on the difference in the variable costs of manufacturing for the foreign like product and subject merchandise, using POR-average costs as adjusted for inflation for each month of the POR, as described above.

Finally, we made an LOT adjustment under section 773(a)(7)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.412, where appropriate.

For CV-to-EP comparisons, we made an adjustment, where appropriate, for differences in credit expenses, in accordance with 773(a)(6)(C)(iii) and 773(a)(8) of the Act.

#### **Currency Conversion**

The Department's preferred source for daily exchange rates is the Federal Reserve Bank. However, the Federal Reserve Bank does not track or publish exchange rates for Turkish Lira. Therefore, we made currency conversions based on exchange rates from the Dow Jones News/Retrieval Service.

## **Preliminary Results of the Review**

We preliminarily determine that the following margins exist for the respondents during the period April 1, 2001, through March 31, 2002:

Manufacturer/Producer/Exporter	Margin Percentage
Colakoglu Metalurji A.S.  Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. ICDAS Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S.	1.75 2.42 0.34

The Department will disclose to parties the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice. Interested parties may request a hearing within 30 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date rebuttal briefs are filed. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309, interested parties may submit cases briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Department will issue the final results of the administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), for Habas and ICDAS, for those sales with a reported entered value, we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those sales.

Regarding all of Colakoglu's sales and certain of ICDAS's sales, for assessment purposes, we do not have the information to calculate entered value because these companies were not the importers of record for the subject merchandise. Accordingly, we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates for the merchandise in question by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were de minimis, in accordance

with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the EPs. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent). The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Further, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of rebar from Turkev entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Act: 1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106, the cash deposit will be zero; 2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; 3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and 4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 16.06 percent, the all others rate established in

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

the LTFV investigation.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 30, 2003.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–11174 Filed 5–5–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

# Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–017. Applicant: University of California, San Diego,

Moores UCSD Cancer Center, 9500 Gilman Drive, MC 0658, La Jolla, CA 92093–0658. Instrument: Laser Capture Microdissection System. Manufacturer: Molecular Machines & Industries AG, Switzerland. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for precise sampling of cancer cells intimately mixed with other tissue elements such as fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, etc. for precise analysis of gene expression patterns in cancer cells relative to their non-neoplastic counterparts. Additionally, the instrument will be used to conduct highly selective sampling of endothelial cells, fibroblasts, epithelial cells and lymphocytes in the vicinity of proliferating tumor cells to investigate the molecular communications between different neoplastic and non-neoplastic cell lineages in the tumor. *Application* accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 3, 2003.

Docket Number: 03–018. Applicant: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, 102 Mason Farm Road, Room 11-119, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7295. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G<sup>2</sup> 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to examine DNA, DNA with proteins bound to it, virus particles and sub-cellular particles. Sample examination will consist of determining the location of protein molecules along the DNA, whether the DNA molecule is folded or compacted when the proteins are bound, and whether the DNA has any unique shape. Virus properties to be examined will include their shape, size, presence of protruding spikes or appendages, and whether they have loose membranes surrounding them. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 9, 2003.

Docket Number: 03–019. Applicant: University of California-Berkeley, School of Optometry, 360 Minor Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720–2020. Instrument: Fiber Optical Coherence Tomography Apparatus. Manufacturer: Institute of Applied Physics RAS, Russia. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used in the following areas of research:

- Viral mediated gene therapy for retinal diseases.
- (2) Significance of corneal cell invasion by bacteria.
- (3) Homeostatic abilities of the retinal pigment epithelium.
- (4) Is refinal processing the key to emmetropization?
- (5) Functional dissection of signaling pathways in the lens.
- (6) Cataractogensis, connexin mutants and gentice modifier(s). *Application*

accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 9, 2003.

Docket Number: 03–020. Applicant: Wayne State University, Chemistry Department, Central Instrumental Facility, 123 Chemistry Building, Detroit, MI 48202. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-2010 FasTEM. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to probe agglomeration of particles and the nature of ligand coat. A variety of synthetic pathways will be undertaken to best optimize the formation of these materials. Selected area electron diffraction will augment these studies to identify the crystalline phase, and energy dispersive X-ray analysis will be used to gain information about the elements that are present in the nanocrystal samples. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 16, 2003.

#### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–11169 Filed 5–5–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Army

## Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy

**AGENCY:** United States Military Academy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy (USMA).

Date: Wednesday, May 14, 2003. Place of Meeting: Veteran Affairs Conference Room, Room 418, Senate Russell Office Building, Washington, DC.

Start Time of Meeting: Approximately 10 a.m.

Proposed Agenda: Organizational Meeting of the Board of Visitors. Receive updates on Academic, Military and Physical Programs, Athletic Program, Admissions at USMA and USMAPS.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Colonel Edward C. Clarke, United States Military Academy, West Point, NY 10996–5000, (845) 938–4200. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** All proceedings are open.

#### Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 03–11148 Filed 5–5–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

## Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education. SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 7, 2003.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this