

regarding products, services, and related service standards of the USPTO. At this time, the USPTO is unable to state precisely which survey vehicles will be used during the renewal period. As the USPTO's survey needs are determined, the USPTO will submit the specific survey instrument for approval.

## II. Method of Collection

These surveys will be conducted by telephone and face-to-face interviews, mailings, questionnaires and customer surveys, comment cards, and focus groups. The USPTO is also exploring the possibility of using the USPTO Web site to conduct customer surveys. Respondents currently have the option to respond electronically to the Annual Customer Satisfaction surveys through the USPTO website. A random sample is used to collect the data. Statistical methods will be followed.

## III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0651-0038.

*Form Number(s):* Depending on the individual situation, the USPTO may have survey and questionnaire forms and comment cards. The USPTO is exploring the feasibility of using electronic surveys, so this information collection may also include electronic forms in the future.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; farms; the Federal Government; and state, local or tribal governments.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 10,000 responses per year.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* The USPTO estimates that it will take approximately 15 minutes to complete telephone surveys and face-to-face interviews, 5 minutes to complete questionnaires, customer surveys, and

comment cards, and 2 hours to conduct a focus group. The USPTO estimates that it will take approximately 15 minutes to complete the Annual Patent and Trademark Customer Satisfaction surveys electronically, and that it will take approximately 30 minutes to complete the paper versions of these same surveys.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours:* 3,279 hours per year.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden:* \$644,324. The USPTO believes that both professionals and para-professionals will complete these surveys, at a rate of 75% of the current professional rate of \$252 per hour and 25% of the para-professional rate of \$30 per hour. Using a combination of these rates, the USPTO is using an hourly rate of \$196.50 to calculate the respondent costs. The USPTO estimates \$644,324 per year for salary costs associated with respondents.

Item	Estimated time for response	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Telephone Surveys .....	15 minutes .....	400	100
Face-to-Face Interviews .....	15 minutes .....	200	50
Mail Surveys (Annual Patent/Trademark Customer Satisfaction Surveys) ....	30 minutes .....	1,500	750
Electronic Patent/Trademark Customer Satisfaction Surveys .....	15 minutes .....	3,500	875
Questionnaires and Customer Surveys .....	5 minutes .....	1,800	144
Comment Cards .....	5 minutes .....	2,000	160
Focus Groups .....	2 hours .....	600	1,200
Total .....	.....	10,000	3,279

**Note:** The burden figures shown in the table above are estimates based on the types of surveys that the USPTO may be using during the next three years. At this time, the USPTO cannot predict which and how many surveys will be conducted. Depending on the number of surveys that the USPTO actually conducts, it is possible that the burden hours could decrease from the totals shown in the table.

*Estimated Total Annual Nonhour Respondent Cost Burden:* \$0. (There are no capital start-up or maintenance costs associated with this information collection.)

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the

burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 7, 2002.

**Susan K. Brown,**

*Records Officer, USPTO, Office of Data Management, Data Administration Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-14899 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Information Collection Requirements for Sound Levels of Toy Caps

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

## ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed extension of approval, for a period of three years from the date of approval by the Office of Management and Budget, of information collection requirements in a toy cap rule.

A regulation codified at 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(5) bans toy caps producing peak sound levels at or above 138 decibels (dB). Another regulation codified at 16 CFR 1500.86(a)(6) exempts toy caps producing sound levels between 138 and 158 dB from the banning rule if they bear a specified warning label and if firms intending to distribute such caps: notify the Commission of their intent to distribute such caps; participate in a program to develop toy caps producing sound levels below 138 dB; and report quarterly to the Commission concerning the status of their programs to develop caps with reduced sound levels. The Commission wishes to obtain current

and periodically updated information from all manufacturers concerning the status of programs to reduce sound levels of toy caps. The Commission will use this information to monitor industry efforts to reduce the sound levels of toy caps, and to ascertain which firms are currently manufacturing or importing toy caps with peak sound levels between 138 and 158 db.

The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than August 12, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be captioned "Information Collection Requirements for Sound Levels of Toy Caps" and mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207, or delivered to that office, room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. Written comments may also be sent to the Office of the Secretary by facsimile at (301) 504-0127 or by e-mail at [cpssc-os@cpssc.gov](mailto:cpssc-os@cpssc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about the proposed collection of information call or write Linda L. Glatz, management and program analyst, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; (301) 504-0416, Ext. 2226.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **A. Estimated Burden**

The Commission staff estimates that there are ten firms required to annually submit the required information. The staff further estimates that the average number of hours per respondent is four per year, for a total of 40 hours of annual burden.

##### **B. Request for Comments**

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and

- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: June 7, 2002.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 02-14992 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Office of the Secretary**

#### **Defense Science Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee meeting date change.

**SUMMARY:** On Thursday, May 9, 2002 (67 FR 31282), the Department of Defense announced closed meetings of the Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on Wideband RadioFrequency Systems. One of the meetings advertised has been rescheduled from August 29-30, 2002, to August 28-29, 2002. The meeting will be held at SAIC, 4001 Fairfax Drive, Suite 500, Arlington, VA.

Dated: June 6, 2002.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 02-14850 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-08-M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Office of the Secretary**

#### **Defense Science Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of advisory committee meeting cancellation.

**SUMMARY:** As previously advertised in the **Federal Register** on March 13, 2002 (67 FR 11293), the Defense Science Board Task Force on Discriminant Use of Force meeting scheduled for June 18-19, 2002, is cancelled.

Dated: June 6, 2002.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 02-14851 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

[CFDA Nos. 84.184K, 84.215E, 84.215F, 84.184A, 84.184B]

### **Office of Elementary and Secondary Education—Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities—National Programs**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of closing date extensions and revisions for Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program discretionary grants.

**SUMMARY:** The Assistant Secretary extends or revises the closing date for applications for two grant competitions, announces procedures to extend closing dates for Safe and Drug-free Schools Program discretionary grants if the e-Application system is unavailable, and reaffirms the use of e-Application as the only electronic means of application submission.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202-6123. Telephone (202) 260-3954.

Individuals who use a telecommunication device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format, (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the program contact listed in the preceding paragraph.

**Electronic Access to This Document:** You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: [www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister](http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister).

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 28, 2002, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 15048 through 15050) inviting applications for new awards for the Elementary and Secondary School Counseling Programs grant competition with a deadline of May 13, 2002, for receipt of applications. Conflicting information in the application package may have caused some applicants to misconstrue whether applications had to be received or transmitted by the closing date. We,