

comments on any aspect of this notice is welcomed from all interested public, private, and academic entities. While all feedback is welcomed, the DOT is particularly interested in feedback on the following questions. Respondents may respond, to some, all, or none of these specific questions.

1. Based on the nature of the performance measurement demonstration(s), DOT believes that a multimodal cooperative effort involving both private sector and public sector organizations will be required. The DOT currently envisions awarding one or more contracts to private sector organization(s) as the lead organization(s) in partnership with public transportation agencies and other entities appropriate to develop and conduct the demonstration(s). An alternative would be to conduct a competitive grant program and award one or more grants to public sector organization(s) as the lead(s) organization, engaging and involving other entities as appropriate. Academic institutions are welcomed as team members; however, DOT does not envision an academic institution serving as the lead. Feedback is requested on these procurement options and issues including the challenges in forming the teams as either a lead organization or as a partner or other participant. What forms or demonstrations of commitment by the participants are reasonable and appropriate requirements of respondents to a solicitation for the performance measurement demonstration program?

2. The DOT envisions the demonstration(s) awarded and commencing in early 2011, with the demonstration's(s') applications beginning operations approximately 6 months of preparation and development. The operational period, results analysis, and publication of final results are anticipated to occur over a period that does not exceed 18 months. Is this schedule too cautious, too ambitious, or about right?

3. Are the identified performance metrics the right ones to focus on? Are there metrics or applications that you would add or delete?

4. The goals of this near-term dynamic mobility demonstration program are to demonstrate the use of IntelliDrive<sup>SM</sup> to improve the collection of performance measurement information, and to demonstrate the use of this information to support performance-based management, *e.g.*, through the use of decision support tools. To what extent can the real-time or near real-time collection of performance measures be demonstrated, and to what extent can

real-time or near real-time performance-based management applications or tools be demonstrated?

5. There are important advantages to conducting a single demonstration, including concentration of resources and funding, ease of management, and demonstration of integrated applications running in a common environment. At the same time, the breadth of envisioned applications and the desire for a diverse operating environment argue for conducting a small number of smaller demonstrations. Is it feasible to address a majority (if not all) of the goals and environments in a single demonstration project? Can multiple meaningful, smaller demonstrations be conducted if the funding per demonstration is \$1,000,000 or less?

6. It is the intent to provide open access to the data collected as part of this demonstration through the IntelliDrive<sup>SM</sup> Data Capture and Management program.

a. Do you see value in broadly sharing these data with other researchers?

b. Will such data sharing inhibit participation in the demonstration? If so, what mitigation actions do you recommend to encourage participation?

7. To the greatest extent possible, it is the intent of the Dynamic Mobility Applications Program to make algorithms and source code associated with new applications or applications enhancements funded as a part of these demonstrations to be made freely available under open source agreements.

a. Do you see value in making algorithms and application source code funded by this demonstration program broadly available?

b. Will an open source focus inhibit participation in the demonstration? If so, what mitigation actions do you recommend to encourage participation?

Issued on: August 2, 2010.

**Victor M. Mendez,**  
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19534 Filed 8-6-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent to Rule on Request to Release Airport Property at the Dubois Regional Airport, Reynoldsville, Pennsylvania

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of request to release airport property.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invite public comment on the release of land at the Dubois Regional Airport, Reynoldsville, Pennsylvania under the provisions of Section 47125(a) of Title 49 United States Code (U.S.C.).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 8, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered to the following address:

Robert W. Shaffer, Manager, Dubois Regional Airport, 377 Aviation Way, Reynoldsville, PA 15851.

and at the FAA Harrisburg Airports District Office:

Lori K. Pagnanelli, Manager, Harrisburg Airports District Office, 3905 Hartzdale Dr., Suite 508, Camp Hill, PA 17011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lori Ledeborn, Community Planner, Harrisburg Airports District Office location listed above.

The request to release property may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA invites public comment on the request to release property at the Dubois Regional Airport under the provisions of Section 47125(a) of Title 49 U.S.C. On July 30, 2010, the FAA determined that the request to release property at the Dubois Regional Airport submitted by the Clearfield-Jefferson Counties Regional Airport Authority (Authority) met the procedural requirements.

The following is a brief overview of the request:

The Authority requests the release of real property totaling 0.22 acre, of non-aeronautical airport property to Joseph and Rosemary Barber. Also, Joseph and Rosemary Barber desire to transfer real property totaling 0.02 acre to the Authority. The land was originally purchased with Federal funds in 1958, C.A.A Project 9-36-037-5801. The purpose for the change is to transfer land that was airport property but was used as Right-Of-Way (ROW) for State Route (SR) 830. SR 830 was relocated and one half of the ROW width was turned back to the Authority and the other half was turned back to Joseph and Rosemary Barber. The ROW continues to be needed by Joseph and Rosemary Barber to access SR 830 from their property. Therefore, the Authority desires to convey their half of the former ROW to Joseph and Rosemary Barber. Additionally, Joseph and Rosemary Barber wish to convey the small portion of land they obtained when SR 830 was relocated, back to the Authority. The property is located on the north-west

side of the airport and is bordered by the former airport access road and the Barber property. The subject land does not serve an aeronautical purpose and is not needed for airport development, as shown on the Airport Layout Plan. Any proceeds from the sale of property are to be used for the capital development of the airport.

Any person may inspect the request by appointment at the FAA office address listed above. Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed release from obligations. All comments will be considered by the FAA to the extent practicable.

Issued in Camp Hill, Pennsylvania, on August 2, 2010.

**Lori K. Pagnanelli,**

*Manager, Harrisburg Airports District Office.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19535 Filed 8-6-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 8893

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 8893, Election of Partnership Level Tax Treatment.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before October 8, 2010 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Gerald Shields, Internal Revenue Service, Room 6129, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Elaine Christophe, (202) 622-3179, or at Internal Revenue Service, Room 6129, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224, or through the Internet at [Elaine.H.Christophe@irs.gov](mailto:Elaine.H.Christophe@irs.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Election of Partnership Level Tax Treatment.

*OMB Number:* 1545-1912.

*Form Number:* 8893.

*Abstract:* IRC section 6231(a)(1)(B)(ii) allows small partnerships to elect to be treated under the unified audit and litigation procedure. Form 8893 will allow IRS to better track these elections by providing a standardized format for this election.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes being made to the form at this time.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 100.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 1 hour, 27 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 227.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

*Request for Comments:* Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: July 30, 2010.

**Gerald Shields,**

*IRS Supervisory Tax Analyst.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19491 Filed 8-6-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 3911

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 3911, Taxpayer Statement Regarding Refund.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before October 8, 2010 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Gerald Shields, Internal Revenue Service, Room 6129, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Elaine Christophe, (202) 622-3179, or at Internal Revenue Service, Room 6129, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224, or through the Internet, at [Elaine.H.Christophe@irs.gov](mailto:Elaine.H.Christophe@irs.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Taxpayer Statement Regarding Refund.

*OMB Number:* 1545-1384.

*Form Number:* 3911.

*Abstract:* Form 3911 is used by taxpayers to notify the IRS that a tax refund previously claimed has not been received. The form is normally completed by the taxpayer as the result of an inquiry in which the taxpayer claims non-receipt, loss, theft or destruction of a tax refund, and IRS research shows that the refund has been issued. The information on the form is needed to clearly identify the refund to be traced.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes being made to the form at this time.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households, business or other for-profit organizations, and not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 200,000.