-on or head-off, shell-on or peeled, tail-on or tail-off,⁸ deveined or not deveined, cooked or raw, or otherwise processed in frozen form.

The frozen warmwater shrimp and prawn products included in the scope of these orders, regardless of definitions in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTS"), are products which are processed from warmwater shrimp and prawns through freezing and which are sold in any count size.

The products described above may be processed from any species of warmwater shrimp and prawns. Warmwater shrimp and prawns are generally classified in, but are not limited to, the *Penaeidae* family. Some examples of the farmed and wild-caught warmwater species include, but are not limited to, whiteleg shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*), banana prawn (*Penaeus merguensis*), fleshy prawn (*Penaeus chinensis*), giant river prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*), giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*), redspotted shrimp (*Penaeus brasiliensis*), southern brown shrimp (*Penaeus subtilis*), southern pink shrimp (*Penaeus notialis*), southern rough shrimp (*Trachypenaeus curvirostris*), southern white shrimp (*Penaeus schmitti*), blue

⁸ "Tails" in this context means the tail fan, which includes the telson and the uropods.

shrimp (*Penaeus stylirostris*), western white shrimp (*Penaeus occidentalis*), and Indian white prawn (*Penaeus indicus*).

Frozen shrimp and prawns that are packed with marinade, spices or sauce are included in the scope of these orders. In addition, food preparations (including dusted shrimp), which are not "prepared meals," that contain more than 20 percent by weight of shrimp or prawn are also included in the scope of these orders.

Excluded from the scope are: (1) Breaded shrimp and prawns (HTS subheading 1605.20.10.20); (2) shrimp and prawns generally classified in the *Pandalidae* family and commonly referred to as coldwater shrimp, in any state of processing; (3) fresh shrimp and prawns whether shell-on or peeled (HTS subheadings 0306.23.00.20 and 0306.23.00.40); (4) shrimp and prawns in prepared meals (HTS subheading 1605.20.05.10); (5) dried shrimp and prawns; (6) Lee Kum Kee's shrimp sauce;⁹ (7) canned warmwater shrimp and prawns (HTS subheading 1605.20.10.40); and (8) certain battered shrimp. Battered shrimp is a shrimp-based product: (1) That is produced from fresh (or thawed-from-frozen) and peeled shrimp; (2) to which a "dusting" layer of rice or wheat flour of at least 95 percent purity has been applied; (3) with the entire surface of the shrimp flesh thoroughly and evenly coated with the flour; (4) with the non-shrimp content of the end product constituting between four and 10 percent of the product's total weight after being dusted, but prior to being frozen; and (5) that is subjected to individually quick frozen ("IQF") freezing immediately after application of the dusting layer. When dusted in accordance with the definition of dusting above, the battered shrimp product is also coated with a wet viscous layer containing egg and/or milk, and par-fried.

The products covered by these orders are currently classified under the following HTS subheadings: 0306.13.00.03, 0306.13.00.06, 0306.13.00.09, 0306.13.00.12, 0306.13.00.15, 0306.13.00.18, 0306.13.00.21, 0306.13.00.24, 0306.13.00.27, 0306.13.00.40, 1605.20.10.10, and 1605.20.10.30. These HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes only and are not dispositive, but rather the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

⁹ The specific exclusion for Lee Kum Kee's shrimp sauce applies only to the scope in the PRC case.

Collection of Cash Deposits

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect cash deposits on all imports of the subject merchandise (including dusted shrimp) entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d), 736(a), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 18, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-10080 Filed 4-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 26, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emeka Chukwudebe or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0219 or (202) 482-2312, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 23, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the final results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails ("steel nails") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").¹ Also on March 23, 2011, respondent Stanley² filed a timely allegation that the Department made two ministerial errors in the Final Results and requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that the Department correct the alleged ministerial errors. On March 28, 2011,

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 16379 (March 23, 2011) ("*Final Results*").

² The Stanley Works (Langfang) Fastening Systems Co., Ltd., the Stanley Works/St Stanley Fastening Systems LP, and an unaffiliated wire drawing subcontractor are collectively referred to as "Stanley" in this administrative review.

Petitioner³ submitted comments rebutting one of the errors alleged by Stanley. No other party in this proceeding submitted comments on the Department's final margin calculations. Based upon our analysis of the comments and allegations of ministerial errors, we have made changes to the margin calculations for Stanley, which in turn will also affect the margin for the separate-rate companies, as it was the only individually-reviewed respondent to receive a calculated rate.⁴

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes certain steel nails having a shaft length up to 12 inches. Certain steel nails include, but are not limited to, nails made of round wire and nails that are cut. Certain steel nails may be of one piece construction or constructed of two or more pieces. Certain steel nails may be produced from any type of steel, and have a variety of finishes, heads, shanks, point types, shaft lengths and shaft diameters. Finishes include, but are not limited to, coating in vinyl, zinc (galvanized, whether by electroplating or hot-dipping one or more times), phosphate cement, and paint. Head styles include, but are not limited to, flat, projection, cupped, oval, brad, headless, double, countersunk, and sinker. Shank styles include, but are not limited to, smooth, barbed, screw threaded, ring shank and fluted shank styles. Screw-threaded nails subject to this proceeding are driven using direct force and not by turning the fastener using a tool that engages with the head. Point styles include, but are not limited to, diamond, blunt, needle, chisel and no point. Finished nails may be sold in bulk, or they may be collated into strips or coils using materials such as plastic, paper, or wire. Certain steel nails subject to this proceeding are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65 and 7317.00.75.

Excluded from the scope of this proceeding are roofing nails of all lengths and diameter, whether collated or in bulk, and whether or not galvanized. Steel roofing nails are specifically enumerated and identified in ASTM Standard F 1667 (2005 revision) as Type I, Style 20 nails. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are corrugated nails. A corrugated nail is made of a small strip of corrugated steel with sharp points on one side. Also excluded from the scope of this proceeding are fasteners suitable

³ Mid Continent Nail Corporation.

⁴ See *Final Results*, 76 FR at 16381-16382.