122°31′54.68″ W; thence 106°T to latitude 47°33′23″ N, longitude 122°29′05″ W; thence 180°T to latitude 47°32′39.5″ N, longitude 122°29′05″ W; thence south along the eastern shoreline of Blake Island to latitude 47°31′48″ N, longitude 122°29′21″ W; thence 250°T to latitude 47°31′40″ W; thence west and north along the Kitsap Peninsula shoreline to the point of origin.

(9) Cherry Point General Anchorage. The waters within a circular area with a radius of 1600 yards, having its center at latitude 48°48′29.39″ N, longitude

122°46'04.66" W.

(10) Anacortes General Anchorages. (i) Anacortes East (ANE) Anchorage. The waters within a circular area with a radius of 600 yards, having its center at 48°31′27″ N., 122°33′45″ W.

(ii) Anacortes Center (ANC) Anchorage. The waters within a circular area with a radius of 600 yards, having its center at 48°30′54″ N, 122°34′06″ W.

(iii) Anacortes West (ANW) Anchorage. The waters within a circular area with a radius of 600 yards, having its center at 48°31′09″ N, 122°34′55″ W.

(11) Cap Sante Tug and Barge General Anchorage. The Cap Sante Tug and Barge General Anchorage includes all waters enclosed by a line connecting the following points: 48°31′16″ N, 122°36′00″ W, which is approximately the northeast tip of Cap Sante; then southeast to 48°30′53″ N, 122°35′28″ W; then west southwest to 48°30′45″ N, 122°35′52″ W, approximately the south tip of Cap Sante; then north along the shoreline to the point of origin.

(12) Hat Island Tug and Barge General Anchorage. The Hat Island Tug and Barge General Anchorage includes all waters enclosed by a line connecting the following points: 48°31′19″ N, 122°33′04″ W, near the west side of Hat Island; then southwest to 48°30′37″ N, 122°33′38″ W; then east to 48°30′37″ N, 122°32′00″ W; then northwest to the

point of origin.

(13) Commencement Bay General Anchorage. A quadrilateral area bounded as follows: Beginning at latitude 47°17′36.36″ N, longitude 122°26′04.45″ W; thence due south to latitude 47°17′18.36″ N, longitude 122°26′04.45″ W; thence due east to latitude 47° 17′18.36″ N, longitude 122°25′04.45″ W; thence due north to latitude 47°17′32.36″ N, longitude 122°25′04.45″ W; thence west northwest to the point of origin.

(14) Non-anchorage area Port Angeles Harbor. Beginning at a point on the shore at latitude 48°07′03.83″ N, longitude 123°24′20.67″ W; thence to latitude 48°07′38.43″ N, longitude 123°24′04.67″ W; thence to latitude

 $48^{\circ}07'36.03''$ N, longitude $123^{\circ}23'50.67''$ W; thence to a point on the shoreline at latitude $48^{\circ}06'56.73''$ N, longitude $123^{\circ}24'08.67''$ W.

(i) No vessel may anchor in this nonanchorage area at any time.

(ii) Dragging, seining, fishing, or other activities which may foul underwater installations within this non-anchorage area are prohibited.

(iii) Vessels may transit this nonanchorage area, but must proceed by the most direct route and without unnecessary delay.

Note to paragraph (a)(14): The city of Port Angeles will mark this area with signs on the shoreline visible (during normal daylight) 1 mile to seaward reading, "Do not Anchor in This Area."

Dated: January 12, 2013.

K.A. Taylor,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Thirteenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2013-03121 Filed 2-11-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2013-0031]

Drawbridge Operating Regulations; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Belle Chasse, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice for temporary deviation from regulation; change of effective period.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is modifying the effective date of a published temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Louisiana State Route 23 (LA 23) vertical lift span bridge, also known as the Judge Perez Bridge, across the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (Algiers Alternate Route), mile 3.8, at Belle Chasse, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. The modification of the effective period is necessary to further minimize the effects on navigation caused by the temporary deviation. The deviation is necessary to complete scheduled repairs necessitated by a bridge allision. This deviation allows the bridge to remain closed to navigation for eight consecutive days starting February 23, 2013, in order to perform scheduled maintenance. **DATES:** This deviation amends the

DATES: This deviation amends the deviation published at 78 FR 6208 on January 30, 2013, and establishes the

effective period of the deviation as being from 6 a.m. on Saturday, February 23, 2013, until 6 a.m. on Sunday, March 3, 2013.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this notice, USCG-2013-0042, is available online at www.regulations.gov by typing in the docket number in the "SEARCH" box and clicking "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this notice. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email David Frank, Bridge Administration Branch, Coast Guard, telephone (504) 671–2128, email David.m.frank@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Barbara Hairston, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 30, 2013, the Coast published a notice of temporary deviation from regulation in the Federal Register (78 FR 6208-6209), C.E.C., Inc. on behalf of the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LDOTD) has requested a temporary deviation in order to perform maintenance on the State Route 23 (LA 23) vertical lift span bridge, also known as the Judge Perez Bridge, across the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (Algiers Alternate Route), mile 3.8, at Belle Chasse, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. Now, C.E.C, on behalf of LDOTD has requested to adjust the dates of the impending closure forward one day to better serve the general public with the proposed closure. The modification of the schedule has been vetted with waterway users and no objections were received with regards to the proposed change in schedule. This modified deviation will still allow the bridge to remain closed to navigation for eight consecutive days in order to perform scheduled maintenance, but the start date is now February 23, 2013, and the end date is now March 3, 2013.

Notices of the deviation schedule will be published in the Eighth Coast Guard District Local Notice to Mariners and will be broadcast via the Coast Guard Broadcast Notice to Mariners System.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the designated time period. This

deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: February 4, 2013.

David M. Frank,

Bridge Administrator, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2013–03123 Filed 2–11–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Subtitle A

[Docket ID ED-2012-OII-0013]

RIN 1855-AA08

Final Priorities, Requirements, Definitions, and Selection Criteria— Supporting Effective Educator Development [CFDA Number: 84.367D.]

AGENCY: Office of Innovation and Improvement, Department of Education. **ACTION:** Final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Deputy Secretary for Innovation and Improvement announces priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria under the Supporting Effective Educator Development (SEED) program. The Assistant Deputy Secretary may use one or more of these priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria for competitions fiscal year (FY) 2013 and later years. We take this action to help national not-for-profit organizations build evidence on how best to recruit, train, and support effective teachers and school leaders; recruit and prepare effective science, technology, engineering, and mathematics teachers; and invest in efforts that increase student achievement by improving teacher and principal effectiveness.

DATES: These priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria are effective March 14, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Wilson, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., room 4W125, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 453–6709 or by email: SEED@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf or a text telephone, call the Federal Relay Service, toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of Program: The SEED program provides funding for grants to national not-for-profit organizations for projects that support teacher or principal training or professional

enhancement activities and are supported by at least moderate evidence of effectiveness (as defined in this notice).

Program Authority: Department of Education Appropriations Act, 2012 (Pub. L. 112–74, Title III, Division F).

We published a notice of proposed priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria (NPP) for this program in the **Federal Register** on September 4, 2012 (77 FR 53819). That notice contained background information and our reasons for proposing the particular priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria.

These final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria contain some changes from the NPP. We fully explain these changes in the *Analysis of Comments and Changes* section in this notice.

Public Comment: In response to our invitation in the NPP, 18 parties submitted comments on the proposed priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria.

Analysis of Comments and Changes: An analysis of the comments and any changes in the priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria since publication of the NPP follows.

Eligibility

Comment: Several commenters requested that the Department alter the eligibility criteria to allow more types of entities to apply for a SEED program grant. One commenter recommended that we allow applications from large local educational agencies (LEAs) or LEAs with large numbers of students in poverty. Three commenters recommended that we allow applications from local, State, or regional not-for-profit organizations.

Discussion: We agree that other entities, including LEAs and local, State, and regional not-for-profit organizations, have expertise in preparing and supporting teachers and principals. However, the legislation that governs the SEED program allows for awards only to national not-for-profit organizations. Consequently, while eligible national not-for-profit applicants may partner with LEAs and local, State, and regional not-for-profit organizations to carry out their projects, the Department does not have the authority to award a SEED program grant to other types of entities.

Change: None.

Priorities

Comment: One commenter supported our focus on high-need students but also requested that we add a priority on recruiting, developing, and retaining educators from underrepresented backgrounds to increase teacher success.

Discussion: We agree with the commenter on the importance of recruiting and developing educators from diverse backgrounds who reflect the backgrounds of their students. We have made this commitment explicit in option (b) of priority 4, Promoting Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Education, which requires applicants to demonstrate how they will increase the number of individuals from groups traditionally underrepresented in STEM. We also believe that priorities 1, 2, 3, and 5 provide applicants with the flexibility to identify strategies, including those that focus on recruiting and supporting teachers and principals from underrepresented backgrounds, to improve teacher and principal effectiveness for the targeted students and schools. For these reasons, we decline to add another priority specifically focused on recruiting, developing, and retaining teachers and principals from underrepresented groups.

Change: None.

Comment: Several commenters recommended that the Department identify certain priorities as absolute or competitive.

Discussion: The Department generally does not designate priorities as absolute or competitive as part of a notice of final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria in order to maintain maximum flexibility in how we use the priorities in future competitions. For each future competition, we will designate priorities as absolute or competitive in the notice inviting applications.

Change: None.

Comment: One commenter recommended that we specifically cite assessment literacy—that is, the understanding and use of assessment data—as a required competency for teachers and principals in the priorities and as one of the measures in the definitions of "highly effective teacher" and "highly effective principal." Additionally, the commenter recommended that we require applicants to evaluate assessment literacy predominantly based on performance, including classroom observations and artifact reviews, and that we require applicants to use a minimum of three years of data in measuring student growth as an indicator of teacher effectiveness.

Discussion: While we agree that it is important for teachers and principals to understand and use data and assessment