

the key locking system of the vehicle with force because the vehicle does not have a conventional mechanical key barrel since the LR2 is equipped with a push button vehicle ignition.

Land Rover informed the agency that its LR2 vehicle line was first equipped with an engine immobilizer device beginning with its MY 2008 vehicles and, as a result, there are no data available to compare the LR2 with an immobilizer device to an LR2 without an immobilizer device. Land Rover stated that based on MY 2008 and 2009 theft data information published by NHTSA, Land Rover LR2 vehicles equipped with immobilizers had a theft rate that was below the median. The average theft rates using 2 MYs' data are 0.7504 and 0.2904 respectively. Therefore, Land Rover has concluded that the antitheft device proposed for its vehicle line is no less effective than those devices in the lines for which NHTSA has already granted full exemption from the parts-marking requirements. Land Rover also stated that the immobilizer in the Land Rover LR2 line is no less effective than similar devices NHTSA has already granted full exemptions (i.e., Range Rover Evoque and Jaguar XK and XJ). Additionally, Land Rover notes a Highway Loss Data Institute news release (July 19, 2000) showing approximately a 50% reduction in theft for vehicles installed with an immobilizer device.

Based on the supporting evidence submitted by Land Rover on the device, the agency believes that the antitheft device for the LR2 vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard (49 CFR part 541). The agency concludes that the device will provide the five types of performance listed in § 543.6(a)(3): promoting activation, attracting attention to the efforts of an unauthorized person to enter or move a vehicle by means other than a key, preventing defeat or circumvention of the device by unauthorized persons, preventing operation of the vehicle by unauthorized entrants and ensuring the reliability and durability of the device.

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 33106 and 49 CFR 543.7(b), the agency grants a petition for exemption from the parts-marking requirements of Part 541 either in whole or in part, if it determines that, based upon substantial evidence, the standard equipment antitheft device is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of Part 541. The agency finds that Land Rover has provided

adequate reasons for its belief that the antitheft device for the Land Rover LR2 vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard (49 CFR part 541). This conclusion is based on the information Land Rover provided about its device.

For the foregoing reasons, the agency hereby grants in full Land Rover's petition for exemption from the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR part 541, beginning with its 2013 model year vehicles. The agency notes that 49 CFR part 541, Appendix A-1, identifies those lines that are exempted from the Theft Prevention Standard for a given model year. 49 CFR part 543.7(f) contains publication requirements incident to the disposition of all Part 543 petitions. Advanced listing, including the release of future product nameplates, the beginning model year for which the petition is granted and a general description of the antitheft device, is necessary in order to notify law enforcement agencies of new vehicle lines exempted from the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard.

If Land Rover decides not to use the exemption for this line, it shall formally notify the agency. If such a decision is made, the line must be fully marked as required by 49 CFR parts 541.5 and 541.6 (marking of major component parts and replacement parts).

NHTSA notes that if Land Rover wishes in the future to modify the device on which this exemption is based, the company may have to submit a petition to modify the exemption. Part 543.7(d) states that a Part 543 exemption applies only to vehicles that belong to a line exempted under this part and equipped with the antitheft device on which the line's exemption is based. Further, Part 543.9(c)(2) provides for the submission of petitions "to modify an exemption to permit the use of an antitheft device similar to but differing from the one specified in that exemption."

The agency wishes to minimize the administrative burden that Part 543.9(c)(2) could place on exempted vehicle manufacturers and itself. The agency did not intend in drafting Part 543 to require the submission of a modification petition for every change to the components or design of an antitheft device. The significance of many such changes could be *de minimis*. Therefore, NHTSA suggests that if the manufacturer contemplates making any changes, the effects of

which might be characterized as *de minimis*, it should consult the agency before preparing and submitting a petition to modify.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 33106; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

Issued on: May 11, 2012.

Christopher J. Bonanti,
Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 2012-12050 Filed 5-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35435]

CaterParrott Railnet, L.L.C.—Sublease and Operation Exemption—Georgia & Florida Railway, L.L.C.

CaterParrott Railnet, L.L.C. (CPR), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to sublease from Georgia & Florida Railway, L.L.C. (GRF) and operate approximately 43.2 miles of rail line between milepost 30.6, near Valdosta, and milepost 73.8, at Willacoochee, in Lowndes, Berrien, and Atkinson Counties, GA. (the Line). GRF currently leases the Line from the Georgia Department of Transportation, which owns the physical assets of the Line.

CPR certifies that its projected annual revenues as a result of this transaction will not result in CPR's becoming a Class II or Class I rail carrier and will not exceed \$5 million.

According to CPR, the transaction is expected to be consummated on or after June 3, 2012, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the verified notice was filed).

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions to stay must be filed no later than May 25, 2012 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35435, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Karl Morell, Of Counsel, Ball Janik LLP, Suite 225, 655 Fifteenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20005.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: May 15, 2012.

By the Board.

Rachel D. Campbell,

Director, Office of Proceedings.

Raina S. White,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2012-12081 Filed 5-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Requests

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, *Public Law 104-13*, on or after the date of publication of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 18, 2012 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestion for reducing the burden, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Treasury, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV and (2) Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, 1750 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Suite 11020, Washington, DC 20220, or on-line at <http://www.PRAComent.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 927-5331, email at PRA@treasury.gov, or the entire information collection request may be found at <http://www.reginfo.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* SSBCI Allocation Agreement for Participating States.

OMB Control Number: 1505-0227.

Abstract: The SSBCI Allocation Agreement for States, which is required by Title III of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-240, "the Act"), will memorialize the terms and conditions for funds made available to participating states under the SSBCI. Among other duties, included in the terms of this agreement is the requirement that all Participating States submit quarterly and annual reporting to Treasury which details the use of funds under the program. This

information is necessary in order to comply with reporting requirements established by the Act.

The SSBCI Allocation Agreement for Participating Municipalities is a modified version of the SSBCI Allocation Agreement for Participating States that contains additional specific provisions for municipalities participating in the SSBCI, principally: (a) A requirement that municipal applicants applying jointly for SSBCI funds shall document and provide to Treasury a copy of a cooperative agreement that details the roles and responsibilities among each municipality as a condition of closing; and (b) a requirement that, for any loans or investments made outside of the geographic borders of a Participating Municipality, that Participating Municipality shall warrant in writing that such a transaction will result in significant economic benefit to that municipality.

The SSBCI Application form will collect information from Participating States, territories, or municipalities that wish to request an amendment to their existing approved SSBCI Application throughout the term of the Allocation Agreement. This form will collect the following: (a) Information about proposed changes to the apportionment of SSBCI funds among programs; (b) program design information for proposed new programs; or, (c) proposed material changes to the design of programs. Only those participating states, territories, or municipalities that elect to request a modification to their original SSBCI Application will be required to complete this form.

The SSBCI Technical Assistance Quarterly Review collection is a voluntary collection from Participating States, territories, and municipalities that will be conducted telephonically on a quarterly basis and will not require a written submission to Treasury.

The SSBCI Technical Assistance Quarterly Review will collect the following: (a) Qualitative data related to program performance; (b) an assessment of program implementation status to date; and (c) an assessment any future challenges to program performance. This data will be used by Treasury to determine the types and methods through which to offer technical assistance to participants in order to assist states with meeting the program performance goals of achieving the private leverage expectations of the SSBCI.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: States, territories, the District of Columbia and municipalities

that were approved by Treasury to participate in the SSBCI.

SSBCI Quarterly and Annual Reporting Requirements

Estimated Number of Respondents: 62.

Estimated Average Time per Respondent: Approximately ten (10) hours per respondent per year. The estimated average time per respondent for the quarterly report is one (1) hour per report for a total of four (4) hours per year. The estimated average time per respondent for the annual report ranges from two (2) hours per year to approximately nineteen (19) hours per year depending on the use of electronic reporting mechanisms. The weighted average time per respondent for the annual report is 6.36 hours per year. The total estimated annual burden for this collection is 642 hours per year.

SSBCI Allocation Agreement for Participating Municipalities

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5.

Estimated Average Time per Respondent: SSBCI anticipates that 3 applicants will require a cooperative agreement. The estimate time to complete this document is 40 hours per agreement, for a net, one-time total of 120 hours. Municipalities that have applied for the SSBCI program anticipate a total of 195 loan or investment transactions per year. SSBCI estimates that approximately 20% of these transactions may occur outside of the boundaries of applicant municipalities and that for each applicable transaction, the warranty will take approximately 1 hour to complete. Therefore, the estimated annual burden associated with warrants will take 39 hours.

SSBCI Application Form

Estimated Number of Respondents: 15 per year.

Estimated Average Time per Respondent: The estimated average time per respondent to complete the sections of the application form that document program design is approximately nine (9) hours per respondent per year. SSBCI estimates that approximately 15 respondents will elect to request a modification each year for a total estimated annual burden of 135 hours per year.

SSBCI Technical Assistance Quarterly Review

Estimated Number of Respondents: 62.

Estimated Average Time per Respondent: Approximately four (4) hours per respondent per year. The