ONE Gas pursuant to a corporate reorganization. ONEOK's natural gas distribution operating divisions to be transferred are Oklahoma Natural Gas Company, Texas Gas Service, and Kansas Gas Service. In the same document, ONEOK and ONE Gas jointly petition in Docket No. RP13–1362–000, pursuant to section 385.207(a)(5) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, for the grant of temporary waiver of the Commission's capacity release rules.

The authorizations requested by the applicants include: (1) Authorization pursuant to Section 7(b) of the NGA for ONEOK to abandon by transfer: (a) its Part 284 authority for interruptible interstate service; (b) its Section 7(c) limited jurisdiction certificate to transport natural gas on a no-fee basis; and (c) its Section 7(f) service area determination; and contemporaneously with such abandonment, (2) the necessary authorizations for ONE Gas to perform the same services as ONEOK's successor in interest, including: (a) Part 284 authority for interruptible interstate service; (b) a limited jurisdiction certificate pursuant to Section 7(c) of the NGA for ONE Gas to transport natural gas pursuant to a No-Fee Gas Exchange Agreement; (c) a limited service area determination pursuant to Section 7(f) of the NGA.

In addition, ONE Gas requests: (1) Commission determination that the limited jurisdiction certificate will not affect the non-jurisdictional status of the remainder of the natural gas distribution facilities it shall receive from ONEOK pursuant to the reorganization; and (2) Commission waiver of the requirements of part 154 of the Commission's regulations for as long as no fee is charged in connection with the No-Fee Exchange Agreement. Applicants also petition for a temporary waiver of the Commission's capacity release rules in order to permit the release of pipeline transportation service agreements from ONEOK to ONE Gas as necessary to implement orderly corporate restructuring and reorganization. Applicants request that the Commission consider the application and petition together and grant the authorizations, effective upon the closing of the transaction between ONEOK and ONE

Gas, in an order issued by November 21, 2013

Any questions regarding the joint application should be directed to Vicky C. Benedict, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, 100 West Fifth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103, by phone at (918) 588–7949 or by email at Vicky.Benedict@oneok.com; or Lawrence G. Acker, Counsel for ONEOK, Inc. and ONE Gas, Inc., Van Ness Feldman, LLP, 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street NW., 7th Floor, Washington, DC 20007, by phone at (202) 298–1915, or by email at *lga@vnf.com*.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit an original and 7 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http:// www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 7 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. This filing is accessible on-line at http:// www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Comment Date: October 16, 2013.

Dated: October 1, 2013.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-24395 Filed 10-7-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No PF13-7-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC; Notice of Additional Public Scoping Meetings for the Planned East Side Expansion Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

The staff of the Federal Energy
Regulatory Commission (FERC or
Commission) will hold additional
public scoping meetings in Chester
County, Pennsylvania and Gloucester
County, New Jersey to receive
comments on the alternative pipeline
routes under consideration for the Line
1278 Loop and Line 10345 Loop of the
Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC's
(Columbia) planned East Side
Expansion Project (Project). The dates,
times, and locations of the meetings are
detailed in the table below.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION PUBLIC SCOPING MEETINGS EAST SIDE EXPANSION PROJECT [FERC Docket No. PF13-7-000]

^{*} Columbia representatives will be available starting at 6:30 to answer questions interested parties may have about the project.

On March 8, 2013 the Commission's staff began a pre-filing environmental review of Columbia's East Side Expansion Project. On June 6, 2013 the Commission issued a Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment, Request for Comments on Environmental Issues, and Notice of Public Scoping Meetings. Scoping meetings were held on June 18, 2013 in Chester County, Pennsylvania and on June 19, 2013 in Gloucester County, New Jersey. The pre-filing environmental review is ongoing and to date, Columbia has completed surveys of potentially affected lands and has prepared information required for the Commission's environmental review of the project. Based on its review of the project, comments provided by staff, and public concerns expressed about the project, Columbia is considering numerous project alternatives including pipeline route variations in Pennsylvania and an alternative pipeline route in New Jersey. Implementing an alternative could affect the environment, affect new landowners, and/or affect landowners differently than previously expected. To ensure that the public has an opportunity to comment on the alternatives being considered, staff has determined that additional public scoping meetings are necessary.

You have been identified as a landowner or an interested party that may be affected by the alternatives being considered. Information in this notice was prepared to familiarize you with Columbia's project, the Commission's environmental review process, how you can comment on the project, and stay informed about project developments.

In addition to commenting at a public scoping meeting, you may submit comments in writing. Further details on how to submit written comments are provided in the Public Participation section of this notice. Ultimately, your comments will help the Commission's staff with its environmental review of the project. The Commission will consider all comments submitted on or before October 31, 2013.

This notice is being sent to the Commission's current environmental mailing list for this project. State and local government representatives should notify their constituents and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

If you are a landowner receiving this notice, a Columbia representative may have already contacted you or may contact you in the near future about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the planned facilities or request permission to

perform environmental surveys on your property. Some landowners may not be contacted if the alternative across their property is found to be either not feasible or not environmentally preferable to other alternatives being considered. If the Commission approves the project, that approval conveys with it the right of eminent domain. Therefore, if easement negotiations fail to produce an agreement, the pipeline company could initiate condemnation proceedings where compensation would be determined in accordance with state law.

Summary of the Planned Project

Columbia plans to expand and improve its existing natural gas transmission pipeline system to increase operational flexibility and efficiency, provide bi-directional flow capabilities, and an additional 310,000 dekatherms per day of natural gas service to mid-Atlantic markets. Specifically, Columbia plans to construct, modify, install or abandon and replace, and operate certain natural gas transmission pipeline facilities. The general location of the project facilities is shown in Appendix 1.1 This notice addresses alternative pipeline routes for two of the proposed facilities: the Line 1278 Loop in Chester County, Pennsylvania and the Line 10345 Loop in Gloucester County, New Jersey.

The Line 1278 Loop would involve constructing and operating approximately 8.9 miles of 26-inch-diameter natural gas transmission pipeline, generally running parallel to the existing Line 1278 pipeline in Chester County, Pennsylvania.

The Line 10345 Loop would involve constructing and operating approximately 7.4 miles of 20-inch-diameter natural gas transmission pipeline, generally running parallel to the existing 10345 pipeline in Gloucester County, New Jersey.

Project Alternatives

The following alternatives are being considered by Columbia. Staff is also considering these alternatives in its prefiling environmental review of Columbia's project. Illustrations of these alternatives are provided in Appendix 1A.

• Blakely Road-Rock Raymond Alternatives for the Line 1278 Loop: These alternatives (5C and 5D) are variations of the Route 10A Alternative considered in the Sparrows Point LNG Terminal and Pipeline Project Final Environmental Impact Statement (CP07–63–000).

Alternative 5C would depart from the planned Line 1278 pipeline route in a wooded area behind the residences at the end of Helm Way, progressing southeast through a wooded area approximately 0.2 mile to the back side of the residential lots at the end of Blakely Road, then turning south for approximately 0.2 mile. The route would then turn westward passsing behind two residential subdivisions for approximately 0.6 mile, and then rejoin the Line 1278 pipeline route.

Alternative 5D would follow the planned route 0.1 mile further south than Alternative 5C before deviating southeastward to the property lines behind the residences at the end of Blakely Road. Alternative 5D would then follow a route similar to Alternative 5C until deviating to the southeast to Highway 282, then parallel the west side of Highway 282. This route would cross Highway 30 parallel to Highway 282. The route would then turn west paralleling Highway 30 to Rock Raymond Road where it would turn south to rejoin the Line 1278 pipeline route.

• Lloyd Avenue Alternative for the Line 1278 Loop: Beginning at the intersection of Rock Raymond Road and Highway 30, this route alternative would follow Rock Raymond Road, use a horizontal directional drill to cross lands east and west of Lloyd Avenue, and then follow Veteran's Drive before rejoining the Line 1278 pipeline route.

 Oldman's Creek Road Alternative for the Line 10345 Loop: This alternative would follow the Center Square Road route until the intersection of Center Square Road and Pedricktown Road. At this point, the alternative route would parallel the north side of Pedricktown Road in a southwesterly direction for about 0.7 mile to its intersection with Highway 602 (variously called Harrisonville Road, Pedricktown-Harrisonville Road, and Oldmans Creek Road). At this intersection, the route would generally parallel Highway 602 for about 3.5 miles where it would turn cross country through farmland for about 2.0 miles, where it would turn to parallel the north side of the New Jersey Turnpike. The Oldmans Creek Road route would then parallel the New Jersey Turnpike for the last 1.5 miles to its terminus at the Swedesboro Station.

• High Hill Road Alternative for the Line 10345 Loop: This alternative would follow the Center Square Road route

¹The appendices referenced in this notice will not appear in the Federal Register. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail and are available at www.ferc.gov using the link called "eLibrary" or from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 502–8371. For instructions on connecting to eLibrary, refer to the last page of this notice.

until the intersection of Center Square Road and Pedricktown Road. At this point, the alternative route would turn northwest and parallel Pedricktown Road approximately 0.7 mile to its intersection with High Hill Road. The alternative would then parallel High Hill Road in a southeasterly direction for approximately 3.4 miles to its intersection with Auburn Avenue where it would turn southwest, paralleling Auburn Avenue for 0.5 mile before rejoining the Center Square Road route.

In its application, Columbia will propose a preferred pipeline route. The preferred route and alternatives considered will be reviewed by the Commission's staff. As described in the following section, the findings of the staff's review will be reported in an Environmental Assessment (EA) which will be issued for public review.

The EA Process

NEPA requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us 2 to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. This process is referred to as scoping. The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this notice, the Commission requests public comments on the alternative pipeline routes currently under consideration. We will consider all filed comments including any additional alternatives that are suggested during the preparation of the EA.

Although no formal application has been filed, we have already initiated our NEPA review under the Commission's pre-filing process. The purpose of the pre-filing process is to encourage early involvement of interested stakeholders and to identify and resolve issues before the FERC receives an application. As part of our pre-filing review, we have contacted federal and state agencies to discuss their involvement in the scoping process and the preparation of the EA.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be presented in the EA. The EA will be placed in the public record, published and distributed to the public for comments. We will consider all comments on the EA before we make our recommendations to the Commission. To ensure your comments are considered, please carefully follow

the instructions in the Public Participation section of this notice.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by providing us with your specific comments or concerns about the project. Your comments should focus on the potential environmental effects, reasonable alternatives, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impacts. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. To ensure that your comments are timely and properly recorded, please send your comments so that the Commission receives them in Washington, DC on or before October 31, 2013.

For your convenience, there are three methods you can use to submit your comments to the Commission. In all instances, please reference the project docket number (PF13–7–000) with your submission. The Commission encourages electronic filing of comments and has expert staff available to assist you at (202) 502–8258 or efiling@ferc.gov.

(1) You can file your comments electronically using the *eComment* feature located on the Commission's Web site (*www.ferc.gov*) under the link to *Documents and Filings*. This is an easy method for interested persons to submit brief, text-only comments on a project;

(2) You can file your comments electronically using the *eFiling* feature located on the Commission's Web site (*www.ferc.gov*) under the link to *Documents and Filings*. With eFiling, you can provide comments in a variety of formats by attaching them as a file with your submission. New eFiling users must first create an account by clicking on "*eRegister*." You must select the type of filing you are making. If you are filing a comment on a particular project, please select "Comment on a Filing"; or

Filing"; or
(3) You can file a paper copy of your comments by mailing them to the following address: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426.

Environmental Mailing List

The environmental mailing list includes federal, state, and local government representatives and agencies; elected officials; environmental and public interest groups; Native American Tribes; other interested parties; and local libraries and newspapers. This list also includes all affected landowners (as defined in the Commission's regulations) who are potential right-of-way grantors, whose

property may be used temporarily for project purposes, or who own homes within certain distances of aboveground facilities, and anyone who submits comments on the project. We will update the environmental mailing list as the analysis proceeds to ensure that we send the information related to this environmental review to all individuals, organizations, and government entities interested in and/or potentially affected by the planned project.

Copies of the completed EA will be sent to the environmental mailing list for public review and comment. If you would prefer to receive a paper copy of the document instead of the CD version or would like to remove your name from the mailing list, please return the attached Information Request (Appendix 2).

Becoming an Intervenor

Once Columbia files its application with the Commission, you may want to become an "intervenor" which is an official party to the Commission's proceeding. Intervenors play a more formal role in the process and are able to file briefs, appear at hearings, and be heard by the courts if they choose to appeal the Commission's final ruling. An intervenor formally participates in the proceeding by filing a request to intervene. Instructions for becoming an intervenor are in the User's Guide under the "e-filing" link on the Commission's Web site. Please note that the Commission will not accept requests for intervenor status at this time. You must wait until the Commission receives a formal application for the project.

Additional Information

Additional information about the project is available from the Commission's Office of External Affairs, at (866) 208-FERC, or on the FERC Web site (www.ferc.gov) using the eLibrary link. Click on the eLibrary link, click on "General Search" and enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits in the Docket Number field (i.e., PF13-7). Be sure you have selected an appropriate date range. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FercOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The eLibrary link also provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the Commission, such as orders, notices, and rulemakings.

In addition, the Commission offers a free service called eSubscription which allows you to keep track of all formal issuances and submittals in specific dockets. This can reduce the amount of time you spend researching proceedings

² "We," "us," and "our" refer to the environmental staff of the Commission's Office of Energy Projects.

by automatically providing you with notification of these filings, document summaries, and direct links to the documents. Go to www.ferc.gov/esubscribenow.htm.

Finally, public meetings or site visits will be posted on the Commission's calendar located at www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx along with other related information.

Dated: October 1, 2013.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-24393 Filed 10-7-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 14550-000]

New England Hydropower Company, LLC; Notice of Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications

On August 20, 2013, the New England Hydropower Company, LLC filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act (FPA), proposing to study the feasibility of the Hanover Pond Dam Project (proposed project) to be located on Quinnipiac River, near the city of Meriden, in New Haven County, Connecticut. The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land-disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed project would consist of the following: (1) An existing 25-foothigh, 430-foot-long earth embankment dam with four low-level, sluice gates and a 242-foot-long concrete spillway; (2) the existing 67.6-acre Hanover Pond with a storage capacity of 1,800 acre-feet at a normal operating elevation of about 87.9 feet above mean sea level; (3) an existing 175-foot-long, 4.0-foot-wide fish ladder; (4) a new 6-foot-high, 10.65-footwide hydraulically-powered sluice gate equipped with a new 14-foot-high, 12foot-wide trashrack with 6-inch bar spacing; (5) a new 115-foot-long, 12foot-diameter buried precast concrete penstock; (6) a new 50.7-foot-long, 10.65-foot wide Archimedes screw generator unit, with an installed

capacity of 165 kilowatts; (7) a new 10foot-high, 15-foot-long, 15.5-foot-wide concrete powerhouse containing a new gearbox, generator, and electrical controls; (8) a new 55-foot-long, 13.5foot-wide tailrace; (9) a new 530-footlong, 35-kilovolt above ground transmission line connecting the powerhouse to Connecticut Light and Power's distribution system; and (10) appurtenant facilities. The estimated annual generation of the proposed Hanover Pond Dam Project would be about 749 megawatt-hours. The existing Hanover Pond Dam, fish ladder, and property on both sides of the river are owned by the city of Meriden.

Applicant Contact: Mr. Michael C. Kerr, New England Hydropower Company, LLC, P.O. Box 5524, Beverly Farms, Massachusetts 01915; phone: (978) 360–2547.

FERC Contact: John Ramer; phone: (202) 502–8969.

Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications: 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Competing applications and notices of intent must meet the requirements of 18 CFR 4.36. Comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ efiling.asp. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at http:// www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ ecomment.asp. You must include vour name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, (202) 502-8659. Although the Commission strongly encourages electronic filing, documents may also be paper-filed. To paper-file, mail an original and five copies to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

More information about this project, including a copy of the application, can be viewed or printed on the "eLibrary" link of Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp. Enter the docket number (P–14550) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Dated: September 30, 2013.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-24396 Filed 10-7-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CD13-4-000]

San Juan County Historical Society; Notice of Preliminary Determination of A Qualifying Conduit Hydropower Facility and Soliciting Comments and Motions To Intervene

On September 20, 2013, San Juan County Historical Society filed a notice of intent to construct a qualifying conduit hydropower facility, pursuant to section 30 of the Federal Power Act, as amended by section 4 of the Hydropower Regulatory Efficiency Act of 2013 (HREA). The 11 kW Silverton Mayflower Mill Hydro Project would utilize excess flow from the six-inch-diameter Arrastra Gulch Pipeline, which serves the Mayflower Mill treatment plant, located in San Juan County, Colorado.

Applicant Contact: Beverly Rich, San Juan County Historical Society, P.O. Box 154, Silverton, CO 81433, Phone No. (970) 387–5488.

FERC Contact: Robert Bell, Phone No. (202) 502–6062, email: robert.bell@ferc.gov.

Qualifying Conduit Hydropower Facility Description: The proposed project would consist of: (1) A new "y" valve into the existing 3,850-foot-long, six-inch-diameter raw water Arrastra Gulch Pipeline just below the existing valve house; (2) a new 50-foot-long, sixinch diameter intake pipeline; (3) an existing powerhouse building, containing one new 11-kilowatt generating unit; (4) a new 50-foot-long, eight-inch diameter discharge pipeline leading to an existing 300-foot-long, sixinch diameter discharge pipeline that returns water to the Animas River; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The proposed project would have an estimated annual generating capacity of 80 megawatt-

A qualifying conduit hydropower facility is one that is determined or deemed to meet all of the criteria shown in the table below.