

Engine-driven pump P/N	Compliance	Procedures
(i) Lear Romec P/N RG9570M1 (Pilatus P/N 968.84.51.105) or Lear Romec P/N RG9570R1 (Pilatus P/N 968.84.51.106).	Within the next 20 hours time-in-service (TIS) after February 28, 2002 (the effective date of AD 2002-01-09) or within the next 30 days after February 28, 2002 (the effective date of AD 2002-01-09), whichever occurs first, unless already done.	Follow Pilatus PC-7 Service Bulletin No. 28-006 or Pilatus PC-12 Service Bulletin No. 28-009, both dated August 10, 2001, as applicable.
(ii) Lear Romec P/N RG9570M (Pilatus P/N 968.84.51.103).	Within 20 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD or within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, unless already done.	Follow Pilatus PC-7 Service Bulletin No. 28-008, Revision 1, dated September 24, 2003.

(2) *Replacement/Modification*: Replace the engine-driven pump with one of the following prior to further flight after the inspection in paragraph (e)(1) of this AD if

you find signs of fuel leakage or extruding gasket material or within 6 months after the effective date of this AD if you do not find signs of fuel leakage or extruding gasket

material, whichever occurs first, unless already done:

Models	Pump replacement P/N	Procedures
(i) PC-7 .....	Lear Romec P/N RG9570M1/M (Pilatus P/N 968.84.51.107).	Pilatus PC-7 Service Bulletin No. 28-007, Revision No. 1, dated October 1, 2002.
(ii) PC-12 and PC-12/45 .....	Lear Romec P/N RG9570R1/M (Pilatus P/N 968.84.51.108).	Pilatus PC-12 Service Bulletin No. 28-010, dated September 16, 2002.

(3) *Relief Valve Attachment Screw Torque*: Prior to further flight after the inspection (if you find no fuel leakage or extruding gasket material) and replacement required by this AD, ensure that the relief valve attachment screws are adequately torqued and re-torque as necessary using the following:

(i) *For Pilatus Model PC-7 Airplanes*: Pilatus PC-7 Service Bulletin No. 28-006, dated August 10, 2001, or Pilatus PC-7 Service Bulletin No. 28-008, Revision 1, dated September 24, 2002.

(ii) *For Pilatus Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 Airplanes*: Pilatus PC-12 Service Bulletin No. 28-009, both dated August 10, 2001.

(4) *Spares*: As of the effective date of this AD, only install an engine-driven pump that is of a part number referenced in paragraphs (e)(2)(i) and (e)(2)(ii) of this AD. Prior to further flight after installation, do the relief valve attachment screw torque check as required by paragraph (e)(3) of this AD.

(5) *Unless Already Done Credit*: This AD retains actions from AD 2002-01-09.

(i) You may take inspection credit if you have one of the engine-driven pumps installed affected by AD 2002-01-09 and the specific actions are already done.

(ii) The actions of this AD do not apply if you have one of the engine-driven pumps installed that is referenced in paragraphs (e)(2)(i) and (e)(2)(ii) of this AD.

#### May I Request an Alternative Method of Compliance?

(f) You may request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD by following the procedures in 14 CFR 39.13. Send your request to the Manager, Standards Office, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA. For information on any already approved alternative methods of compliance, contact Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

#### May I Get Copies of the Documents Referenced in This AD?

(g) You may get copies of the documents referenced in this AD from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Customer Liaison Manager, CH-6371 Stans, Switzerland; telephone: +41 41 619 63 19; facsimile: +41 41 619 6224; or from Pilatus Business Aircraft Ltd., Product Support Department, 11755 Airport Way, Broomfield, Colorado 80021; telephone: (303) 465-9099; facsimile: (303) 465-6040. You may view these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

#### Is There Other Information That Relates to This Subject?

(h) FOCA (Switzerland) AD HB 2003-392, dated September 15, 2003; and FOCA (Switzerland) AD HB 2003-251, dated June 16, 2003, also address the subject of this AD.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 26, 2003.

**Michael Gallagher,**

*Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-30256 Filed 12-4-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

#### NATIONAL CRIME PREVENTION AND PRIVACY COMPACT COUNCIL

##### 28 CFR Part 901

##### [NCPPC 105]

#### Proposed Amendments to the Fingerprint Submission Requirements Rule

**AGENCY:** National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Council.

**ACTION:** Proposed amendments to the rule, request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Compact Council, established pursuant to the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact (Compact), is amending its Fingerprint Submission Requirements Rule which interprets the Compact's fingerprint-submission requirements as they relate to the use of the Interstate Identification Index (III) System for noncriminal justice record checks during an emergency situation when the health and safety of a specified group may be endangered. In addition, pursuant to the rule, the Compact Council approved an amended proposal from a State requesting the delayed submission of fingerprints when conducting criminal history records checks in connection with the temporary placement of children with temporary custodians during exigent circumstances.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before January 5, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Send all written comments concerning the proposed amendments to the Compact Council Office, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Module C3, Clarksburg, WV 26306; Attention: Todd C. Commodore. Comments may also be submitted by fax at (304) 625-5388 or by electronic mail at [tcommodo@leo.gov](mailto:tcommodo@leo.gov). To ensure proper handling, please reference "Amended Fingerprint Submission Requirements" on your correspondence.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lt. Col. Jeffrey D. Harmon, Compact Council Chairman, Maine State Police, 36 Hospital Street, Augusta, Maine

04333-0042, telephone number (207) 624-7060.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact (Compact), 42 U.S.C. 14616, establishes uniform standards and processes for the interstate and Federal-State exchange of criminal history records for noncriminal justice purposes. The Compact eliminates barriers to the sharing of criminal history record information among the compact parties for noncriminal justice purposes. Article VI of the Compact provides for a Compact Council that has the authority to promulgate rules and procedures governing the use of the III System for noncriminal justice purposes.

The Compact requires that subject's fingerprints or other approved forms of positive identification "shall be submitted with all requests for criminal history record checks for noncriminal justice purposes." See 42 U.S.C. 14616, Article V(a). The Compact Council recognizes the extreme reliability of fingerprint-based identifications and believes that the above quoted provision requires that, whenever feasible, fingerprints should be submitted contemporaneously with search requests. In promulgating the Fingerprint Submission Requirements Rule (28 CFR Part 901), however, the Council acknowledged that there are exigent circumstances in which time is a critical factor in decision making and in which the immediate fingerprinting of the subject is not feasible. In such emergency circumstances, the Council interpreted the Compact to permit preliminary name searches of the III System for noncriminal justice purposes, provided that fingerprints are obtained and submitted within a time frame specified by the Council. This procedure allows access to criminal history record information in a timely manner in exigent circumstances with follow-up positive identification assured by fingerprint submissions.

Part 901 authorizes state criminal history record repositories and the FBI, upon approval by the Compact Council, to grant access to the III System in emergency situations on a delayed fingerprint submission basis, predicated upon a statute approved by the U.S. Attorney General pursuant to Public Law 92-544 and Article III (c) of the Compact. Access authorized by part 901 must adhere to both the Criminal Justice Information Services Security Policy and applicable state security policies.

Subsequent to the publication of Part 901, states that were authorized to conduct the name-based checks

articulated varying interpretations of the fingerprint submission time frame. In order to eliminate these disparate interpretations, the Compact Council is amending the rule to define the term "time frame" by adding a sentence at the end of Subsection 901.3(b).

Section 901.3 is also amended by adding paragraph (d) to clarify that part 901 is also applicable to Federal agencies authorized to access criminal history records pursuant to Federal statute or Executive Order for noncriminal justice purposes. The original rule identified only state agencies, and per the Compact's definition of "state", an amendment to the rule is necessary. See 42 U.S.C. 14616, Article I(22).

Recently, the FBI CJIS Division expanded its Audit Unit programs to include reviews of noncriminal justice agencies with direct access to the III System for emergency placement of children. Though the Background section of the original **Federal Register** publication establishing part 901 included verbiage addressing audits and sanctions, no such language was included in the Rule. The Compact Council has now approved the addition of language in the rule addressing audits and sanctions in order to reduce the potential for misinterpretation. Section 901.4 identifies the State Compact Officer or, in nonparty states, the Chief Administrator of the Criminal History Record Repository, as the responsible party to ensure that audits are conducted of approved state agencies, and the responsible Federal Service Coordinator will ensure that similar audits are conducted of authorized Federal agencies. The audits will verify adherence to the provisions of part 901 and the FBI Criminal Justice Information Services Security Policy. Section 901.4 also includes the details of records an authorized agency must maintain related to this III access under part 901 and requires that mechanisms to calculate the specified time frame for fingerprint submissions be included in the audit and compliance reviews.

Sanctions for noncompliance as related to the audit methodology spelled out in section 901.4 are addressed in section 901.5. This section states that the Compact Council, or the FBI in consultation with the Compact Council, may impose sanctions according to rules, procedures, or standards established by the Council. Approved access to criminal history record information systems is subject to cancellation for violation of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Act or for failure to comply with part 901 or the FBI Criminal Justice

Information Services Security Policy. The state's Compact Officer, the Chief Administrator of the Criminal History Record Repository in a nonparty state, or the Federal Service Coordinator may take similar actions, as applicable, against a State or Federal agency for failure to comply with applicable security policies.

#### **Administrative Procedures and Executive Orders**

##### *Administrative Procedures Act*

This rule is published by the Compact Council as authorized by the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact (Compact), an interstate/Federal compact which was approved and enacted into law by Congress pursuant to Pub. L. 105-251. The Compact Council is composed of 15 members (with 11 state and local governmental representatives), and is authorized by the Compact to promulgate rules and procedures for the effective and proper use of the Interstate Identification Index (III) System for noncriminal justice purposes. The Compact specifically provides that the Council shall prescribe rules and procedures for the effective and proper use of the III System for noncriminal justice purposes, and mandates that such rules, procedures, or standards established by the Council shall be published in the **Federal Register**. See 42 U.S.C. 14616, Articles II(4), VI(a)(1), and VI(e). This publication complies with those requirements.

##### *Executive Order 12866*

The Compact Council is not an executive department or independent regulatory agency as defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502; accordingly, Executive Order 12866 is not applicable.

##### *Executive Order 13132*

The Compact Council is not an executive department or independent regulatory agency as defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502; accordingly, Executive Order 13132 is not applicable. Nonetheless, this rule fully complies with the intent that the national government should be deferential to the States when taking action that affects the policymaking discretion of the States.

##### *Executive Order 12988*

The Compact Council is not an executive agency or independent establishment as defined in 5 U.S.C. 105; accordingly, Executive Order 12988 is not applicable.

*Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Approximately 75 percent of the Compact Council members are representatives of state and local governments; accordingly, rules prescribed by the Compact Council are not Federal mandates. Accordingly, no actions are deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

*Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996*

The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (Title 5, U.S.C. 801–804) is not applicable to the Council's rule because the Compact Council is not a "Federal agency" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(1). Likewise, the reporting requirement of the Congressional Review Act (Subtitle E of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act) does not apply. See 5 U.S.C. 804.

**List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 901**

Crime, Health, Privacy, Safety.

Accordingly, the Compact Council proposes to amend part 901 as follows:

**PART 901—FINGERPRINT SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

1. The authority citation for part 901 continues to read as follows;

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 14616.

2. Amend § 901.3 as follows;

a. By redesignating paragraph (c) as paragraph (d);

b. By designating the text following paragraph (b) as new paragraph (c) and adding a sentence to the end of the paragraph;

c. By adding a new paragraph (e).

The added text reads as follows:

**§ 901.3 Approval of delayed fingerprint submission request.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \* For the purposes of this rule, "time frame" means the number of days that elapse between the date on which the name search was conducted and the date on which the state repository either positively identifies the fingerprint subject or forwards the fingerprints to the FBI or the date a Federal agency forwards the fingerprints to the FBI.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) Part 901 is also applicable to any federal agency authorized to access criminal history records pursuant to Federal statute or Executive Order for noncriminal justice purposes.

3. Amend part 901 by adding §§ 901.4 and 901.5 to read as follows:

**§ 901.4 Audits.**

(a) Audits of authorized State agencies that access the III System shall be conducted by the State's Compact Officer or, in absence of a Compact Officer, the chief administrator for the criminal history record repository. The responsible Federal service coordinator shall ensure that similar audits are conducted of authorized Federal agencies. Such audits shall be conducted to verify adherence to the provisions of part 901 and the FBI's Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Security Policy.

(b) Authorized agencies shall cause to be collected an appropriate record of each instance of III System access through a manual or electronic log. The log shall be maintained for a minimum one-year period to facilitate the audits and compliance reviews. Such records shall be maintained in accordance with the CJIS Security Policy. (For information on this security policy, contact your State Control Terminal Officer or Federal Service Coordinator.)

(c) The audit and compliance reviews must include mechanisms to determine whether fingerprints were submitted within the time frame specified by the Compact Council.

(d) In addition to the audits as stated above, the FBI CJIS Audit staff shall also conduct routine systematic compliance reviews of State repositories, Federal agencies, and as necessary other authorized III System user agencies.

**§ 901.5 Sanction for noncompliance.**

The Compact Council, or the FBI in consultation with the Compact Council, may impose sanctions in accordance with rules, procedures, or standards as established by the Council. The approval for access to criminal history record information systems for noncriminal justice purposes is subject to cancellation or discontinuance for violation of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Act, failure to comply with the provisions of part 901, or failure to comply with the FBI Criminal Justice Information Services Security Policy (See § 901.4(b)). The State's Compact Officer, the chief administrator of the criminal history record repository or the Federal Service Coordinator may take similar actions, as applicable, against a State or Federal agency for failure to comply with applicable security policies.

Dated: November 5, 2003.

**Jeffrey D. Harmon,**  
*Compact Council Chairman.*

[FR Doc. 03–29567 Filed 12–4–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–02–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[DE072 1042b; FRL–7593–4]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware; MOBILE6-based Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets for the Delaware Portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton Ozone Nonattainment Area**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Delaware State Implementation Plan (SIP). Specifically, EPA is proposing approving amendments to the 2005 highway (on road) motor vehicle emission inventory for the Delaware portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton area's (the Philadelphia area) 1-hour ozone attainment plan as a revision to the Delaware SIP. This revision also serves to amend the 2005 motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) used for determining transportation conformity under the Clean Air Act. The revised MVEBs were developed using MOBILE6, the most recent version of EPA's mobile source emission factor model. Revision of the MVEBs was a requirement of EPA's prior approval of Delaware's 1-hour ozone attainment demonstration plan for the Philadelphia severe ozone nonattainment area. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving Delaware's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by January 5, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be mailed to Robert Kramer, Chief, Energy, Radiation and Indoor Environment Branch, Mailcode 3AP23,