

and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Individuals who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. Requests for a public hearing should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) to the extent practicable, an identification of the arguments to be raised at the hearing. Unless otherwise notified by the Department, interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 351.309(c)(2) of the Department's regulations. As part of the case brief, parties are encouraged to provide a summary of the arguments not to exceed five pages and a table of statutes, regulations, and cases cited. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the case brief is filed. If a hearing is held, an interested party may make an affirmative presentation only on arguments included in that party's case brief and may make a rebuttal presentation only on arguments included in that party's rebuttal brief. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

The Department will issue the final results of these new shipper reviews, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in the briefs, within 90 days from the date of these preliminary results, unless the time limit is extended.

Upon completion of these new shipper reviews, the Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service upon completion of this review. For assessment purposes, we intend to calculate importer-specific assessment rates for freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC. For both EP and CEP sales, we will divide the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between NV and EP (or CEP)) for each importer by the entered value of the merchandise. Upon the completion of this review, we will direct Customs to assess the resulting ad valorem rates against the entered value of each entry of the subject merchandise by the importer during the POR.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication

of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed firms will be the rates established in the final results of these reviews; (2) for previously-reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the rate will be the PRC-wide rate, which is currently 201.63 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These new shipper reviews and this notice are published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777 (i)(1) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: March 21, 2001.

**Bernard T. Carreau,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-8819 Filed 4-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-507-502]

#### Certain In-Shell Pistachios From Iran: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On September 6, 2000, in response to a request made by Cyrus

Marketing, an importer, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 53980) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell pistachios from Iran. The review period is July 1, 1999 to June 30, 2000. This review has now been rescinded because Cyrus Marketing has withdrawn its request for review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 10, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Phyllis Hall or Donna Kinsella, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1398 or (202) 482-0194 respectively.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

#### Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is raw, in-shell pistachio nuts from which the hulls have been removed, leaving the inner hard shells, and edible meats from Iran. This merchandise is currently provided for in item 0802502000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule.

#### Background

On July 24, 2000, Cyrus Marketing (an importer) requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell pistachios from Iran published in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 1986 (51 FR 25922) with regard to Rafsanjan Pistachio Producers Cooperative (RPPC), an Iranian producer and exporter of in-shell pistachios. On September 6, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 53980) a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part" initiating the administrative review. On April 2, 2001, the Department received a letter dated March 23, 2001, from Cyrus Marketing withdrawing its request for review. The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of

initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. Although Cyrus Marketing's request for withdrawal was made after the 90-day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides it is reasonable to do so. Given that we have received no submissions opposing Cyrus Marketing's request for withdrawal of the administrative review and Cyrus Marketing was the only party to request the administrative review, we find it reasonable to accept the withdrawal request. Therefore, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell pistachios from Iran covering the period July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 2, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-8821 Filed 4-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-830]

#### **Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Taiwan: Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to timely requests from petitioners and Yieh United Steel Corporation ("YUSCO")<sup>1</sup>, a Taiwan producer and exporter of subject merchandise, on July 7, 2000, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review of sales by YUSCO and Ta Chen Stainless Pipe, Ltd. ("Ta Chen") for the period November 4, 1998 through April 30, 2000. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 65 FR 41942 (July 7, 2000). On December 4, 2000, the Department of Commerce published a notice of

preliminary rescission of this review as a result of the absence of entries into the United States of subject merchandise during the period of review (65 FR 75760). The Department is now publishing its final determination to rescind this review.

Petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum, AK Steel Corporation, Butler Armco Independent Union, J&L Specialty Steel, Inc., North American Stainless, United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 10, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rick Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3818.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

##### **Background**

On July 10, 2000, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to YUSCO and Ta Chen. On July 19, 2000, along with withdrawing its request for an administrative review, YUSCO requested that the Department rescind this review, claiming it made no entries of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR. On July 27, 2000, the Department solicited comments on YUSCO's request for rescission. *See Memo to the File from Juanita H. Chen* (July 27, 2000). On August 8, 2000, YUSCO submitted its Section A response to the Department's questionnaire. YUSCO reiterated its request for rescission on August 16, 2000. Also on that date, petitioners filed comments opposing YUSCO's request for rescission, which included references to the original investigation indicating that Ta Chen's U.S. affiliate, Ta Chen International (CA) Corp. ("TCI"), made sales of YUSCO's merchandise during the POR and had additional inventory not yet sold. On July 31, 2000, Ta Chen stated that it did not have any U.S. sales, shipments or entries of subject merchandise during the POR, and requested that it not be

required to answer the Department's questionnaire. On August 1, 2000, the Department asked Ta Chen a supplemental question regarding shipments in the POR falling under a certain Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTS") number, and gave Ta Chen an extension of time in which to respond to the antidumping duty questionnaire. On August 9, 2000, Ta Chen repeated its statement that it did not have any U.S. sales, shipments or entries during the POR, stated that imports under the HTS number were cut-to-length stainless steel plate and not subject merchandise, and repeated its request not to have to answer the Department's questionnaire. On August 24, 2000, the Department denied Ta Chen's request that it not be required to answer the questionnaire, and issued supplemental questions to Ta Chen. On August 31 and September 5, 2000, Ta Chen responded to the Department's supplemental questions, stating that of TCI's sales of YUSCO's merchandise from TCI's U.S. warehouse inventory during the POR, all merchandise entered before the POR. Ta Chen also stated that while there was a sale of subject merchandise from YUSCO to TCI during the POR, such subject merchandise entered the United States and was resold after the POR. Ta Chen also stated that, for these reasons, it did not intend to answer the Department's questionnaire.

On September 12, 2000, petitioners submitted comments on Ta Chen's response to the Department's supplemental questions, arguing that the Department should review TCI's resales of YUSCO's merchandise as constructed export price ("CEP") sales, citing to *Silicon Metal from Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 59 FR 42806 (August 19, 1994). On September 26, 2000, the Department informed Ta Chen of its intention to conduct a review of TCI's sales, and asked that Ta Chen submit its response no later than October 10, 2000. Ta Chen failed to submit a response. On September 19, 2000, the Department conducted an inspection of Customs documentation at the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") in Long Beach, California. A review of a random sampling of entries during the POR revealed that none of the entries were of subject merchandise. *See Memo to the File from Carrie Blozy and Juanita H. Chen* (October 19, 2000). On October 24, 2000, the Department informed petitioners that as a result of this inspection, as well as a separate Customs inquiry, the Department intended to revisit the issue of whether

<sup>1</sup> YUSCO withdrew its request for review on July 19, 2000.