

opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on December 12, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3826 (December 2005), entitled Liquid Sulfur Dioxide from Canada: Investigation No. 731-TA-1098 (Preliminary).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 12, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E5-7449 Filed 12-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-340-E and H (Second Review)]

### Solid Urea From Russia And Ukraine

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from Russia and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on October 1, 2004 (69 FR 58957) and determined on January 4, 2005 that it would conduct full reviews (70 FR 2882, January 18, 2005). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2005 (70 FR 19502). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on September 22, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the

Secretary of Commerce on December 13, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3821 (December 2005), entitled Solid Urea from Russia and Ukraine: Investigations Nos. 731-TA-340-E & H (Second Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 13, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E5-7445 Filed 12-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* Billing Instructions for NRC Cost Type Contracts.
2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0109.
3. *How often the collection is required:* Monthly and on occasion.
4. *Who is required or asked to report:* NRC Contractors.
5. *The number of annual respondents:* 55.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* The total annual contractor burden for the Billing Instructions and License Fee Recovery Cost Summary for NRC cost type contracts is estimated to be 1,070 hours. Billing burden is 754 hours plus 316 hours for License Fee Recovery Cost burden.

7. *Abstract:* In administering its contracts, the NRC Division of Contracts provides Billing Instructions for its contractors to follow in preparing invoices. These instructions stipulate the level of detail for supporting data that must be submitted for NRC review. The review of this information ensures that all payments made by the NRC are for valid and reasonable costs in accordance with the contract terms and conditions.

Submit, by February 14, 2006, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

1. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

2. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC homepage site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail to [INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV](mailto:INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of December 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda Jo. Shelton,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.*

[FR Doc. E5-7451 Filed 12-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. PROJ0734, PROJ0735, PROJ0736, POOM-32]

### Draft Interim Concentration Averaging Guidance for Waste Determinations

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Issuance of Draft Interim Guidance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing draft interim guidance on concentration averaging for public comment. The NRC is currently in the process of preparing a Standard Review Plan (SRP) to provide guidance to NRC staff regarding reviews of waste determinations

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun, Commissioner Jennifer A. Hillman, and Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff dissenting.