

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 23, 2007.  
**Steven W. Thompson,**  
*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. E7–23229 Filed 11–29–07; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management  
Agency

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA–B–7744]

Proposed Flood Elevation  
Determinations; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Emergency  
Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the table to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of November 2, 2007. This correction clarifies the table representing the flooding source(s), location of referenced elevation, the effective and modified elevation in feet

and the communities affected for Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and Incorporated Areas; specifically, for flooding sources “Horsepen Creek Tributary B” and “Horsepen Creek Tributary B Tributary,” that was previously published.

**DATES:** Comments to be submitted on or before January 31, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William R. Blanton, Jr., Engineering Management Branch, Mitigation Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–2903.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publishes proposed determinations of Base (1-percent-annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) and modified BFEs for communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed BFEs and modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean

that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed BFEs are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. E7–21595, beginning on page 62182 in the issue of November 2, 2007, make the following corrections, in the table published under the authority of 44 CFR 67.4. On page 62182, in § 67.4, in the table with center heading Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and Incorporated Areas, the flooding source(s), location of referenced elevation, the effective and modified elevation in feet and the communities affected for flooding source “Horsepen Creek Tributary B”, needs to be corrected to read as follows:

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) +Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	
*	*	*	*	*
Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and Incorporated Areas				
*	*	*	*	*
Horsepen Creek Tributary B	Confluence with Horsepen Creek .....	None .....	+642	Unincorporated Areas of Tulsa County.
	Approximately 370 ft upstream of confluence with Horsepen Creek Tributary B Tributary.	None .....	+644	
Horsepen Creek Tributary B Tributary.	Confluence with Horsepen Creek Tributary B .....	None .....	+643	Unincorporated Areas of Tulsa County.
	Approximately 2800 ft upstream of confluence with Horsepen Creek Tributary B.	None .....	+650	
*	*	*	*	*

Dated: November 19, 2007.

**David I. Maurstad,**

*Federal Insurance Administrator of the  
National Flood Insurance Program,  
Department of Homeland Security, Federal  
Emergency Management Agency.*

[FR Doc. E7-23215 Filed 11-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 648

RIN 0648-AU32

#### Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery; Amendment 11 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries  
Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),  
Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of a fishery  
management plan amendment; request  
for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS announces that the  
New England Fishery Management  
Council (Council) has submitted  
Amendment 11 to the Atlantic Sea  
Scallop Fishery Management Plan  
(FMP) (Amendment 11), incorporating  
the Final Supplemental Environmental  
Impact Statement (FSEIS) and the Initial  
Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA),  
for review by the Secretary of  
Commerce. NMFS is requesting  
comments from the public on  
Amendment 11. Amendment 11 was  
developed by the Council to control the  
capacity of the open access general  
category fleet. Amendment 11 would  
establish a new management program  
for the general category fishery,  
including a limited access program with  
individual fishing quotas (IFQs) for  
qualified general category vessels, a  
specific allocation for general category  
fisheries, and other measures to improve  
management of the general category  
scallop fishery.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on  
or before January 29, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** An FSEIS was prepared for  
Amendment 11 that describes the  
proposed action and its alternatives and  
provides a thorough analysis of the  
impacts of proposed measures and their  
alternatives. Copies of Amendment 11,  
including the FSEIS and the IRFA, are

available from Paul J. Howard,  
Executive Director, New England  
Fishery Management Council, 50 Water  
Street, Newburyport, MA 01950. These  
documents are also available online at  
<http://www.nefmc.org>.

You may submit comments, identified  
by 0648-AU32, by any one of the  
following methods:

- **Electronic Submissions:** Submit all  
electronic public comments via the  
Federal eRulemaking Portal [http://  
www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).
- Fax: (978) 281-9135, Attn: Peter  
Christopher.
- Mail: Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional  
Administrator, NMFS, Northeast  
Regional Office, One Blackburn Drive,  
Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside  
of the envelope, "Comments on Scallop  
Amendment 11."

Instructions: All comments received  
are a part of the public record and will  
generally be posted to [http://  
www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) without change.  
All Personal Identifying Information (for  
example, name, address, etc.)  
voluntarily submitted by the commenter  
may be publicly accessible. Do not  
submit Confidential Business  
Information or otherwise sensitive or  
protected information. NMFS will  
accept anonymous comments.  
Attachments to electronic comments  
will be accepted in Microsoft Word,  
Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file  
formats only.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Peter Christopher, Fishery Policy  
Analyst, phone 978-281-9288, fax 978-  
281-9135.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The general category scallop fishery is  
currently an open access fishery that  
allows any vessel to fish for up to 400  
lb (181.44 kg) of scallops, provided the  
vessel has been issued a general  
category or limited access scallop  
permit. This open access fishery was  
established in 1994 by Amendment 4 to  
the FMP to allow vessels fishing in non-  
scallop fisheries to catch scallops as  
incidental catch, and to allow a small-  
scale scallop fishery to continue outside  
of the limited access and effort control  
programs aimed at the large-scale  
scallop fishery. Over time, the overall  
participation in the general category  
fishery has increased. In 1994, there  
were 1,992 general category permits  
issued. By 2005 that number had  
increased to 2,950. In 1994, there were  
181 general category vessels that landed  
scallops, while in 2005 there were over  
600.

Out of concern about the level of  
fishing effort and harvest from the

general category scallop fleet, the  
Council recommended that a **Federal  
Register** notice should be published to  
notify the public that the Council would  
consider limiting entry to the general  
category scallop fishery as of a specified  
control date. NMFS subsequently  
established the control date of  
November 1, 2004 (69 FR 63341,  
November 1, 2004). In January of 2006,  
the Council began the development of  
Amendment 11 to evaluate alternatives  
for a limited access program and other  
measures for general category vessels.  
The Council held 35 meetings open to  
the public on Amendment 11 between  
January 2006 and June 2007. After  
considering a wide range of issues,  
alternatives, and public input, the  
Council adopted a draft supplemental  
environmental impact statement  
(DSEIS) for Amendment 11 on April 11,  
2007. Following the public comment  
period that ended on June 18, 2007, the  
Council adopted Amendment 11 on  
June 20, 2007.

Amendment 11 includes the  
following: A limited access program for  
the general category fishery establishing  
three new limited access general  
category (LAGC) scallop permits (IFQ  
scallop permit, Northern Gulf of Maine  
(NGOM) scallop permit, and Incidental  
scallop permit); initial application  
procedures for an LAGC scallop permit;  
LAGC scallop permit provisions (initial  
eligibility, landings history,  
confirmation of permit history (CPH),  
permit transfers, permit splitting,  
qualification restriction, appeal of LAGC  
scallop permit denial, vessel  
replacements, ownership cap, voluntary  
relinquishment of eligibility, and permit  
renewals and CPH issuance); provisions  
for limited access scallop vessels fishing  
under general category rules; allocation  
of the total annual projected scallop  
catch to the general category fishery  
under the IFQ program; IFQs for IFQ  
scallop vessels; measures for the  
transition period to IFQ; a mechanism to  
allow voluntary sectors in the general  
category fishery; separate management  
measures for a NGOM scallop  
management area; monitoring  
provisions, including a requirement for  
all LAGC scallop vessels to operate  
vessel monitoring systems (VMS) with  
catch reporting requirements; a change  
issuance date of general category permit;  
a measure to clarify the maximum trawl  
sweep size restriction under the scallop  
regulations; and an allowance for LAGC  
scallop vessels to possess up to 100 bu  
(35.24 hL) of in-shell scallops seaward  
of the VMS demarcation line.

Amendment 11 would establish the  
percentage of scallop catch allocated to  
the general category fleet and would