

companies were assigned the same taxpayer identification numbers;

(4) A statement from a Thai bank confirming the change of the company account name from AST to AMT in August 2006;

(5) Company outlines dated before and after the name change that demonstrate no changes in management or facilities between the two points in time;

(6) A notice published by the European Union Commission recognizing the name change from AST to AMT for antidumping-duty purposes; and

(7) Copies of letters AST sent to customers announcing the name change.

In summary, AMT has presented evidence to establish a *prima facie* case of its successorship status. AST's name change to AMT has not changed the operations of the company in a meaningful way. AMT's management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer base are substantially unchanged from those of AST. The record evidence demonstrates that the new entity essentially operates in the same manner as the predecessor company. Consequently, we preliminarily determine that AMT should be assigned the same antidumping-duty treatment as AST, i.e., exclusion from the order. See *Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Thailand*, 57 FR 29702 (July 6, 1992).

Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Written comments may be submitted no later than 14 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results. Rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such comments, may be filed no later than 21 days after the date of publication. The Department will issue the final results of this changed-circumstances review, which will include the results of its analysis raised in any such written comments, no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary results. See 19 CFR 351.216(e).

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-632 Filed 1-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-552-801)

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 14, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2243.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

On August 10, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("Department") issued its preliminary results for the changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order of certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"). See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from Vietnam: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 72 FR 46604 (August 21, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). In it, we stated we would issue the final results within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review was initiated. We subsequently postponed that deadline until December 5, 2008. See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from Vietnam: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 73 FR 60240 (October 10, 2008). However, the Department now finds that it is not practicable to complete this review by December 5, 2008. Subsequent to the *Preliminary Results* and receipt of Vinh Hoan Co., Ltd./Corporation's and Petitioners' (the Catfish Farmers of America and individual U.S. catfish processors) case briefs, the Department requested and received new information from Vinh Hoan. Moreover, Vinh Hoan requested an extension to the time limit for submission of this new information. As a result, additional time is needed to review the information and prepare the results. Consequently, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results until February 18, 2009.

This notice is published in accordance with section 771(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 5, 2008.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Operations.
[FR Doc. E9-623 Filed 1-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-929]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances: Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 14, 2009.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that small diameter graphite electrodes from the People's Republic of China (PRC) are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The final dumping margins for this investigation are listed in the "Final Determination Margins" section below. The period covered by the investigation is July 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007 (the POI).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Magd Zalok or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4162 and 482-4406, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published its preliminary determination of sales at LTFV on August 21, 2008. See *Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part*, 73 FR 49408 (August 21, 2008) (*Preliminary Determination*). On August 25, 2008, the Department received ministerial error allegations from petitioners¹ and one

¹ The petitioners in this investigation are SGL Carbon LLC and Superior Graphite Co.