

cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Larry Frazier,

*BLM Vale Associate District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19435 Filed 8-1-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau Of Land Management

[LLORP00000.L10200000.PI0000; HAG 11-0295]

#### Notice of Public Meeting, John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Public Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below:

**DATES:** The RAC meeting will be held on September 7, 2011 and September 8, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** A joint meeting of the John Day-Snake and the Southeast Oregon RACs will be held at 1 Sunridge Lane, Baker City, Oregon, on September 7, 2011. The John Day-Snake RAC will also hold a business meeting at The Always Welcome Inn, 175 Campbell Street, Baker City, Oregon, on September 8, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Wilkening, 100 Oregon Street, Vale, Oregon 97918, (541) 473-6218 or e-mail [mwilkeni@blm.gov](mailto:mwilkeni@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The September 7 meeting will be held from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time (PDT) at the Sunridge Inn. Topics may include: The Oregon Sage-grouse Plan, Blue Mountains Forest Plan revision, BLM District's Vegetation EA stepdown, Wilderness Characteristics Inventories, Power/Energy Transmission options, and other matters as may reasonably come before the RACs. The September 8 meeting will be held from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. PDT at the Always

Welcome Inn. Topics may include Vale District Cultural Inventories, updates by Federal managers on litigation, energy projects, and other issues affecting their districts/units; and other matters as may reasonably come before the Council. All RAC meetings are open to the public; time is set aside for oral comments at 1:15 p.m. on September 7, 2011, and at 1 p.m. on September 8, 2011. Those who verbally address the RAC are asked to provide a written statement of their presentation. Unless otherwise approved by the RAC Chair, the public comment period will last no longer than 15 minutes; each speaker may address the RAC for a maximum of five minutes. If reasonable accommodation is required, please contact the BLM Vale District Office at (541) 473-6218 as soon as possible. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Larry Frazier,

*BLM Vale Associate District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19438 Filed 8-1-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[4240-CEBE-409]

#### Record of Decision

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision on the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National Historic Park.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended [42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)], the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Record of Decision for the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS) for Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National Historic Park (NHP) in Frederick, Shenandoah, and Warren Counties, Virginia. The Regional Director, Northeast Region, approved the Record of Decision for the GMP/EIS, selecting Action Alternative D, the NPS preferred alternative, as described in the Final GMP/EIS issued on January 21,

2011. The Record of Decision (ROD) includes a statement of the decision made, a synopsis of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, a description of the environmentally preferable alternative, a finding on impairment of park resources and values, a listing of measures to minimize environmental harm, and an overview of public involvement in the decision-making process. The approved General Management Plan will guide long-term management of Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP. As soon as practicable, the NPS will begin to implement the selected alternative.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diann Jacox, Superintendent, Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National Historical Park, 7718 1/2 Main Street, Middletown, Virginia 22645, (540) 868-9176.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP was created by Congress in December 2002 to help preserve, protect, and interpret a nationally significant Civil War landscape and antebellum plantation; to tell the rich story of Shenandoah Valley history; to preserve historic, natural, cultural, military, and scenic resources; and to serve as a focal point within the Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District. Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP is a partnership park, currently with limited property in federal ownership, that works collaboratively with other entities who provide the foundation for protecting, preserving, and interpreting park resources by virtue of their ownership of significant acreage within the park, their commitment to a shared preservation ethic, their willingness to provide visitor services and public access, and their consent to manage their property as part of the national historical park.

The approved general management plan provides the NPS and key partners with the necessary framework to guide the management of the park for the next 15 to 20 years. This is the first general management plan for the park; it is intended to be a useful, long-term decision-making tool, providing a logical and trackable rationale for decisions about protection and public use of park resources.

The Final GMP/EIS presented and evaluated four management alternatives developed around the need to define an appropriate role for the NPS at the park. Among the alternatives considered, Action Alternative D, the selected alternative, best protects the diversity of park resources while also maintaining a range of quality visitor experiences.

The selected alternative will enable visitors to experience the park at an NPS developed and managed visitor center and at visitor focal areas owned and managed by the NPS and key partners. The NPS and the key partners will coordinate interpretive programs at these sites. Visitors will access the park via auto-touring routes and an extensive system of non-motorized trails that provide opportunities for interpretation and recreation, connect focal areas, and tie to communities and resources outside the park. The NPS and the key partners will develop a coordinated land protection plan focused on acquisition of cultural landscapes, sensitive natural resource areas, and lands providing connections between NPS and key partner properties. The NPS and the key partners will have formal agreements that define responsibilities for special projects, programs, events, and specific park operations.

This decision is the result of a public planning process that began in 2005. Between 2005 and 2008, prior to the release of the Draft GMP/EIS, NPS staff met with and briefed representatives from numerous agencies and organizations about the GMP and NPS's future plans Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP. Additional public involvement activities followed the release of the Draft GMP/EIS and a detailed analysis of public comments received and NPS responses are provided in Appendix F of the Final GMP/EIS.

Copies of the Record of Decision may be obtained from the above contact or online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/cebe>.

Dated: April 15, 2011.

**Dennis R. Reidenbach,**

*Regional Director, Northeast Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19520 Filed 8-1-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-AR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; Renewal of a Currently Approved Collection (OMB Control Number 1006-0028)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation has forwarded the following Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Recreation Visitor Use Surveys. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost burden.

**DATES:** OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove this information collection, but may respond after 30 days; therefore, public comment must be received on or before September 1, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send your comments to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, via facsimile to (202) 395-5806, or e-mail to [OIRA\\_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov). A copy of your comments should also be directed to the Bureau of Reclamation, Attention: Jerome Jackson (84-53000), P.O. Box 25007, Denver, CO 80225-0007, or directed via e-mail to [jljackson@usbr.gov](mailto:jljackson@usbr.gov). Please reference OMB No. 1006-0028 in your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information or a copy of the proposed forms, contact Jerome Jackson at the above address, or at (303) 445-2712.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Recreation Visitor Use Surveys.

*Abstract:* The Bureau of Reclamation is responsible for recreation development at all of its reservoirs.

Presently, there are 289 designated recreation areas on our lands within the 17 Western States. We must be able to respond to emerging trends, changes in the demographic profile of users, changing values, needs, wants and desires, and conflicts between user groups. Statistically valid and up-to-date data derived from the user is essential to developing and providing recreation programs relevant to today's visitor.

The required 60-day comment period for the Recreation Visitor Use Surveys was initiated by a notice published in the **Federal Register** on March 22, 2011 (76 FR 15997). One comment regarding the surveys was received on March 22, 2011. The comment suggested that the surveys are expensive, a waste of time, should not be conducted more often than every 5 years, or defunded and alleviated. However, implementation of the survey is in compliance with the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (REA), Public Law 108-447, enacted on December 8, 2004. The 11 surveys are each designed to enhance visitor satisfaction of Reclamation recreation areas and response to the surveys is completely voluntary.

*Frequency:* One time survey.

*Respondents:* Respondents to the surveys will be members of the public engaged in recreational activities on our lands. The surveys target people engaged in specific activities such as boating on a specific lake/river, or people camping at a developed campground. Visitors will primarily consist of local residents, people from large metropolitan areas in the vicinity of the lake/river, and visitors from out of state.

*Estimated Total Number of Respondents:* 6,141.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.0.

*Estimated Total Number of Annual Responses:* 6,141.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 2,044.

#### ESTIMATE OF BURDEN FOR EACH FORM

Survey instrument	Burden estimate per survey (in minutes)	Number of surveys (times/yr.)	Number of respondents per survey	Total Estimated number of respondents	Total Annual hour burden
Marina Survey .....	20	2	278	556	185
Campground Survey .....	25	2	278	556	232
River Instream Flow Survey .....	25	2	278	556	232
Reservoir Preferred Water Level Survey .....	25	2	278	556	232
Lake and River Visit Expenditure Survey .....	15	2	278	556	139
Recreation Activities Survey .....	25	2	278	556	232
Recreation Management Survey .....	20	2	278	556	185
Recreation Fee Survey .....	10	1	581	581	97
Recreation Development Survey .....	10	2	278	556	93
Water Level Impact on Recreation Boating Use Survey .....	20	2	278	556	185