■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T13–164 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T13–164 Safety Zone; Interstate 5 Bridge Repairs, Columbia River, Portland, OR.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Columbia River within the area created by connecting the following four piers of the Interstate 5 Bridge: East Pier 3 across the wide span channel to East Pier 5 then downstream under the bridge to West Pier 5, across the wide span channel to West Pier 3, then back upstream under the bridge to East Pier 3. The piers are numbered from the North bank to the South bank. Geographically this location is a rectangle enclosing the wide span channel of the Interstate 5 Bridge starting at the draw span reaching across to the first pier of the high span and then back to the draw span.

(b) *Regulations*. In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR Part 165, Subpart C, no person may enter or remain in the safety zone created in this section or bring, cause to be brought, or allow to remain in the safety zone created in this section any vehicle, vessel, or object unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative. Designated representatives are Coast Guard personnel authorized by the Captain of the Port to grant persons or vessels permission to enter or remain in the safety zone created by this section. See 33 CFR Part 165, Subpart C, for additional information and requirements.

(c) *Enforcement Period*. The safety zone created by this section will be enforced from 6 a.m. through 5 p.m. on October 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, and 13, 2010.

Dated: September 20, 2010.

# D.E. Kaup,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Columbia River.

[FR Doc. 2010–24878 Filed 10–4–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

# DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

## 38 CFR Part 3

#### RIN 2900-AN24

# Presumptions of Service Connection for Persian Gulf Service; Correction

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) published in the **Federal Register** of September 29, 2010, a document amending its adjudication regulations concerning presumptive service connection for certain diseases. In the regulatory text of that document, VA inadvertently omitted a comma following the word "etiology" in the first sentence of § 3.317(a)(2)(ii). This document corrects that omission.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This correction is effective October 5, 2010.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William F. Russo, Director of Regulations Management (02REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, or call (202) 273–9515 (not a tollfree number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 29, 2010, VA published in the Federal Register (75 FR 59968), an amendment to 38 CFR 3.317 to implement a decision of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs that there is a positive association between service in Southwest Asia during certain periods and the subsequent development of certain infectious diseases. In the first sentence of § 3.317(a)(2)(ii), we inadvertently omitted a comma following the word "etiology." This correction document adds the comma immediately following the word "etiology" in that sentence.

#### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Radioactive materials, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: September 30, 2010.

#### William F. Russo,

Director, Regulations Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.

■ For the reason set out in the preamble, VA is correcting 38 CFR part 3 as follows:

## PART 3—ADJUDICATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 3, subpart A continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

#### §3.317 [Corrected]

■ 2. In § 3.317, paragraph (a)(2)(ii), first sentence, add a comma immediately after the word "etiology."

[FR Doc. 2010–24898 Filed 10–4–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 261

[EPA-R06-RCRA-2008-0418; SW-FRL-9209-8]

#### Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Correcting amendments.

**SUMMARY:** On July 31, 2009, EPA published a direct final action granting a petition submitted by WRB Refining, LLC Company to exclude (or delist) the thermal desorber residual solids with Hazardous Waste Numbers: F037, F038, K048, K049, K050, and K051. In the July 31, 2009 rule, EPA inadvertently recorded the arsenic delisting level as 0.0129 mg/l. The arsenic delisting limit should be 1.29 mg/l. We are making this correction in this document.

**DATES:** This action is effective October 5, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Peace (214) 665–7430, or email her at *peace.michelle@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA published an approval for 5,000 cubic yards of thermal desorber residual solids. The arsenic delisting exclusion limit in the direct final rule is incorrect. Therefore, in this correction notice we are correcting the arsenic value limit and correcting it in Table 1 of appendix IX to part 261—Waste Excluded Under §§ 260.20 and 260.22. Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedures are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. We have determined that there is such good cause for making today's rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because we are merely correcting the error which was included in a previous action. Thus, notice and public procedure are unnecessary.