with comments and other pertinent information. That motion was granted on December 12, 2007. The Counsel was provided with the business confidential information from the initial administrative record as well as with the material generated in the reconsideration/remand investigation. While the investigator contacted Plaintiff's Counsel to remind him of his opportunity, the Department received no substantive input. SAR 68–70.

In addition, in accordance with section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department herein presents the results of its remand investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the subject worker group must be certified as eligible to apply for TAA. Since the workers have been denied certification for TAA, they cannot be certified for ATAA.

Conclusion

After careful review of the findings of the remand investigation, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Hutchinson Technology, Eau Claire, Wisconsin.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of January 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8–1826 Filed 1–31–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics

Proposed Collection, Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages Program. A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the Addresses section of this notice on or before April 1, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Washington, DC 20212, 202–691–7628. (This is not a toll free number.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, 202–691–7628. (See ADDRESSES section.) SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, a Federal/ State cooperative effort, produces monthly employment and quarterly wage information. It is a by-product of quarterly reports submitted to State Workforce Agencies (SWAs) by employers subject to State Unemployment Insurance (UI) laws. The collection of these data is authorized by 29 U.S.C. 1, 2. The QCEW data, which are compiled for each calendar quarter, provide a comprehensive business name and address file with employment and wage information for employers subject to State UI laws. Similar data for Federal Government employers covered by the Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees program also are included. These data are submitted to the BLS by all 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. The BLS summarizes these data to produce totals for all counties, Metropolitan Statistical Areas, the States, and the nation. The QCEW program provides a virtual census of nonagricultural employees and their wages, with about 51 percent of the workers in agriculture covered as well.

The QCEW program is a comprehensive and accurate source of data on the number of establishments, monthly employment, and quarterly wages, by industry, at the six-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) level, and at the national, State, Metropolitan Statistical Area, and county levels. The QCEW series has broad economic significance

in measuring labor trends and major industry developments, in time series analyses and industry comparisons, and in special studies such as analyses of establishments, employment, and wages by size of establishment.

II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the Quarterly Census of Employment and

Wages (QCEW) program.

The QCEW program is the only Federal statistical program that provides information on establishments, wages, tax contributions and the number of employees subject to State UI laws and the Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees program. The consequences of not collecting QCEW data would be grave to the Federal statistical community. The BLS would not have a sampling frame for its establishment surveys; it would not be able to publish as accurate current estimates of employment for the U.S., States, and metropolitan areas; and it would not be able to publish quarterly census totals of local establishment counts, employment and wages. The Bureau of Economic Analysis would not be able to publish as accurate personal income data in a timely manner for the U.S., States, and local areas. Finally, the **Employment Training Administration** would not have the information it needs to administer the Unemployment Insurance Program.

III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Title: Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) Program.

OMB Number: 1220–0012. Affected Public: State Government. Total Respondents: 53. Frequency: Quarterly.

Total Responses: 212.

Average Time Per Response: 5,122 hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 1,085,760 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):

Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintenance): \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of January 2008.

Kimberley Hill,

Acting Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics. [FR Doc. E8-1803 Filed 1-31-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-24-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (08-012)]

Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). **ACTION:** Notice of meeting; correction.

Federal Register Citation of Previous Announcement: 73 FR 4641, Notice Number 08-009, January 25, 2008.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration published a document in the Federal Register of January 25, 2008, announcing a meeting of the Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel (ASAP). The document did not announce the meeting date.

Correction: Date of ASAP meeting is Wednesday, February 13, 2008, 1 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kathy Dakon, Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel Executive Director, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-0732.

Dated: January 28, 2008.

P. Diane Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-1912 Filed 1-31-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee #13883; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee (#13883) meeting:

Date and Time: February 11–12, 2008, 8:30 a.m.-5 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, Room 1235, Stafford I Building, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Dr. G. Wayne Van Citters, Director, Division of Astronomical Sciences, Suite 1045, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: 703-292-4908.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) on issues within the field of astronomy and astrophysics that are of mutual interest and concern to the agencies.

Agenda: To hear presentations of current programming by representatives from NSF, NASA, DOE and other agencies relevant to astronomy and astrophysics; to discuss current and potential areas of cooperation between the agencies; to formulate recommendations for continued and new areas of cooperation and mechanisms for achieving them.

Reason for Late Notice: Scheduling conflicts and staff travel prevented the meeting notice to be posted earlier.

Dated: January 29, 2008.

Susanne E. Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. E8-1837 Filed 1-31-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[EA-07-111,114,117]

In the Matter of: Wackenhut Nuclear Services, Inc.; Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)

Wackenhut Nuclear Services, Inc. (WNS) provides security related services to the Turkey Point Nuclear Plant (Turkey Point), operated by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or Licensee). FPL holds License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41, issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) on July 19, 1972, and April 10, 1973, respectively, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50. The license authorizes the operation of Turkey Point, Units 3 and 4, in accordance with the

conditions specified therein. Turkey Point is located on the Licensee's site in Florida City, Florida.

On February 24, 2006, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an on-site inspection of security-related matters at FPL's Turkey Point Nuclear Plant. During the inspection, an investigation was initiated by the NRC's Office of Investigations (OI), which was subsequently completed on August 23, 2006. The purpose of the investigation was to determine whether WNS security personnel rendered contingency response weapons non-functional and whether information in FPL documents was inaccurate or incomplete in some respect material to the Commission. The results of the OI investigation and additional in-office inspection activity were documented in a letter to WNS dated May 25, 2007, which identified three apparent violations involving the activities of WNS employees. The three apparent violations included:

A. In August 2005, a broken firing pin from a contingency response weapon was discovered at FPL's Turkey Point facility. Based on an investigation, the NRC's OI determined that a WNS security officer deliberately removed and broke a firing pin from a contingency response weapon, rendering the weapon non-functional. This activity caused FPL's Turkey Point Nuclear Plant to be in apparent violation of its Physical Security Plan, sections 4.1 and 5.4, Revision 0b, and Security Force Instruction (SFI) 2404, section 2.3, Revision 21, and caused WNS to be in apparent violation of 10

B. In April of 2004, a WNS employee deliberately removed the firing pins from two contingency response weapons, rendering the weapons nonfunctional. These actions caused FPL to be in apparent violation of a February 25, 2002, NRC Order and Interim Compensatory Measures, section B.4(f), and caused WNS to be in apparent violation of 10 CFR 50.5.

C. On or about October 2004, the WNS Project Manager assigned to FPL's Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, provided the licensee with information in Condition Report (CR) 2004–13573, related to a damaged firing pin event, which was not complete or accurate in some respect material to the NRC. The CR was provided by FPL to NRC inspectors during a February 2006 inspection at Turkey Point, and was used to inform the NRC's inquiry regarding additional actions necessary to address serious security concerns.