

safety, up to 10-fold, for added protection for infants and children in the case of threshold effects unless EPA determines that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children.

The toxicological data base for evaluating prenatal and postnatal toxicity for clethodim is complete with respect to current data requirements. There are no special prenatal or postnatal toxicity concerns for infants and children, based on the results of the rat and rabbit developmental toxicity studies or the 3-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. Valent U.S.A. Corporation concludes that reliable data support use of the standard 100-fold UF and that an additional uncertainty factor is not needed for clethodim to be further protective of infants and children.

ii. *Chronic exposure and risk.* Using the conservative exposure assumptions described above (anticipated residues and percent of crop treated), the percentage of the cPAD that will be utilized by dietary (food only) exposure to residues of clethodim ranges from 0.7% for nursing infants (<1 year old), up to 4.5% for children (1–6 years). Adding the worse case potential incremental exposure to infants and children from clethodim in drinking water (0.001 mg/kg bwt/day) greatly increases the aggregate, chronic dietary exposure and the occupancy of the cPAD by 10.0% to 14.5% for children (1–6 years). EPA generally has no concern for exposures below 100% of the cPAD because the cPAD represents the level at or below which daily aggregate dietary exposure over a lifetime will not pose appreciable risks to human health. It can be concluded that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate, chronic exposure to clethodim residues.

iii. *Acute dietary exposure and risk.* An acute dietary endpoint was not identified. Thus, the risk from acute aggregate dietary exposure to clethodim is considered to be negligible.

iv. *Non-dietary exposure and aggregate risk.* Acute, short-term, and intermediate-term dermal and inhalation risk assessments for residential exposure to clethodim are not required because no significant toxicological effects were observed.

#### F. International Tolerances

Codex, Canadian, or Mexican maximum residue levels (MRLs) have been established or proposed for residues of clethodim in/on sugar beets (0.1 ppm), potatoes (0.2 ppm), rape seed (0.5 ppm), rape seed oils (0.5 ppm), sunflower seed (0.5 ppm), and

sunflower seed oils (0.05 ppm). There are no conflicts between this proposed action and international residue limits. [FR Doc. 01–7640 Filed 3–27–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6959–2]

### Proposed Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement in *Eramet Marietta, Inc., v. EPA*, No. 99–1290 (D.C. Cir.).

This case concerns a challenge to the rule entitled National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Ferroalloys Production, published in the **Federal Register** at 64 FR 27450 on May 20, 1999. The proposed settlement provides for EPA to propose revisions to the Ferroalloys rule that would amend the emission standards applicable to ferromanganese and silicomanganese production in open submerged arc furnaces and extend the compliance deadline by six months.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the settlement from persons who were not named as parties to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed settlement if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Copies of the settlement are available from Phyllis Cochran, (202) 564–5566. Written comments should be sent to Jon Devine at Air and Radiation Division (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, and must be submitted on or before April 27, 2001.

**Anna L. Wolgast,**

*Acting General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 01–7635 Filed 3–27–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6959–5]

### Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; United States Department of the Navy

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement for recovery of past response costs concerning the Hooper Sands site in South Berwick, Maine with the following settling party: United States Department of the Navy. The settlement requires the settling party to seek Congressional authorization and appropriation to pay \$1,005,478.00 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The settlement includes a covenant not to take administrative action against the settling party pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency’s response to any comments received will be available for public inspection with the Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—New England, Region 1, Suite 1100 (RAA), Boston, Massachusetts 02114–2023, (617) 918–1093 (U.S. EPA CERCLA Docket No. I–98–1041).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 27, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed settlement is available for public inspection or may be obtained by mail by contacting Kathleen Woodward, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—New England, Region 1, Suite 1100 (SEL), Boston, Massachusetts 02114–2023, (617) 918–1780. Comments should reference the Hooper Sands Site, South Berwick, Maine and EPA CERCLA Docket No. I–98–1041.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Woodward, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—New England, Region 1, Suite 1100 (SEL),

Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2023, (617) 918-1780.

Dated: March 13, 2001.

**Patricia L. Meaney,**

*Director, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration.*

[FR Doc. 01-7638 Filed 3-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

### Notice of Issuance of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards

**AGENCY:** Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of statement of federal financial accounting standards (SFFAS) No. 19).

#### Board Action

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, and the FASAB Rules of Procedure, as amended in October, 1999, notice is hereby given that the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) has issued Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) No. 19, Technical Amendments to Accounting Standards for Direct Loans and Loan Guarantees in Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards No. 2.

The Board approved the Statement in December 2000, and submitted it to FASAB principals for a 90-day review. The review period completed on March 20, 2001.

In SFFAS No. 19, the Board adopted a number of technical amendments to SFFAS No. 2 for the following purposes:

(a) Clarify that the cash flow discount method used in the accounting standards prescribed in SFFAS No. 2 is consistent with the method required in the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, as amended in July 1997.

(b) Clarify that the effective interest rate of a cohort of direct loans or loan guarantees is the interest rate adjusted for the interest rate re-estimate, as defined in paragraph 9(A), SFFAS No. 18, Amendments to Accounting Standards for Direct Loans and Loan Guarantees in SFFAS No. 2.

(c) Clarify that the measurement for the default costs of direct loans and loan guarantees should include and exclude certain cash flow elements.

The standards prescribed in SFFAS No. 19 are effective for periods beginning after September 30, 2002. Hard copies of the statement will be mailed to the FASAB mailing list. It is

also available on the FASAB web site at [www.financenet.gov/fasab.htm](http://www.financenet.gov/fasab.htm) or by calling 202-512-7350.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Comes, Executive Director, 441 G St., N.W., Mail Stop 6K17V, Washington, D.C. 20548, or call (202) 512-7350.

**Authority:** Federal Advisory Committee Act. Pub. L. 92-463.

Dated: March 22, 2001.

**Wendy M. Comes,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 01-7567 Filed 3-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1610-01-M**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2473]

### Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

March 20, 2001.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by April 12, 2001. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

**Subject:** Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Television Broadcasting (MM Docket No. 91-221, MM Docket No. 87-8).

Television Satellite Stations Review of Policy and Rules.

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 1.

**Subject:** Review of the Commission's Rules and Policies Affecting the Conversion to Digital Television (MM Docket No. 00-39).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 17.

**Subject:** Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability (CC Docket No. 98-147).

and

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-98).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 2.

**Subject:** Amendment of the Commission's Rules with Regard to the

3650-3700 MHz Government Transfer band (ET Docket No. 98-237, RM-9411).

The 4.9 GHz Band Transferred from Federal Government Use (WT Docket No. 00-32).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 4.

**Subject:** Petition by the United States Department of Transportation for Assignment of an Abbreviated Dialing Code (N11) to Access Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Services Nationwide.

Request by the Alliance of Information and Referral Systems, United Way of America, United Way 211 (Atlanta, Georgia) United Way of Connecticut, Florida Alliance of Information and Referral Services, Inc., and Texas I&R Network for Assignment of 211 Dialing Code.

The Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements (CC Docket No. 92-105).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 6.

**Subject:** Implementation of the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act of 1999 (CS Docket No. 00-96).

Broadcast Signal Carriage Issues.

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 2.

**Subject:** Application of Bidding Credits in the Interactive Video and Data Services Auction (WT Docket No. 98-169, RM-8951).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 1.

**Subject:** Numbering Resource Optimization (CC Docket No. 99-200).

Petition for Declaratory Ruling and Request for Expedited Action on the July 15, 1997 Order of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Regarding Area Codes 412, 610, 215 and 717 (CC Docket No. 96-98).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 12.

**Subject:** Replacement of Part 90 by Part 88 to Revise the Private Land Mobile Radio Services and Modify the Policies Governing Them (PR Docket No. 92-235).

Examination of Exclusivity and Frequency Assignment Policies of the Private Land Mobile Services.

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 2.

**Subject:** Creation of a Low Power Radio Service (MM Docket No. 99-25, RM 9208, RM-9242).

**Number of Petitions Filed:** 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Magalie Roman Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-7578 Filed 3-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-M**

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.